

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Appointed under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
ICSI House, C-36, Sector-62, Institutional Area,
Noida - 201 309 (U.P.)

Appeal No. 28/2021

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sharad Kumar Jhunjhunwala
A-11 Ashok Vihar
Ranchi- 834002
Jharkhand

Appellant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer
The Institute of Company Secretaries
of India ICSI House
22, Institutional Area, Lodi
Road New Delhi - 110 003

Respondent

Date of Order: 4th May, 2021

ORDER

1. The Appellant has filed first appeal on 06.04.2021 under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 in connection with query Ref. No. RTI 2005/5036(21) dated 06.04.2021 against the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO) (hereinafter referred to as Respondent) of the Institute of Company Secretaries of India.
2. The Appellant vide his application dated 08.03.2021 has requested to provide information through RTI.
3. The Appellant has informed in the instant appeals that "Refused access to Information Requested".
4. The reply of the Respondent against the instant appeal is as under:-

"It is necessary to mention that the RTI applicant (appellant herein) is a habitual RTI applicant and seeking endlessly many kind of information relating to the employees and more on, therefore, we are not in a state to take any risk and therefore, the reply given earlier is reiterated. However, the relevant information which was required to be conveyed to the applicant i.e. Mr. Sharad Kumar Jhunjhunwala has already been provided through inquiry report on 06.09.2019 and the same has also been mentioned in RTI reply.

Further, it is also pertinent to mention that the information sought cannot be provided as the same has no relationship with any public activity or interest. Please

refer the Para 12 of the order issued in the matter of W.P.(C) 7976/2020 dated 12.01.2021 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

We prayed to the Hon'ble Appellate Authority to consider the above-mentioned order issued by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi as the RTI applicant is asking the information for his personal objectives and there is no public activity or interest is involved.

In view of the foregoing, the information cannot be provided and therefore, the appeal deserves to be dismissed *in-limine*..”

5. This Office has carefully considered the application, the response, the appeal and the records made available and finds that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.

6. This Office concurs with the submissions of the Respondent.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-

(Ankur Yadav)
First Appellate Authority

Copy to :

1. Mr. Sharad Kumar Jhunjhunwala
A-11 Ashok Vihar
Ranchi- 834002
Jharkhand
2. Mrs. Sarah Arokiaswamy
Central Public Information Officer
The Institute of Company Secretaries of
India ICSI House, 22, Institutional Area
Lodi Road
New Delhi - 110 003
3. Directorate of IT - For publishing on the website

