

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Appointed under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
ICSI House, C-36, Institutional Area, Sector-62,
Noida - 201 309 (U.P.)

Appeal No. 89/2021

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sharad Kumar Jhunjhunwala
A-11 Ashok Vihar,
Ranchi- 834002
Jharkhand

Appellant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
ICSI House
22, Institutional Area, Lodi Road
New Delhi - 110 003

Respondent

Date of Order: 16th December, 2021

ORDER

1. The Appellant has filed first appeal on 18.11.2021 under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 in connection with response Ref. No. RTI 2005/5174(21) dated 22.10.2021 against the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO) (hereinafter referred to as Respondent) of the Institute of Company Secretaries of India.
2. The Appellant vide his application dated 06.10.2021 has requested to provide information through RTI.
3. The Appellant has informed in the instant appeals that "Refused access to Information Requested."
4. The reply of the Respondent against the instant appeal is as under:-

"Written Submission to reply w.r.t. query number 1:- Since the appellant has mentioned the word 'details' in query which is evidently unspecific in expression and therefore, the same has considered as unspecific. And therefore, the contents made in the RTI reply stands as it is as the RTI Act, 2005 does not make it obligatory on the part of the Public Authority to create information or to interpret the information for the purpose of its extraction/ dissemination. It is also relevant to mention that the reply(ies) to the query(ies) cannot be created and designed in the manner and wish of the appellant.

Written Submission to reply w.r.t. query number 2 and 3:- Since the appellant has not mentioned the specific period of information sought and furthermore, the appellant has added the word 'others' in query, therefore the same has considered is unspecific. And therefore, the contents made in the RTI reply stands as it is as the RTI Act, 2005 does

not make it obligatory on the part of the Public Authority to create information or to interpret the information for the purpose of its extraction/ dissemination. It is also relevant to mention that the reply(ies) to the query(ies) cannot be created and designed in the manner and wish of the appellant.

Written Submission to reply w.r.t. query number 4:- Since the appellant has mentioned the phrase 'all other expenses in query which is evidently unspecific in expression and therefore, the same has considered as unspecific. And therefore, the contents made in the RTI reply stands as it is as the RTI Act, 2005 does not make it obligatory on the part of the Public Authority to create information or to interpret the information for the purpose of its extraction/dissemination. It is also relevant to mention that the reply(ies) to the query(ies) cannot be created and designed in the manner and wish of the appellant.

Please refer order issued on 09.08.2011 in the Civil Appeal No. 6454 of 2011 [Arising out of SLP [C] No. 7526/2009] in the matter of Central Board of Secondary Education & Anr. Vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay & Ors.

In view of the foregoing, the appeal deserves to be dismissed in-limine."

5. This Office has carefully considered the application, the response, the appeal and the records made available and finds that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
6. This Office concurs with the submission of the Respondent.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
(Ankur Yadav)
First Appellate Authority

Copy to :

1. Mr. Sharad Kumar Jhunjhunwala
A-11 Ashok Vihar,
Ranchi- 834002
Jharkhand

2. Mrs. Sarah Arokiaswamy
Central Public Information Officer
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
ICSI House, 22, Institutional Area
Lodi Road
New Delhi - 110 003

3. Directorate of IT - For publishing on the website