

**OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY**  
**(Appointed under the Right to Information Act, 2005)**  
**The Institute of Company Secretaries of India**  
**ICSI House, C-36, Institutional Area, Sector-62,**  
**Noida - 201 309 (U.P.)**

**Appeal No. 88/2021**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sharad Kumar Jhunjhunwala  
A-11 Ashok Vihar,  
Ranchi- 834002  
Jharkhand

Appellant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer  
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India  
ICSI House  
22, Institutional Area, Lodi Road  
New Delhi - 110 003

Respondent

Date of Order: 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2021

**ORDER**

1. The Appellant has filed first appeal on 18.11.2021 under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 in connection with response Ref. No. RTI 2005/5173(21) dated 22.10.2021 against the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO) (hereinafter referred to as Respondent) of the Institute of Company Secretaries of India.
2. The Appellant vide his application dated 06.10.2021 has requested to provide information through RTI.
3. The Appellant has informed in the instant appeals that "PIO has replied that collation of information is not maintained as requested as the same is outspread in various records  
n view of above i may be allowed inspection of documents."
4. The reply of the Respondent against the instant appeal is as under:-

"It is to inform that the information as sought by the applicant is the number of staff which has not been collated, counted and accordingly has never been recorded as document. It is also necessary to mention that appellant is seeking inspection of uncreated information. Therefore, the information which has never been created, the inspection or hardcopy of the same cannot be arranged and provided.

Therefore, the contents made in the RTI reply stands as it is as the RTI Act, 2005 does not make it obligatory on the part of the Public Authority to create information for the purpose of its extraction/dissemination. It is relevant to mention that the reply(ies) to the query(ies) cannot be created and designed in the manner and wish of the appellant. Only such information can be provided which already exists in the readily available form/content with the public authority.

Please refer order issued on 09.08.2011 in the Civil Appeal No. 6454 OF 2011 [Arising out of SLP [C] No.7526/2009] in the matter of Central Board of Secondary Education & Anr. Vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay & Ors.

In view of the foregoing, the information sought cannot be provided and therefore, the appeal deserves to be dismissed in-limine."

5. This Office has carefully considered the application, the response, the appeal and the records made available and finds that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
6. This Office concurs with the submission of the Respondent. RTI Act, 2005 does not make it obligatory on the part of the Public Authority to create information or to interpret the information for the purpose of its extraction/dissemination.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-  
(Ankur Yadav)  
First Appellate Authority

Copy to :

1. Mr. Sharad Kumar Jhunjunwala  
A-11 Ashok Vihar,  
Ranchi- 834002  
Jharkhand

2. Mrs. Sarah Arokiaswamy  
Central Public Information Officer  
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India  
ICSI House, 22, Institutional Area  
Lodi Road  
New Delhi - 110 003

3. Directorate of IT - For publishing on the website