

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Appointed under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India ICSI House
C-36, Sector-62, Institutional Area
Noida - 201 309 (U.P.)

Appeal No.00053/2025

IN THE MATTER OF:

Ms. Anjum Khandelwal
2nd Floor Noorville 63 FF
Bhulbahi Desai Road
Breach Candy
Mumbai - 400026
Maharashtra

Appellant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
'ICSI House', 22, Institutional Area, Lodi Road,
New Delhi – 110003

Respondent

Date of Order: 10th September 2025

ORDER

- (1) The Appellant has filed first Appeal- No. ICSOI/A/E/25/00053 on 19.08.2025 under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 in connection with Response vide letter dated 29.07.2025 against the Central Public Information Officer (hereinafter referred to as Respondent) of the Institute of Company Secretaries of India.
- (2) The Appellant vide his RTI Application No. ICSOI/R/E/25/02154 dated 07/07/2025 has requested the following information:
- “Kindly provide the following information regarding complaints handled by the Disciplinary Committee of ICSI for the last five financial years:
1. Year-wise number of complaints received by the Disciplinary Committee.
 2. Year-wise split of complaints received from Government organizations (MCA, ROC, SFIO, State Banks etc) vs complaints received from private citizens.
 3. Total number of cases scheduled for hearing in each year.
 4. What percentage of complaints filed by private citizens are scheduled for hearing?
 5. Number of complaints pending at different stages of disciplinary proceedings as on date.”
- (3) The Appellant has submitted in his instant appeal regarding the reply provided by the Respondent to the RTI queries as under:

“Please see my ground for appeal – Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information.”

(4) The reply of the Respondent against the instant appeal is as under: -

“We reiterate our reply that data sought by the information seeker for the last five financial years is not maintained in the desired format.”

Further, it is to inform that the “the public authority under the RTI Act, 2005 is not to create or collate information; or to interpret information. Therefore, the information which is readily available in the format/content can only be provided to the appellant and not as per the choice of the information seeker. The reply(ies) to the query(ies) cannot be created and designed in the manner and wish of the appellant.

Therefore, the contents made in the RTI reply stands as it is and the appeal deserves to be dismissed.”

(5) This Office has carefully considered the application, the response, the appeal and the records made available and finds that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.

This office concurs with the submissions of the Respondent in his reply to the instant appeal.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-

(Asit Kumar Rath)
First Appellate Authority

Copy to:

1. Ms. Anjum Khandelwal
2nd Floor Noorville 63 FF
Bhulbahi Desai Road
Breach Candy
Mumbai - 400026
Maharashtra
2. Central Public Information Officer
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
'ICSI House', 22, Institutional Area, Lodi Road,
New Delhi - 110003
3. Directorate of IT - For publishing on the website