

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Appointed under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
ICSI House, C-36, Institutional Area, Sector-62,
Noida - 201 309 (U.P.)

Appeal No. 12/2022

IN THE MATTER OF:

Kalam Elahi Qureshi
Karim Nagar,
Hapur Road
Meerut-250002

Appellant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
C-37, Sector 62, NOIDA- 201309

Respondent

Date of Order: 31st March, 2022

ORDER

1. The Appellant has filed first appeal on 10.03.2022 under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 in connection with response Ref. No. 00072 of RTI dated 08.03.2022 against the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO) (hereinafter referred to as Respondent) of the Institute of Company Secretaries of India.
2. The Appellant vide his application dated 21.02.2022 has requested to provide the information under the RTI Act, 2005.
3. The Appellant has requested in the instant appeals that "I did not receive any reply on email or on any other mode on 23 Feb 2022 or later on.

The information is not personal information on of other person because they violated the fundamental right and I have right to ask their information like name designation etc. so that I can make complaint/F.I.R. against them.

You are requested to provide the following information

1. Provide the information which has been mentioned in e mail and RTI application
 2. provide the details which had been sent to me (as u mentioned in reply on RTI) because I did not receive any response yet."
4. The reply of the Respondent against the instant appeal is as under: -

"It is submitted that the information sought by the appellant has been provided on 08.03.2022.

It is further submitted that the appellant has sent an email dated 07.02.2022 which was replied by the institute on 23.02.2022.

Further, the information sought by appellant in his application vide point no. 2 is interrogative and in point no. 3 the information sought is not maintained by the Institute in the form/content as desired by the appellant.

It is also submitted that in the matter of CBSE Vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay (Civil Appeal No. 6454 of 2011), the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that "the RTI Act provides access to all information that is available and existing."

The contents as above made in the RTI reply stands as it is and are candid.

Therefore, the appeal deserves to be dismissed in-limine."

5. This Office has carefully considered the application, the response, the appeal and the records made available and finds that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
6. This Office concurs with the submission of the Respondent. Interrogative queries do not come under the ambit of RTI Act. Since the appellant has mentioned the word 'details' in query which is evidently unspecific in expression and therefore, the same has considered as unspecific. The RTI Act, 2005 does not make it obligatory on the part of the Public Authority to create information or to interpret the information for the purpose of its extraction/dissemination.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
(Ankur Yadav)
First Appellate Authority

Copy to :

1. Mr. Kalam Elahi Qureshi
Karim Nagar,
Hapur Road
Meerut-250002
2. Central Public Information Officer
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
C-37, Sector 62, NOIDA- 201309
3. Directorate of IT - For publishing on the website