NEW SYLLABUS 443

Roll No. ..... OPEN BOOK EXAMINATION

Time allowed: 3 hours Maximum marks: 100

Total number of questions: 6 Total number of printed pages: 8

**NOTE**: Answer ALL Questions.

## 1. Read the following case study and answer the questions given at the end:

RELMO S.A. (RELMO) is an Argentinean seed company belonging to the Ferrarotti family. The origins of RELMO are to be found in the Ferrarotti Countryside Organization (OFPEC) which was established in the 1960s as the first company in Argentina to devote itself to the genetic improvement of soybean. OFPEC was responsible for the program that led to the registration of the first Argentinean soybean "variety" (a plant grouping within a single botanical taxon of the lowest rank) in 1980.

RELMOs business strategy concentrates on the production and sale of plant seeds of mainstay crops: soybean, wheat and maize. Its activities are conducted throughout the Argentinean agricultural and livestock industry. Its central offices are in Rosario, Santa Fe, a major grain marketing, soybean grinding center and seed export zone. In fact, the most important soybean producing-exporting center in the world is to be found in an area within a radius of 200 kilometers around Rosario.

Despite its traditional approach in certain areas (e.g. the company's main experimental field remains the Ferrarotti family farm), RELMO has dramatically changed its way of doing business and has managed to prosper following some of the important changes that took place in the Argentinean seed industry since the mid-1990s. One of the main reasons for RELMO's success has been its ability to manage its intellectual property (IP) successfully and to establish partnerships with foreign and domestic companies and research institutes.

The Argentinean Law No. 20.247 on Seeds and Phytogenetic Creations, guarantees ownership of plant varieties, and the Argentinean Association of Protection for Plant Breeds (ARPOV) deals with the defense of rights and provides the possibility for collecting royalties for IP rights holders. Additionally, Argentina's accession to the 1978 Act of the International Union for the Protection of New Varieties Convention (UPOV Convention) plays an important role in the development of companies such as RELMO.

One of RELMO's major areas of work is the genetic improvement of soybean. The company's market position, however, was seriously challenged when Monsanto, a multinational agricultural biotechnology corporation, started commercializing the Round-up Ready (RR) gene (RR technology allows for the use of herbicide without any adverse effect on the crops) in Argentina during the mid-1990s. The RR gene-based plant varieties soon became popular among farmers. RELMÓ was quick to realize that to retain its market position, they would have to market RR varieties too. Therefore RELMÓ reached an agreement with Monsanto Argentina that authorized its use of the RR gene. This agreement also allowed RELMO to place its soybean varieties in other countries.

As far as maize is concerned, due to the high degree of adoption of transgenic (genetically engineered) forms of maize in Argentina, RELMO signed a testing agreement with Monsanto in order to work with MON 810, a maize variety based on the Bt gene (gene of a naturally occurring bacteria that produces a protein toxic to certain types of insects. The Bt gene can be transferred to crops, thereby making them more resistant to the corresponding insect).

RELMO has registered over a dozen trademarks. Among them, the most important ones are RELMÓ, TECNOSOJA, TECNOTRIGO and TECNOMAIZ. The company has also registered the name with which it identifies its lines of products, such as CANAI. Trademark registrations are done primarily for the domestic market with Argentina's National Institute for Industrial Property (INPI), as varieties that are licensed to foreign companies, for example American companies, are generally sold under the licensee's trademarks.

1/2020/IPRLP/OBE/NS Contd. .......

The growth of RELMO in recent years is essentially based on license agreements linked to IP. It has been capable of negotiating licenses for its own varieties and those created by third parties to other companies in Argentina and abroad.

Agreement with the National Institute of Agriculture Technology (INTA) of Argentina: In 2002, RELMÓ concluded an agreement on technology transfer with INTA for the genetic improvement of subtropical germplasm of maize. Under the Agreement, INTA provides the germplasm, installations and technical staff, and RELMO covers the operating expenditures. The hybrids obtained are marketed exclusively by RELMO while INTA receives a percentage royalty as the owner of the germplasms. This unique public-private agreement also allows RELMÓ to produce the hybrids with its own trademark and also to license them out to third parties.

Licensing Agreement with the National Livestock Research Institute (INIA) of Uruguay: INIA and RELMÓ have a special deal under which INIA has granted RELMÓ exclusive rights over the licenses for INIA's wheat varieties in Argentina. In return, RELMÓ has authorized similar rights to INIA for soybean varieties from RELMÓ. This license agreement allows RELMÓ to enter the wheat seed market with adapted varieties at a cost roughly equivalent to that of developing its own crops, however at a much quicker pace. INIA benefits from the expansion of the market for its wheat varieties. For RELMÓ, this is a very good commercial opportunity; RELMÓ has typically focused on soybean sown in summer, but the incorporation of the seeds of winter crops from INIA allows them to expand their sales structure and to generate more revenue. The scheme of licenses for soybean varieties to INIA, with a view to market them in Uruguay, has similar results for both parties.

Licensing-out to South African companies: In the past, RELMO had granted licenses for conventional varieties in South Africa, and, more recently, it has done so for RR varieties, thereby contributing to the development of the crop in that country. As in previous agreements, RELMÓ is the owner of the varieties and a South African company exploits them commercially.

Partnership with Delley Semences et Plantes (Seeds and Plants) S.A. (DSP) of Switzerland: RELMÓ established a commercial relationship with DSP in Switzerland, which includes licenses for varieties of wheat for the whole of South America and technical collaboration, including the training of RELMÓ staff in Switzerland. Like in the Agreement with INIA, the varieties here are also owned by DSP, and RELMÓ is responsible for commercial exploitation. The agreement allowed RELMO to access the Argentinean markets with high quality wheat varieties.

Argentina constitute a major market for the production of soybean in the world. FMT provides important technical support for the crop in Brazil, where approximately 16 million hectares are cultivated. RELMÓ has established a program of work which includes the joint launch of varieties of soybean, which is carried out in both countries. This joint project does not involve any licenses but an ambitious joint development of varieties and research on discease resistance, as well as cultivation technology. *Integration with Sursem S.A.*: In April 2009, RELMÓ reached an agreement with Sursem S.A., another Argentinean seed company. Based on this agreement, Sursem has taken over the responsibility of the distribution of seeds for RELMÓ in Argentina. Sursem will build upon the existing marketing structure of RELMÓ and improve its services to customers and distributors. For its part, RELMÓ will be integrated into Sursem and will focus on research programs and improvement of soybean and wheat to meet Argentinean requirements. It will at the same time continue its technology exports to neighboring countries.

Starting as a small family business, RELMO has come a long way to establish itself as a pioneer in the genetic improvement of soybean in Argentina. The partnership strategy that RELMÓ pursued not only enabled it to retain its market position in Argentina, but also provided it with improved plant varieties, access to foreign markets and consequently higher revenue. The integration with Sursem allows RELMÓ to concentrate more in research and development while at the same time assuring it of the much-needed market access at home and abroad.

1/2020/IPRLP/OBE/NS Contd. ......

A strategic partnership approach through licensing and intelligent use of IP was the key factor behind RELMÓ's success. While the national and international legal framework facilitated the task of ensuring the ownership of phytogenetic creations (varieties or lines), the partnerships helped RELMÓ move forward quickly and very actively in retaining and extending its domestic and foreign market positions.

## **Questions:**

- (a) Assess the RELMO's ability in managing its Intellectual Property (IP) successfully.
- (b) How the Argentina's accession to the 1978 Act of the International Union for the Protection of New Varieties Convention (UPOV Convention) plays an important role in the development of companies such as RELMO?
- (c) Although the RELMO's major areas of work is the genetic improvement of soybean then why company's market position seriously challenged by Monsanto.
- (d) How the licensing agreement with National Institute of Agriculture Technology (INTA) and National Livestock Research Institute (INIA) helpful for RELMO?

(10 marks each)

- 2. (a) Give your interpretation about the "Proviso" that a person may legitimately acquire property rights by making his labor with resources held "in common" only if, after the acquisition, "there is enough" and as good left in common for others.
  - (b) The Universal Copyright Convention (UCC) was developed by United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) as an alternative to the Berne Convention for those states which disagreed with aspects of the Berne Convention, but still wished to participate in some form of multilateral copyright protection. Identify the limitation of Berne Convention and why the Berne Convention states also became party to the UCC.

(6 marks each)

- 3. (a) Company XYZ Ltd. has made invention on new water purifier technology and company has filed application for patent. The company fear about the PriorPublic use of that invention before the date of filing of application destroy the novelty of the invention. Convince the company XYZ Ltd. why they should not fear by illustrating cases in India.
  - (b) Recently a program broadcasted by a foreign television channel in India whose content was highly critical and certain segment of the society is criticizing this program. Can Indian government take action against this foreign television company based in India under Chapter VIII ?

(6 marks each)

- 4. (a) Company A has got registration for its new design of bottles for soft drink but after substantive examination it was found that the design not new or original. Can the registration of a design be cancelled?
  - (b) In North-East state the tribal people are producing a special type of beverage from time immemorial which is extracted from a special type of flower found only in that region. Now the state government is interested to get this product in Geographical Indication category. With your expert knowledge explain how the product will be recognized as Geographical Indication product and how the state government can apply to bring this product under Geographical Indication.

(6 marks each)

1/2020/IPRLP/OBE/NS Contd. ......

- 5. (a) A German company signed agreement with an Indian company to use its patented technology and trademark to manufacture and market the product in European market. Suggest how the Indian company assign the Intellectual Property Rights Patent and Trademark to German company.
  - (b) Why some experts suggest that it may be prudent for the companies to conduct an intellectual audit to identify the protectable business information? What are the measures taken by Japan, Brazil, China and Israel for International Protection of Trade Secrets?

(6 marks each)

6. A European company in the dental instruments sector was selling their product in China through a Chinese distributor. They discovered a competitor in China was offering a similar product, but built to lower-specifications that used an identical exterior design, colour scheme and control interface. The technical manual, diagrams and parts of their sales brochure appeared to be directly copied from the European dental instruments company. Overall, the competitor's product gave the appearance of being similar in function to that of the European company, although its performance level and price were much lower.

The European company's representatives had previously approached the company at a trade fair to complain about the infringement of the company's IP but had not received a positive response. The European company then proceeded to seek legal advice on what could be done. The company did not have a design patent to protect the overall appearance of their product, nor were there any patents covering the product's function, so trying to claim the Chinese company committed patent infringement was legally possible but extremely difficult to do. The only legal recourse the company had was to argue copyright infringement of the technical manual.

Instead of taking the legal route, the company decided to send a warning letter through their local lawyers that alleged infringement of the product's shape—even though they were not on strong legal ground—and copyright. The letter implied that the company would take the matter to court. The law firm, and representatives from the European company, followed-up the letter and met with the Chinese company. The European company argued that a lawsuit would be waste of time for both parties and that even if they were not successful in court, the Chinese competitor's imitation of the European product would harm their corporate image in the long run. As a result, the infringing company decided to change a number of exterior features of the product and produce new manuals and brochures which greatly reduced the similarities to the European product.

- (a) If the company decided to send a warning letter through their local lawyers that alleged infringement of the product's shape will they able to prevent the Chinese company.
- (b) The technical manual, diagrams and parts of their sales brochure appeared to be directly copied from the European dental instruments company. Can the European company file for copyright violation against the Chinese company?

//	7	1 \
(6	marks	each)

\_\_\_\_\_ o \_\_\_\_