THE BOARD OF DISCIPLINE THE INSTITUTE OF COMPANY SECRETARIES OF INDIA IN THE MATTER OF COMPLAINT OF PROFESSIONAL OR OTHER MISCONDUCT UNDER THE COMPANY SECRETARIES ACT, 1980

DC/293/2015

Order reserved on: 27th February, 2017 Order issued on : 8th April, 2017

Mr. Suhas P K, FCS-6082

.....Complainant

Vs

Mr. Murali Kanniyath, FCS-6916 (CP No. 7543)

..... Respondent

Present:

Director (Discipline)

FINAL ORDER

- 1. The Board of Discipline examined the Complaint, Written Statement, Rejoinder, prima-facie opinion and reinvestigation report of the Director (Discipline).
- 2. The Board of Discipline noted as under:
 - (i) That the Complainant has inter-alia alleged that the Respondent has sent an unsigned intimation letter to the Complainant with regard to taking up the Compliance Certificate work of M/s. Arogya Holistic Ayurvedic Medicare and Resort Ltd. and the Respondent certified and filed Form 32 and Form 5 pertaining to M/s. Arogya Holistic Ayurvedic Medicare and Resort Ltd., without prior intimation to him.
 - (ii) The Respondent in his written statement to the complaint stated that since he has not done any work related to issuance of the Compliance Certificate pertaining to M/s. Arogya Holistic Ayurvedic Medicare and Resource Ltd., hence no question of violation of Clause (8), Part I of the First Schedule to the Company Secretaries Act, 1980 ('the Act') arises and thus the allegations do not stand any ground. As regards allegation raised by the Complainant that his payment was pending with M/s. Arogya Holistic Ayurvedic Medicare and Resorts Ltd., the



Callamin.



Respondent stated that he has no responsibility to collect the payment for the work undertaken by another CS. However, as a matter of Professional courtesy, he had communicated the same to the Chairman of M/s. Arogya Holistic Ayurvedic Medicare and Resorts Ltd.

- 3. The Board of Discipline at its meeting held on 5th November, 2015 considered the prima-facie opinion of the Director (Discipline) dated 5th August, 2015 that the Respondent is prima-facie not guilty of professional or other misconduct under the Company Secretaries Act, 1980 as per Guidance Note No. 4.9.13 of the "Guidance Note on Code of Conduct for Company Secretaries (Third Edition October 2011)", it is not mandatory (though desirable) to send a prior written communication to the earlier incumbent with regard to certifying eforms for various companies. The Board of Discipline advised the Director (Discipline) to investigate the matter further; and if needed, to summon and hear the parties and witnesses related to the matter.
- 4. The Director (Discipline) after further investigating the case reiterates the earlier opinion in her Further Investigation Report dated 18th February, 2017 that the Respondent is *prima-facie* not guilty of professional misconduct under Item (8) of Part I to First Schedule to the Company Secretaries Act, 1980 as sending a prior communication arises only in relation to exclusive area of practice of PCS under the Companies Act, 1956/ 2013.
- 5. The Board of Discipline observed the following: -
 - the Respondent has admitted that he had certified and filed Form 32 and Form 5 pertaining to M/s. Arogya Holistic Ayurvedic Medicare and Resort Ltd., without prior intimation to the Complainant, as he was not under obligation to send prior intimation to the Complainant before the said certification.
 - The Board of Discipline at its meeting held on 13th October 2016 clarified that the need for sending a prior communication arises only in relation to exclusive area of practice of PCS under the Companies Act, 1956/2013 and accordingly the term "accepting a position of Company Secretary" as laid down under Clause (8) of Part I to First Schedule of the Company Secretaries Act, 1980 applies only in such exclusive areas of practice.

The certification of Form 32 and Form 5 were not in the exclusive domain of the Company Secretaries.





- 6. The Board of Discipline at its meeting held on 27th February, 2017 considered the further Investigation Report of the Director (Discipline) dated 18th February, 2017 and agreed to the same.
- 7. The Board of Discipline after considering the aforesaid observations, material on record, prima-facle opinion and reinvestigation report of the Director (Discipline) and all the facts and circumstances of the case, agreed to the prima-facie opinion of the Director (Discipline), that the Respondent is "Not Guilty" of Professional or other misconduct under Clause (8) of Part I to First Schedule of the Company Secretaries Act, 1980.

CS Dinesh Chandra Arora Member CS C Ramasubramaniam Member CS Ashish Doshi Presiding Officer