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Sub.: Celebration of PCS Day

(June 15, 2016)

You may be kindly aware that on 15 June, 1988, the Company Secretaries in Practice were accorded recognition for Certifying the Annual Returns under the erstwhile Companies Act, 1956. This day became the first milestone in the development of the practising side of the profession of Company Secretaries in India.

ICSI takes pleasure in celebrating this day as 'PCS Day' throughout the country through its Regional Councils, Chapters and CCGRT. Various programmes covering deliberations on awareness on recognitions for PCS and emerging areas of practice will be organised on Wednesday, June 15, 2016 to mark the occasion. Members attending the programmes shall be eligible for grant of programme credit hours.

We request you to participate in the programme which will be organized by the respective Regional Councils / Chapters / CCGRT and make it more meaningful.

With self esteem and joy, let’s celebrate this day.
44th

National Convention of Company Secretaries

Theme
Powering Governance - Empowering Stakeholders
CS - The Governance Professionals

Days
Thursday-Friday-Saturday

Dates
November 17-18-19, 2016

Venue
Mahatma Mandir, Sector 13C
Gandhinagar Gujarat
Meeting of ICSI delegation with Union Minister for Finance, Corporate Affairs and I&B - Sitting from Left: Arun Jaitley (Hon’ble Union Minister for Finance, Corporate Affairs and I&B), CS Mamta Binani and CS Sonia Baijal.

CS Mamta Binani seen presenting a bouquet to Kalraj Mishra (Hon’ble Union Minister of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises).

ICSI President’s meeting with Member of Parliament – Standing from Left: Meenakshi Lekhi (Member of Parliament) and CS Mamta Binani

Meeting of ICSI delegation with Union Minister for Railways – Standing from Left: CS Mamta Binani, Suresh Prabhu (Hon’ble Union Minister of Railways), CS Vineet K Chaudhary and CS Ranjeet Kumar Pandey.

Meeting of ICSI delegation with Minister for Labour and Employment (I/c) – from Left: Bandaru Dattatreya (Hon’ble Minister for Labour and Employment (I/c)), CS Mamta Binani and CS Vineet K Chaudhary.

WIRC – Indore Chapter – Meeting with Speaker of Lok Sabha – Group photo – Seen in the picture among others Sumitra Mahajan (Hon’ble Speaker, Lok Sabha), CS Kamlesh Joshi, CS Ashish Garg and CS Manoj Kumar Bhandari.
JUNE 2016

GRI Global Conference – Building trust in South Asia. Enforced or self-regulation? - Discussion - Standing from Left: Isuru Viranga Gunasekera (Head of Sustainability – John Keells Holdings), Namit Agarwal (Private Sector Advisor – Oxfam India), CS Mamta Binani, Tony Henshaw (Chief Sustainability Officer – Aditya Birla Group), Aditi Haldar (Director - GRI South Asia) and Arif Masud Mirza (Regional Head of Policy-MENA - ACCA Pakistan).

Group photo of South Asian delegation attending 5th GRI Global Conference.

National Seminar on entrepreneurship, skill development and governance in MSMEs - CS (Dr.) Shyam Agrawal addressing. Others sitting from left: CS Mahendra P. Khandelwal, CS Vineet K Chaudhary, CS Rao Rajendra Singh (Dy. Speaker, Rajasthan Legislative Assembly), CS Suresh Agarwal (President, FORTI), CS Sanjay Kumar Gupta (ROC & OL, Rajasthan) and CS Deepak Arora.

NIRC – Regional Residential Conference (Host: Bareilly Chapter) on CS – Precision & Performance – Group photo - Standing from Left: CS Paramjeet Singh, CS Deepak Kukreja, CS Nesar Ahmad, CS Arpit Agarwal, CS (Dr.) Shyam Agrawal, Rajeev Gha (Co-Chairman, PhD Chamber of Commerce), Harbhajan Singh Cheema (MLA, Kashipur), CS Ranjeet Pandey, CS Pradeep Debnath, Sarfaraz Ahmad Ansari (Managing Director, Marya Day Agro Foods Pvt. Ltd.), CS Nilesh Sinha, CS Manish Gupta, CS V P Gupta, Suneeel Keswani (Corporate Trainer), CS S C Khaneja and CS Ankur Gupta.
NIRC - Women Empowerment Session on Nurturing Parenting - Sitting from Left: CS Monika Kohli, Dr. Ranjana Sehgal, CS Manish Gupta and Dr. Geeta Chopra.

EIRC - Full Day Seminar on Insight on Annual Report - A Practical Approach - Chief Guest R C Meena (RD(ER), Ministry of Corporate Affairs) being felicitated by CS Santosh Kumar Agrawala while CS Sandip Kumar Kejriwal, and CS Ashok Purohit look on.

SIRC – Coimbatore Chapter - Live TV programme in Polimer Channel (a domestic Tamil channel) - Panel Discussion on ICSI Mooting the Idea of International Corporate Governance Day – ICGD - Sitting from Left: R.V. Sridharan (CFO, The Peria Karamalai Tea & Products Co Ltd, Coimbatore), CS A.R. Ramasubramania Raja (Moderator of the programme) and K. Ravi (Director, Roots Multi Clean Ltd & CFO, Roots Group of Companies, Coimbatore).


SIRC – Half day seminar on goods and services Tax & updates on VAT – Sitting from Left: CS C Rama Subramaniam handing over the cheque to JS and Treasurer, Chief Minister’s Public Relief Fund, Finance Deptt. (CMPRF), Govt. of Tamil Nadu.

Group photo of participants with CS Ahalada Rao V.
26  WIRC - Second Two Days Corporate Laws Conclave – Standing from Left: CS Swati Yash Bhatt, CS Devendra Deshpande, CS Kamlesh Joshi, CS S. Sudhakar (Vice President-Corporate Secretarial, Reliance Industries Ltd, Mumbai) and CS Makarand Joshi.


30  WIRC – Rajkot Chapter – Two day residential conclave on CS branding: through value enhancing approach – Sitting from Left: CS Paras Viramgama, CS Anshul Kumar Jain, CS Keyur Bakshi, CS Purvi Dave, CS Shashikala Rao and CS Piyush Jethva.


28  WIRC – Ahmedabad Chapter – National Seminar on Companies Act, 2013: NCLT & NCLAT Convergence of Corporate Jurisdiction - A view of the participants.


31  WIRC – Pune Chapter - Full Day Programme on Companies Amendment Bill, 2016 and FEMA Updates – On the dias from Left: CS Hrishikesh S Wagh, CS Sunil Nanal and CS Vinayak Kharnawkar.

33  EIRC – North Eastern Chapter – Seminar on SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 – On the dias from Left: CS Pravin Chhajer, Ashok Kumar Singh (Manager Listing compliance, BSE and Speaker), CS Birman Debnath, CS Anjan Talukdar, CS Amit Pareek, CS Vivek Sharma and CS L P Kolla (ROC, NE Region and Chief Guest, addressing.)

EIRC - Bhubaneswar Chapter – ICSI President’s address to members and students – Group photo – CS Mamta Binani and CS A K Mishra seen with the members and students of the Chapter.

ICSI Ascentia, 2016 - Launching of Special drive to register Companies/PCS/Law firms/Universities/other entities with ICSI for imparting ‘Training’ to CS students - Seen in the picture CS Mamta Binani, CS Alka Kapoor and Vijay Kumar Jhalani (Govt. Nominee on the Council of ICSI).

ICSI observed Swachwata Pakhwara – A view of the cleanliness drive observed by the ICSI (HQ) employees.

NIRC – Modinagar Chapter – Seminar on NCLT and recent developments in the Companies Act, 2013 – Group photo – Standing among others CS Vineet K Chaudhary, CS (Dr.) Sanjeev Kumar, CS P K Mittal, CS Saurabh Kalia and CS Mohit Singhal.


SIRC – Amravati Chapter – One day seminar on Companies Act, 2013 & NCLT – Sitting from Left: CS K Srinivasa Rao, CS R Venkata Ramana, CS R Ramakrishna Gupta, CS Nagaraj Kumar and CS V Ahalada Rao.

Address by Gopal Krishna Agarwal (Govt. Nominee on the Council of ICSI) at ICSI Noida office while observing Swachwata Pakhwara. Others sitting from Left: CS Alok K Kuchhal, CS Ravi Bhusana, CS Vineet K Chaudhary and Ankur Yadav.

ICSI observed Swachwata Pakhwara – Group photo – Standing among others CS Vineet K Chaudhary and CS Prakash K Pandya.
Overview and Impact of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016
Pavan Kumar Vijay
Enactment of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 is a landmark reform in the direction of ease of doing business. It will significantly improve World Bank ranking of India which presently is 136 out of 189. The objective of the Code is to consolidate and amend the laws relating to reorganization and insolvency resolution of corporate persons, partnership firms and individuals in a time bound manner.

Foreign Company
Alok Kumar Kuchhal
Foreign companies, which are planning to setup business operations in India or for investment in Indian businesses, are required to comply with FEMA for exchange related and RBI compliances, Companies Act 2013 to define and govern their business structure, in general. Besides, they are also supposed to comply with various other compliances under applicable laws. Companies Act 2013 has widened the definition of Foreign Company by including in its ambit companies which are not physically present in India, but are operating through the virtual world. The Article is an effort to consolidate and present the important legal and procedural provisions of Companies Act, 2013 and FEMA for setting up, regular compliances and closure of business structures of Foreign Companies.

Corporate Governance Key to Company’s Success
Charu Vinayak
Corporate Governance tend to create corporate transparency and openness. Corporate Governance has gained attention globally. Many efforts are taken in the field of Corporate Governance over decades from 1999 to 2014 and further more changes and improvements are proposed. It enables a company to maximize the long term value of the Company. The Companies are to mandatorily comply with the norms of Companies Act 2013 along with the governance requirement framed by SEBI. The compliance is likely to become more onerous for listed companies with a consequent effect on the cost of compliance. Notwithstanding the implications and challenges, organizations need to leverage this development as an opportunity to strengthen the governance framework and deliver incremental gains through enhanced investor confidence. In addition to the statutory laws of the relevant jurisdiction, corporations are also to comply with the common law in some countries and various laws and regulations affecting business practices like US Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), UK Bribery Act 2010, etc.

One Person Company and the Principle of Corporate Personality: A Review of Recent English Decisions
Dr. K. R. Chandratre
One Person Company (OPC) is the discovery of the Companies Act 2013. A question that is sometimes asked is whether an OPC is equivalent to a sole proprietorship and is not susceptible to the principles of distinct corporate personality of a body corporate and limited liability being defied by courts thereby exposing the shareholder of the OPC to the OPC’s liabilities by treating the assets and liabilities of the shareholder as the company and vice versa. It would, therefore, be enlightening to review the law in this regard. There have in the recent past occasions for the UK judiciary to deal with this question and in almost all such cases the above question has been answered in the negative. The courts also refused to lift the corporate veil. This article seeks to throw light on the concepts of OPC vis-à-vis corporate personality.

Refusal to Transfer Shares – Whether Permissible?
Pradeep K Mittal
In this Article, an attempt has been made to explain various facets of Section 108 of the Companies Act, 1956 (now Section 56 of the Companies Act, 2013). Further, the Article also deals with the remedy available to a person in case there is refusal to transfer shares - though wrongfully. The Article deals with the number of important judgments of High Courts and that of Company Law Board.

Understanding Leverage
S. Manjesh Roy
Starting with the most basic, this write-up explores the usage and impact of leverage in finance in the ascending order of complexity. Using illustrations, it explores the varied manifestation of leverage viz. direct, indirect, including double, multi layered, embedded leverage, etc. in sophisticated financial products. Capital adequacy norm to regulate leverage so as to preempt systemic crisis is re-proposed.

Forecasting Methodology for NPAs of Indian Banks and Ways to Tackle the Menace
Prof. Gaurav Vallabh, Digvijay Singh, Himanshu Singh Raghuvanshi
Banking sector has been the backbone of Indian economy and has had a pivotal role to play in the development process. With the ever increasing need of involvement of banks in the economic growth process, in the recent times the issue of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) has assumed mammoth proportions. The recent developments in the form of more stringent Reserve Bank of India (RBI) guidelines and a greater push to declare stressed assets as NPAs has resulted in a drop in profits for all the banks across the spectrum as they have to create provisions for bad loans. In this paper, we discuss the various methodologies which can be adopted to forecast the NPAs in the future for better policy making and implementing steps to overcome the issue of rising NPAs. We have taken the data for State Bank of India (SBI) as reference because as a credible public sector bank, SBI is mammoth in size and its data is not affected by minor fluctuations. We analyse the various forecasting methods namely the Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) method, the Holt method and the Holt-Winter method and finally affirm after taking all factors into account that MLR is the best method to predict the NPAs for Indian banks. We also discuss the various reasons for the rising NPAs in recent times and suggest ways to tackle them. The concept of Asset Restructuring Companies (ARCs) has also been discussed in detail and the reasons why they haven’t been successful in the Indian context has also been discussed at length.

Corporate Dividend Policy in India: An Analysis of Trends across Industries
Pooja Miglani
During the recent years Indian economy has witnessed a drastic change. The financial managers are taking various decisions very judiciously. One of the most crucial aspects faced by managers is of...
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The Companies Act. [SC]

of the liquidation proceedings in the manner contemplated by its corporate existence until it stands dissolved upon completion inasmuch as the company in liquidation continues to maintain official liquidator to handover possession of the land to the owners has been ordered to be wound up cannot be a ground to direct the first respondent is liable to be returned to the first respondent. Therefore, the deposit made by order of appropriation during the pendency of the appeal nor any attachment on the pre-deposit. Thus after the order for printing booklets stood cancelled on failure to supply within the stipulated period, the contract came to an end, there was no reason for the printers to print the booklets. [SC] LW: 41:06:2016 Where the goods are entirely transferred to a sister unit, it is reasonable to adopt the value shown in the invoice on the basis of which Cenvat Credit was taken by the assessee i.e. the invoice of the supplier of the pellets to the assessee.[SC]
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Life is like a camera. Just focus on what's important, capture the good times, develop from the negatives, and if things don't turn out – take another shot.

Esteemed Professional Colleagues

Greetings from ICSI

This time, I would initiate sharing a pleasant observation concerning Corporate Governance in Asia. Our continent witnessing a towering furtherance in the sphere of Corporate Governance over the past two years as a result of tightening of rules by Asian regulators and security exchanges to deliver a favourable transformation in global economic scenario in general and investment scenario in particular by giving a fling to boost investor’s confidence.

Market regulators in Asia including Hong Kong, Japan, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan and Thailand are becoming more stringent on defying firms and paving the way for stewardship codes to galvanize good governance by these companies. To cite a few, Hong Kong and Singapore, two of the Asia’s largest financial centres, have brick-walled listing and takeover requirements and have accelerated enforcement after incidents of erratic price movements which scintillated fear of manipulation in these markets. Singapore Exchange Ltd. (SGX), which was nagged at for its failure to tackle Penny Stocks Scandal in 2013, has come forward for scrutiny of companies on its market and is scrutinizing their compliance with the state’s corporate governance code. Likewise, regulators in South Korea, Taiwan and Thailand are also sketching down similar codes. But, I must mention, many markets in Asia have a long road to travel before them to match the benchmarks in corporate governance standards set by markets such as London or New York. India has also come a long way. In India too, along with regulators, we, the Company Secretaries have to take the lead to ensure that good governance is followed in our Boards not only in letter but in spirit too. We, indeed have to act as real ‘Sutradhars’ of our Boards...!! Somebody has rightly said, ‘Let us not wait until conditions are perfect to begin. Beginning makes conditions perfect’. Let me now humbly put forth the initiatives and little milestones of the Institute during the month of May:

Meeting with Honourable Ministers

Taking forward our initiatives for exploring opportunities for the profession and also towards joint participation in flagship government initiatives, the Institute met the following dignitaries:

- Shri Arun Jaitley, Hon’ble Minister of Finance, Corporate Affairs, I&B
- Shri Bandaru Dattatreya, Hon’ble Minister of Labour and Employment
- Shri Kalraj Mishra, Hon’ble Minister of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises
- Shri Suresh Prabhu, Hon’ble Minister of Railways
- Smt. Meenakshi Lekhi, Hon’ble Member of Parliament.

Representations

The Institute made following representations:

- To the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways seeking recognition for PCS under the Draft Motor Vehicles (All India Authorization for Tourist Bus Permit) Rules, 2016 for certification of Form 3 – Quarterly Returns to be filed by an All India Tourist Bus Permit Holder.
- To the Department of Food and Public Distribution seeking recognition for PCS under the Draft Warehousing (Development and Regulation) Repository and Participants Rules, 2016
and Draft Warehousing (Development and Regulations) Registration of Warehouses Rules, 2016.

- To the Ministry of Corporate Affairs seeking amendments/enacting new law with respect to Societies Registration Act, 1860.
- To the Ministry of Urban Development requesting for providing an opportunity to support the Government in the drafting of Rules under the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016.
- To the Ministry of Railways, requesting for giving opportunity to ICSI to provide inputs towards preparing SOPs and Standards for their meetings.
- To the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India seeking recognition for PCS under the Register of Interconnection Agreements (Broadcasting and Cable Services) Regulations, 2016.

National Company Law Tribunal
The Institute has been organising a series of National Seminars on National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) and National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT), for further building capacity of the members, which may come in the form of transitional challenges, i.e., transfer of cases from Company Law Board, High Court, BIFR to NCLT, new powers conferred on NCLT, manner of dealing with cases, drafting of applications/petitions, court crafts, including moot court etc.

ICSI also plans to conduct moot court sessions through webinars in the month of June dealing with the cases being dealt by Company Law Board presently. The Institute has so far organised 6 National Seminars at Chennai, Chandigarh, Bangalore, Kolkata, Guwahati and Ahmedabad. I request my professional colleagues to make full use of these capacity building programmes.

The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016
As you are kindly aware, the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 has been passed by both houses of the Parliament. This Code provides for myriad opportunities for the professionals to practice areas of individual insolvency, insolvency of partnership firms, insolvency of companies and LLPs. As NCLT would be adjudicating authority for corporate insolvency and insolvency of LLPs and Debt Recovery Tribunal would be adjudicating authority for individual insolvency and insolvency of partnership firms, the Institute plans to conduct capacity building programmes on this Code as well.

Suggestions invited on proposed Secretarial Standards
The Secretarial Standards Board (SSB) of the Institute is formulating/revising Secretarial Standards on Dividend, Board Report and Registers & Records in tune with the Companies Act, 2013 and other applicable laws. To further enhance the applicability of these standards, the Institute has invited suggestions with respect to the issues faced or identified areas in Companies Act, 2013 and Rules.

RBI Training and Workshop
ICSI representatives met with RBI (Reserve Bank of India) officials at Mumbai on May 30, 2016, to discuss the opportunity of conducting joint Trainings and Workshops for RBI employees.

ICSI Facilitates Least Developed Countries (LDCs) for Developing and Strengthening the Company Secretary Profession
In line with the vision of promoting good corporate governance, the ICSI is committed to provide technical and academic support towards development of profession of Company Secretaries globally, especially in the Least Developed Countries (LDCs) and emerging economies. We are initiating dialogue with different jurisdictions to join hands with ICSI in developing the profession of Company Secretary and facilitating good corporate governance.

Launch of CS Acceleration Centre
ICSI is ready to launch ‘CS Acceleration Centre’ (CSAC) under the banner of the ambitious project of Government of India ‘Start Up India Stand Up India’. CSAC is a training and academic initiative of the ICSI to groom the young professionals. It aims to provide incubation and acceleration facilities in the form of physical infrastructure, provision of mentorship support, access to networks, access to market etc. and provide a platform for developing business and networking.

ICSI 11th International Professional Development Fellowship Programme
ICSI has scheduled its 11th ICSI International Professional Development Fellowship Programme during the period starting from June 26, 2016 to July 4, 2016 in Greece covering Athens, Mykonos and Santorini.

5th GRI Global Conference
The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) is a non-profit organization that promotes economic, environmental and social sustainability. GRI provides all companies and organizations with a comprehensive sustainability reporting framework that is widely used around the world. The Institute represented at the 5th GRI Global Conference on “Empowering Sustainable Decisions” held in Amsterdam on May 18-20, 2016 and addressed the participants in one of the sessions. There was a participation of more than 70 countries and

Meeting with Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA)
A delegation of the Institute met the said Ministry with regard to the MCA Portal.
delegation of more than 1200 participants in the Conference. The Institute also represented at GRI Governmental Advisory Group, which served as a platform for representing Governments and Organisations, to exchange updates about the latest developments in the area of sustainable development and reporting in their own countries. Here, ICSI also released an e-book on Sustainability & CSR.

National Seminar on Entrepreneurship, Skill Development and Governance in MSMEs at Jaipur

The vibrant and dynamic Indian MSME sector is the backbone of the national economy during last five decades with a growth rate of over 10%. MSMEs not only play crucial role in providing greater employment opportunities at comparatively lower capital cost than large industries but also help in industrialization of rural & backward areas, thereby, reducing regional imbalances. The Institute organized 2nd National Seminar on Entrepreneurship, Skill Development and Governance in MSMEs with Federation of Rajasthan Trade & Industry as an associate partner at Hotel Hilton, Jaipur on May 28, 2016. Shri Rao Rajendra Singh, Hon’ble Deputy Speaker, Rajasthan Legislative Assembly, graced the occasion and appreciated the contribution of ICSI in this regard.

National Conferences and Summits

The Institute regularly participates as institutional partner in the programs of different Chambers of Commerce from time to time. It had one in Corporate Compliance Management and the other on Mergers and Acquisitions. Similarly programs on LODR has been done in association with BSE Ltd.

Professional iTellect- Series of Webinars

As shared with your good selves in my last communication, as part of building capacity for its members and students in new and happening areas, a series of webcasts ‘Professional iTellect’ has been started to further enrich the knowledge of our dear members and students. A series of webinars on Indirect Taxes got recently concluded. Webinars on Industrial Audit and Real Estate Act was also held. There is an overwhelming response to such subjects.

Drive for Placement of Management Trainees in the name of ‘Ascentia’

Placement of Management Trainees was taken up on priority and was given a focussed approach. There was always a need to have a mechanism to match the demand and supply of this precious workforce. The Institute launched a month long drive, developed informative brochures, dedicated a specific space on its portal and started an on-line facility by which the basic details of the students willing to join management training has been put up on the site and facility of search mechanisms and filters has been created so as to facilitate the corporates and PCS firms and other entities in this regard.

This month long effort was also aimed at registering as many companies/PCS/ Law Firms/ other entities as possible for imparting training and placement to the students pursuing Company Secretary Course. I am pleased to share with you that the ICSI has got an encouraging response for this inventiveness and these efforts turned out to be cyclopean success as the Institute got in personal touch with scores of members who in turn are coming up with copious job as well as trainee requirements for the young members/students during the campaign. Several companies appreciated the efforts made by the Institute to provide them with the facility of filtering their requirements for short listing the candidates.

Placement Initiatives

Institute has launched a placement gateway. Through this, members as well as corporates may directly access the list of requirements and access database containing opportunities as received from Pan India.

Series of Webcasts for Guidance of students

In order to provide subject specific guidance to the students and resolve their queries, the Institute organised series of webcasts from May 19, 2016 to May 25, 2016 specifically for the students appearing in June, 2016 Examinations, which also served as revision session for the students like Financial, Treasury and Forex Management, Economic and Commercial Laws, Company Law and Direct Tax & Capital Markets and Securities Laws.

“Precious You”

In the series of webcasts under the “Precious You” drive, the institute organized most recent webcast on May 18, 2016. I spearhead this myself. The webcast primarily focused on examination preparation for students taking upcoming examination in June, 2016. The webcast guided the students on various examination related aspects including methodical planning for the examination, proficiency in written and communication skills. These webcasts are also being viewed by members.

Release of Practice Manuals

With a view to make students conversant with the application of fundamental concepts and build their competency in practical aspects, the Institute released two more Practice Manuals on the subjects (i) Cost and Management Accounting; (ii) Advanced Tax laws and Practice. These practice manuals contain a pool of solved questions and are available in both soft and hard copies.

CSBF Month – May 2016

In order to encourage more and more members of the Institute under the security umbrella of CSBF, the Institute observed May 2016 as ‘CSBF Month’ throughout the country. All the regional offices/chapters of ICSI were sensitised and were requested to set-up camps for enrolment and dissemination of information. The Institute also came out with informative brochures on the subject.

ICSI Outreach

Taking further, the initiatives under ICSI Outreach Program, ICSI signed a MoU with Bhawanipur Education Society College, Kolkata and National Institute of Securities & Management (NISM) to hold joint workshops, seminars, continuing education and training programmes, exchange of journals, course materials, case studies and to conduct joint research projects etc. I am sure, these associations will turn out to be salubrious in the long run for the members and the students.
Other Initiatives for the benefit of members

• The Institute is updating the List of Members, publication of which is a statutory requirement.
• The Institute has initiated alignment of members’ data as per their PAN details for facilitating their DSC registration on the MCA portal. This is a continuous activity.
• The Institute is actively preparing for organization of ICSI Convocations lined up in the months of June and July.

Swachata Pakhwada

‘A clean India would be the best tribute India could pay to Mahatma Gandhi on his 150th Birth Anniversary in 2019’ said Honourable Prime Minister, Shri Narendra Modi as he launched the Swatch Bharat Mission. To contribute to this mega initiative of national importance, the Institute organized ‘Swachhta Pakhwada’ from May 16, 2016 to May 31, 2016. A drive towards swachhta was implemented at ICSI Head Quarter, Noida office, ICSI-CCGRT, Regional Offices and Chapters to make their desk spaces and immediate surroundings cleaner. Particularly, there was a mass campaign to weed out the dead records as per the weeding out policy of the Institute. For a drive to clean the locality, South Delhi Municipal Corporation (SDMC) came forward to join hands with ICSI.

Epilogue

I would like to share a short story titled ‘Can We Increase Our Value?’

Michael Jordan, the legendary basketball player was born in 1963, in the slums of Brooklyn, New York. He had four siblings and his father’s earnings were not enough to support whole family. Exposed to mindless violence and heavy discrimination in the slums, Jordan saw for himself only a hopeless future. His father saw in Michael, a lost soul and decided to do something. He gave Michael, who was 13 years old, a piece of used clothing and asked: “What do you think the value of this outfit would be?” Jordan replied, “Maybe one dollar.” His father asked, “Can you sell it for two dollars? If you can sell it, it would mean that you are a big help to your family.”

Jordan nodded his head, “I'll try, but no guarantee that I'll be successful.” Jordan carefully washed the cloth clean. Because they didn't have an iron, to smoothen the cloth, he levelled it with a clothes brush on a flat board, and then kept it in the sun to dry. The next day, he brought the cloth to a crowded underground station. After offering it for more than six hours, Jordan finally managed to sell it for $2. He took the two dollar bill and ran home.

More than ten days later, his father again gave him a piece of used clothing, “Can you think of a way you can sell this for 20 dollars?” Aghast, Jordan said, “How is it possible? This outfit can only fetch two dollars at the most.” His father replied, “Why don't you try it first? There might be a way.” After breaking his head for a few hours, finally, Jordan got an idea. He asked for cousin’s help to paint a picture of Donald Duck and Mickey Mouse on the garment. He stood outside a school where rich children studied. Soon a lady, who was there to pick her child, bought that outfit for his master. She loved it so much and she gave a five dollars tip too. 25 dollars was a huge amount for Jordan, equivalent to one month's salary of his father.

When he got home, his father gave him yet another piece of used clothing, “Will you be able to resell it at a price of 200 dollars?” Jordan's eyes lit up.

This time, Jordan accepted the cloth without slightest doubt. Two months later, a popular movie actress from the movie "Charlie's Angels", Farah Fawcett came to New York for her Movie promos. After the press conference, Jordan made his way through the security forces to reach the side of Farah Fawcett and requested her autograph on the piece of clothing. When Fawcett saw this innocent child asking for her autograph, she gladly signed it.

Jordan was shouting very excitedly, "This is a jersey signed by Miss Farah Fawcett, the selling price is 200 dollars!" He auctioned off the clothes, to a businessman for a price of 1,200 dollars! Upon returning home, his father broke into tears and said, “I am amazed that you did it my child! You're really great!” That night, Jordan slept alongside his father. His father said, “Son, in your experience selling these three pieces of clothing, what did you learn about success?”

Jordan replied, “Where there's a will, there's a way.”

His father nodded his head, and then shook his head, “What you say is not entirely wrong! But that was not my intention. I just wanted to show you that a piece of used clothing which is worth only a dollar can also be increased in value, then how about us - living and thinking humans? We may be darker and poorer, but what if we CAN increase our VALUE.”

Let's start digging for our huge untapped potential and let us all further increase our value, each day, bit by bit, with discipline and passion!

Happy Reading!!

Best wishes

Yours sincerely

June 06, 2016
New Delhi

(MS MAMTA BINANI)
president@icsi.edu
OVERVIEW AND IMPACT OF THE INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY CODE, 2016

FOREIGN COMPANY

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE KEY TO COMPANY’S SUCCESS

ONE PERSON COMPANY AND THE PRINCIPLE OF CORPORATE PERSONALITY: A REVIEW OF RECENT ENGLISH DECISIONS

REFUSAL TO TRANSFER SHARES – WHETHER PERMISSIBLE?

UNDERSTANDING LEVERAGE
ICSI celebrates Capital Markets Week  
June 18-25, 2016

The Institute of Company Secretaries of India (ICSI) has been actively engaged in promoting the interest of investors and the orderly development of the capital market in India. As part of its continuous initiative towards investor education and good governance in Capital Markets, the ICSI observes Capital Markets Week every year. This year, we are observing Capital Markets Week during June 18-25, 2016 throughout the country. The Theme, Sub-themes and mega programmes to be organized during the Capital Markets Week are as follows:

**Theme**

**Transcending Horizons - Capital Market Way**

**Sub-theme(s)**

- Reforms in Securities Market- Converging Securities Laws for better Governance
- Start Up and Accelerate -Empowering India’s MSMEs Sector through Institutional Trading Platform (ITP) & SME Exchange
- Building Good Governance and, sustainability in capital markets – Covering Governance platform, Environmental Protection, Social Development, CSR, Green Bond
- Start up Stand Up - Crowd Funding, Infrastructure and real estate building, public financing, PSU capitalism, Municipal bond
- Compliance Management under SEBI Listing Regulations, 2015- Reposing Investor Confidence
- Skill development through Financial Inclusion and Literacy (Including Human resource development)
- Reposing investor confidence- Covering measures giving opportunity to dissenting shareholders for exit
- Company Secretary- Professional Facilitator in Capital Markets
- Implementation of GST and its effect on Stock Markets

In addition to organization of mega programmes at above major cities, a number of activities will be undertaken during the week such as panel discussions, lectures, interactive meetings with capital market regulators/stock exchanges and investor awareness programmes by the respective Regional Councils and Chapters.

For details and updates regarding dates, time, venue and faculty of the mega programmes and other events during the Capital Markets Week, please visit [www.icsi.edu](http://www.icsi.edu) or contact respective office(s) of ICSI

---

**Programme Credit Hours**

- 4 PCH would be awarded to members for attending Mega Programmes.
- 8 PDP would be awarded to students for attending Mega Programmes.
- PCH for other programmes would be awarded as per the guidelines of the Institute.

All are cordially invited to attend and participate in the Capital Markets Week activities.

**CS Makarand Lele**  
Council Member, ICSI &  
Chairman, Financial Services Committee

**CS Mamta Binani**  
President  
ICSI
Overview and Impact of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016

BACKGROUND

There is no single law in India that deals with insolvency and bankruptcy. In terms of the present legal framework, provisions relating to insolvency and bankruptcy for companies can be found in the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985, the Recovery of Debt Due to Banks and Financial Institutions Act, 1993, the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 and the Companies Act, 2013. Liquidation of companies is handled by the High Courts. Individual Bankruptcy and Insolvency is dealt with by the civil Courts. The existing framework for insolvency and bankruptcy is inadequate, ineffective and results in undue delays in resolution. There is therefore, an immediate need to provide an effective legal framework for timely resolution of insolvency and bankruptcy which would support development of credit markets and encourage entrepreneurship as also to improve Ease of Doing Business, and facilitate more investments. Ease of doing business is not only about convenient entry into the market but also providing easy exit. Enactment of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 is a landmark reform in the direction of ease of doing business. It will significantly improve World Bank ranking of India which presently is 136 out of 189. The objective of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 is to consolidate and amend the laws relating to reorganization and insolvency resolution of corporate persons, partnership firms and individuals in a time bound manner.

Enactment of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 is a landmark reform in the direction of ease of doing business. It will significantly improve World Bank ranking of India which presently is 136 out of 189. The objective of the Code is to consolidate and amend the laws relating to reorganization and insolvency resolution of corporate persons, partnership firms and individuals in a time bound manner.

---

Pavan Kumar Vijay*, FCS

Managing Director
Corporate Professionals Capital Pvt. Ltd.
New Delhi

pkvijay@indiacp.com

*Past President, the Institute of Company Secretaries of India.
# OBJECTIVES OF THE INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY CODE, 2016

An Act to consolidate and amend the laws relating to reorganization and insolvency resolution of corporate persons, partnership firms and individuals in a time bound manner for maximisation of value of assets of such persons, to promote entrepreneurship, availability of credit and balance the interests of all the stakeholders including alteration in the order of priority of payment of Government dues and to establish an Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India, and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto.

## FOUR PARTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART-I</th>
<th>PART-II</th>
<th>PART-III</th>
<th>PART-IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary</td>
<td>Insolvency Resolution and Liquidation for Corporate Persons</td>
<td>Insolvency Resolution and Bankruptcy for Individuals and Partnership Firms</td>
<td>Regulation of Insolvency Professionals, Agencies and Information Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sections 1 to 3</td>
<td>Sections 4 to 77</td>
<td>Sections 78 to 187</td>
<td>Sections 188 to 255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable to the whole of India.</td>
<td>Applicable to the whole of India.</td>
<td>Applicable to the whole of India except the State of Jammu and Kashmir.</td>
<td>Applicable to the whole of India.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ELEVEN SCHEDULES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schedule-I</td>
<td>Amendment to the Indian Partnership Act, 1932.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule-II</td>
<td>Amendment to the Central Excise Act, 1944.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule-IV</td>
<td>Amendment to the Customs Act, 1962.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule-VI</td>
<td>Amendment to the Finance Act, 1994.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule-X</td>
<td>Amendment to the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule-XI</td>
<td>Amendment to the Companies Act, 2013.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## DEFINITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 3</td>
<td>37 definitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 5</td>
<td>28 definitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 79</td>
<td>22 definitions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## APPLICABLE TO

- Any company incorporated under the Companies Act.
- Any LLP incorporated under the LLP Act, 2008.
- Any other body, as notified by the Central Government.
- Partnership firms.
- Individuals.
The national Company Law Tribunal will be the adjudicating authority to deal with insolvency matters of Company & LLP and the Debt Recovery Tribunal will be adjudicating authority to deal with insolvency matters of individual and partnership firm.

The Adjudicating Authority shall, within a period of 14 days of the receipt of the application, by an order-

- Admit the application
- Reject the application

If it is complete
If it is incomplete

The Adjudicating Authority shall, before rejecting an application, give a notice to the applicant to rectify the defects in his application within 7 days from the date of receipt of such notice from the Adjudicating Authority.

TIME-LIMIT FOR COMPLETION OF INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION PROCESS

Insolvency resolution process starts from the date of admission of the application which is called ‘insolvency commencement date’ and the process must be completed within 180 days of its commencement.

One time extension, by further period not exceeding 90 days. Committee of creditors should have, by a resolution passed at its meeting by 75% of voting shares, instructed the resolution professional to seek extension of time. The Adjudicating Authority is satisfied that subject matter of the case is such that corporate insolvency resolution process cannot be completed within 180 days.

DECLARATION BY THE ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY

The Adjudicating Authority, after admission of the application shall, by an order-

- Declare a moratorium
- Cause a public announcement
- Appoint an interim resolution professional

MORATORIUM

The Adjudicating Authority shall by order prohibit the following, namely:-

1. The institution/ continuation/ proceedings of suits against the company/ LLP including execution of any judgement, decree or order in any court of law.

2. Transferring, encumbering, alienating or disposing of by the company/ LLP of its assets/ legal right/ beneficial interest.

3. Any action under the SARFAESI Act, 2002.

4. Recovery of any property by an owner or lessor where such property is occupied by or in the possession of the company/ LLP.

NOTE:- Supply of essential goods or services to the company/ LLP shall not be terminated or suspended during moratorium period.

OVERVIEW AND IMPACT OF THE INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY CODE, 2016

INVOKING THE PROVISIONS OF THIS CODE

The National Company Law Tribunal will be the adjudicating authority to deal with insolvency matters of Company & LLP and the Debt Recovery Tribunal will be adjudicating authority to deal with insolvency matters of individual and partnership firm.

FINANCIAL CREDITORS

| Financial creditors are those whose relationship with the entity is a pure financial contract, such as a loan or a debt security-loan/debt contracts. |

| Minimum amount of one lakh rupees |

| Operational creditors are those whose liability from the entity comes from a transaction on operations- trade creditors, employees, utilities. |

| Minimum amount of one thousand rupees |

The minimum amount of one lakh rupees and one thousand rupees can be increased up to one crore rupees and one lakh rupees respectively by the Central Government.

The Adjudicating Authority shall by an order-

- Cause a public announcement
- Declare a moratorium
- Appoint an interim resolution professional

Financial creditors are those whose relationship with the entity is a pure financial contract, such as a loan or a debt security-loan/debt contracts.

Operational creditors are those whose liability from the entity comes from a transaction on operations-trade creditors, employees, utilities.

In case of Company & LLP

- Minimum amount of one lakh rupees

In case of Partnership & Individual

- Minimum amount of one thousand rupees

INVOKING THE PROVISIONS OF THIS CODE

Default

Trigger Point

In case of Company & LLP

- Minimum amount of one lakh rupees

In case of Partnership & Individual

- Minimum amount of one thousand rupees

May file an application, for initiating corporate insolvency resolution process with the Adjudicating Authority.
Moratorium period

Order of moratorium made by the Adjudicating Authority

Till the completion of the insolvency resolution process.

PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT

The public announcement shall contain the following information, namely:

(a) Name and address of the defaulted company/ LLP.
(b) Name of the Registrar with which the company/ LLP is incorporated or registered.
(c) Last date for submission of claims.
(d) Details of interim resolution professional.
(e) Penalties for false or misleading claims.
(f) Date on which the insolvency resolution process shall close (i.e. 180 days from the date of the admission of the application).

APPOINTMENT OF AN INTERIM RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL-

The Adjudicating Authority shall appoint an interim resolution professional within 14 days from the admission of the application. The term of the interim resolution professional shall not exceed 30 days from the date of his appointment.

COMMITTEE OF CREDITORS

The interim resolution professional shall after-

Collation of all claims received against the company/ LLP

(DETERMINATION OF THE FINANCIAL POSITION OF THE COMPANY/ LLP)

Constitute a committee of creditors

This committee shall comprise all financial creditors of the company/ LLP.

DECISIONS BY THE COMMITTEE OF CREDITORS

All decisions of the committee shall be taken by a vote of not less than 75% of voting share of the financial creditors.

APPOINTMENT OF RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL

The committee of creditors may, in their first meeting, either-

Resolve to appoint the interim resolution professional as a resolution professional

(OR)

Replace the interim resolution professional by another resolution professional.

• The resolution professional shall conduct the entire insolvency resolution process and manage the operations of the company during the corporate insolvency resolution process period.
• The resolution professional shall exercise all such powers and duties as are vested on the interim resolution professional.
• All meetings of the committee of creditors shall be conducted by the resolution professional.
**DUTIES OF RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings of the committee of creditors</th>
<th>Documents</th>
<th>Rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Convene and attend all meetings.</td>
<td>• Maintain an updated list of claims.</td>
<td>• Take immediate custody and control of all the assets including business records of the company/ LLP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Present all resolution plans at the meetings.</td>
<td>• Prepare the information memorandum.</td>
<td>• Represent and act on behalf of the company/ LLP with third parties.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FAST TRACK INSOLVENCY RESOLUTION PROCESS**

An application for fast track corporate insolvency resolution process may be made in respect of the following company/ LLP, namely:

- A company/ LLP with assets and income below a level as may be notified by the Central Government.
- A company/ LLP with such class of creditors or such amount of debt as may be notified by the Central Government.
- Such other category of body as may be notified by the Central Government.

In case of fast track matters, the insolvency resolution process shall be completed within a period of a 90 days limit with a single extension of up to 45 days, if needed.

**INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY FUND**

The Code creates an Insolvency and Bankruptcy Fund. The Fund will receive voluntary contributions from any person. In case of insolvency proceedings being initiated against the contributor, he will be allowed to withdraw his contribution for making payments to workmen, protecting his assets, etc. These funds will not accrue any interest on contribution, just acting like locker in last resort.
The Code contemplates creation of a class of professionals who will be specialised in dealing with insolvency and bankruptcy and will be accessible to the persons who need them. These professionals will be enrolled with Insolvency Professional Agency which will ensure an efficient, effective and professional handling of repayment of debt and also registered with the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India.

NEW INSTITUTIONS

INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY BOARD OF INDIA (BOARD)

The Board shall consist of the following members who shall be appointed by the Central Government, namely:-

(a) A Chairperson.

(b) Three members not below the rank of joint Secretary or equivalent, one of each to represent the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and Ministry of Law, ex-officio.

(c) One member to be nominated by the Reserve Bank of India, ex-officio.

(d) Five other members to be nominated by the Central Government, of whom at least three shall be the whole-time members.

INSOLVENCY PROFESSIONAL AGENCY

These agencies are required to register with the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India and the Board shall have regard to the following principles while registering the insolvency professional agencies, namely:-

- Promote the professional development of and regulation of insolvency professionals.
- Promote good professional and ethical conduct amongst insolvency professionals.
- Protect the interests of debtors, creditors etc.
- Promote the services of competent insolvency professionals to cater to the needs of debtors, creditors etc.

INFORMATION UTILITY

This code is introducing a new entity or a new system known as ‘Information Utilities’. These agencies will collect, collate, authenticate and disseminate financial information to facilitate insolvency, liquidation and bankruptcy. The Information utility would thus hold an array of information about all firms at all times and make available undisputed and complete information immediately. Every information utility shall create and store financial information in a universally accessible format and provide access to the financial information stored by it to any person who intends to access such information. These information utilities also required to register with the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India.
An Indian entity on an average has to spend 9% of its estate whereas in countries like Finland, Japan and Korea the cost is only 3.5% of its estate. The new law has endeavoured to provide one critical building block of this process, with a modern insolvency and bankruptcy code, and the design of associated institutional infrastructure which reduces delays and transaction costs.

NEW PROFESSIONALS ⇒ INSOLVENCY PROFESSIONAL

The Code contemplates creation of a class of professionals who will be specialised in dealing with insolvency and bankruptcy and will be accessible to the persons who need them. These professionals will be enrolled with Insolvency Professional Agency which will ensure an efficient, effective and professional handling of repayment of debt and also registered with the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India. The Board will specify the categories of professionals or persons possessing such qualifications and experience in the field of finance, law, management, insolvency or such other field, as it deems fit, which can be appointed as Insolvency Professionals.

Every Insolvency Professional shall abide by the following code of conduct:-

- To take reasonable care and diligence while performing his duties.
- To comply with all requirements and terms and conditions specified in the bye-laws of the insolvency professional agency of which he is a member.
- To allow the insolvency professional agency to inspect his records.
- To submit a copy of the records of every proceeding before the Adjudicating Authority to the Board as well as to the insolvency professional agency of which he is a member, and
- To perform his function in such manner and subject to such conditions as may be specified.

Insolvency Professionals, will conduct the insolvency resolution process, take over the management of a company, assist creditors in the collection of relevant information, and manage the liquidation process. Duties of the Insolvency Professional are as follows:-

- Collect and collate claims submitted by creditors to him.
- Monitor the assets of the entity.
- Collect and collate information about assets, finances and operations of the entity.
- Constitute a committee of creditors.
- Take over the management of the affairs of the entity.
Presently, Indian lenders are able to recover only 25.7% as a result of insolvency or liquidation of the entity compared with Finland where the lenders get 90.1%; in Japan the lenders get 92.9%, German lenders get 83.7%, U.S.A lenders get 81.5% and China lenders get 36.2%. The new law comes at a time when lenders are dealing with a record pile of bad debt, for which the government has also sought to amend existing laws to make recoveries smoother.

**RECOVERY RATE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Recovery Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

World Bank, data updated till 2015, shows that the time to resolve insolvency (number of years from the filing for insolvency suit in court until the resolution) is 4.3 years in India compared with just eight months in Singapore, one year in Malaysia as well as the United Kingdom, and one and half years in the US. Through the new law, the government is trying to put in place a speedy process for early identification of financial stress and resolve the strain if the business is found viable. It has stipulated a time-bound revival.

**CONCLUSION**

India is in the process of laying a strong foundation for a mature market economy. This involves well drafted modern laws, that replace the laws of the preceding 100 years, and high performance organisations which enforce these new laws. Implementation of the new Code will increase GDP growth in India by fostering the emergence of a modern credit market, and particularly the corporate bond market. GDP growth will accelerate when more credit is available to new firms including firms which lack tangible capital. While many other things need to be done in achieving a sound system of finance and firms, this is one critical building block of that edifice.

**Source:-**
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Foreign Company

INTRODUCTION

Foreign citizens or Companies can make investments in shares or debentures of an Indian Company, either through the automatic route or the Government route. Under the automatic route, the non-resident investor or the Indian Company does not require any approval from Government of India for the investment. Under the Government Route, prior approval of the Government of India is required. Proposals for foreign investment under Government route are considered by FIPB (Foreign Investment Promotion Board).

The Government of India has put in place a policy framework on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI). This framework is embodied in the Circular on Consolidated FDI Policy. The Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion (DIPP), Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India makes policy pronouncements on FDI through Press notes/ Press Releases. The procedural instructions are issued by the Reserve Bank of India vide A.P. Dir. (series)Circulars. The regulatory framework, over a period of time, thus, consists of Acts, Regulations, Press Notes, Press Releases, Clarifications, etc.

STATUS OF ‘FOREIGN COMPANY’

An office, branch or agency in India owned or controlled by a person resident outside India is a “person resident in India”. [vide section 2(v) of FEMA, 1999].

A sound Foreign exchange reserve is of utmost necessity for the economic soundness of a country. Successive Indian Governments have, over the years, been taking several important measures to build a strong exchange reserve by easing restrictions on investments by non residents and foreign companies.

Under section 6 of FEMA, 1999, the Reserve Bank may, by regulation, prohibit, restrict, or regulate establishment in India of a Branch, office or other place of business by a person resident outside India, for carrying on any activity relating to such branch, office or other place of business.

As per section 2(42) of the Companies Act, 2013:-

“Foreign Company” means any Company or Body Corporate incorporated outside India which:

i. Has a place of business in India whether by itself or through an agent, physically or through electronic mode; and

ii. Conducts any business activity in India in any other manner.

The Companies Act, 2013 has the potential to impact a large number of Foreign Companies that may be doing business in India through electronic mode. The registration requirement of companies doing business in India through ‘electronic mode’ has been the subject matter of discussions and debates. Rule 2(1) (h) of the Companies (Specification and Definitions Details) Rules, 2014 defines ‘electronic mode’.

“Electronic Mode” means carrying out
Amazon.com, Rakuten.com etc., where customers located in India a place of business in India through electronic mode such as operate directly or indirectly in India and may be said to have continue to operate in the country. Such a wide coverage on transactions done through electronic modes is, therefore, likely to have a great impact on various foreign companies involved in transactions such as consultancy services, financial services, e-commerce etc. The New Act has drastically expanded the definition of Foreign Companies to include those foreign companies as well that are doing business in India through electronic mode. As discussed earlier, Rule 2 (c) defines ‘electronic mode’, which definition is wide enough to cover virtually every transaction carried through electronic mode including through e-mail, mobile devices, social media, cloud computing, document management, voice or data transmission or otherwise. A bare perusal of the provisions of the new Act (esp. section 380 and section 2 (42) along with prescribed Rules) suggests that even a single transaction conducted in India by a foreign company would be sufficient to infer that such foreign company has established a place of business in India. Such an interpretation would lead to undesirable consequences as any foreign company e.g. a consultancy company based outside India would require registration in India even if it undertakes only one single transaction in a whole year. However, as absurd as it may appear, the bare interpretation of the New Act leads to this conclusion. From above, it is clear that foreign companies must comply with the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 in respect to the business as if it were a company incorporated in India.IMPACT OF CHANGE IN DEFINITION OF FOREIGN COMPANIES

Earlier, the definition of Foreign Company under the Companies Act, 1956, Section 591 was “Company incorporated outside India and having a place of business in India or not.

The provisions of sub-section (2) of section 234 of the said Act mainly talks about merging of a Foreign Company with Indian Company with the prior approval of the Reserve Bank of India or vice versa.

SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT MEANING OF FOREIGN COMPANY

For the purposes of sub-section (2) of section 234 of the Companies Act, 2013, the expression “foreign Company” means any company or body corporate incorporated outside India whether having a place of business in India or not.

The requirement of “carrying on business in India” is satisfied if a company’s business is carried on at a fixed and definite place in India for a sufficiently and reasonably long period of time. The mere presence of a representative of a foreign company is not sufficient if his only authority is to solicit orders from customers, but not to make contracts on behalf of the company. [P. J. Johnson v. Astrofiel Armandorn, 1990 69 Comp Cas 619 (Ker).]

A company carrying on business in India is amenable to the jurisdiction of the Indian Courts.

The New Act specifically provides that in order to ascertain the place of business in India through electronic mode, the main server is not required to be installed in India.
For a foreign Investor in India it is very important to choose a right kind of business or corporate entity which best suits its purposes and takes care of liability issues and tax planning issues. Foreign Companies planning to do business in India should pay special attention to Entry Strategies in India for Foreign Investors and corporate structuring to save taxes to the best extent allowed by laws and international tax treaties.

resident in two places in the sense that it keeps a house and does business in more than one place and its actual management and control is thus divided.

The test of ‘residence in India' cannot be satisfied unless the company has a fixed place of business in India is not sufficient if his only authority is to solicit orders from customers but not make contracts on behalf of the Company. [P. J. Johnson v. Astroifiel Armandorn, 1990 69 Comp Cas 619 (Ker).]

AUTHENTICATION OF DOCUMENTS

If the foreign company is incorporated in any part of the Commonwealth, the copy of the document shall be certified by an official of the Government to whose custody the original of the documents is committed or by a Notary in that part of the Commonwealth or by an officer of the foreign company, on oath before a person having authority to administer oath in that part of the Commonwealth.

If the foreign company is incorporated in a country outside the Commonwealth, the copy shall be certified by an official of the Government to whose custody the original is committed or by a Notary of such country or by an officer of the company.

PROCEDURE FOR A FOREIGN COMPANY ESTABLISHING A PLACE OF BUSINESS IN INDIA

Though under the Companies Act, 2013 there is no formality required for a foreign company establishing a place of business in this country, except the filing of the documents required by this section, RBI Master Circular on Establishment of Liaison / Branch / Project Offices in India by Foreign Entities dated July 01, 2015 requires permission of the Reserve Bank for a foreign company carrying on or establishing any place of business for carrying on any activity of a trading, commercial or industrial nature. The object is to know the possible effects of the foreign company’s business on the country’s economy and foreign exchange position.

TYPES OF BUSINESS ENTITIES IN INDIA

In India, the following types of business entities are available:

1. Private Limited Company
2. Public Limited Company
3. Unlimited Company
4. Limited Liability Partnership (LLP)
5. Partnership
6. Sole Proprietorship
7. Liaison Office / Representative Office
8. Project Office
9. Branch Office
10. Joint Venture Company
11. Subsidiary Company

It must be noted that a Joint Venture Company is not a separate type of legal entity; it could be a Private Limited Company, a Public Limited Company, or an Unlimited Company. Similarly a wholly owned Subsidiary of a foreign company in India could be either a Private Limited Company, a Public Limited Company, an Unlimited Company, or a Branch Office.

For a foreign Investor in India it is very important to choose a right kind of business or corporate entity which best suits its purposes and takes care of liability issues and tax planning issues. Foreign Companies planning to do business in India should pay special attention to Entry Strategies in India for Foreign Investors and corporate structuring to save taxes to the best extent allowed by laws and international tax treaties.

It is also mandatory for foreign investors or foreign shareholders, both individuals and corporate shareholders, to seek Government Approvals for Investing in India. In some special cases Foreign Investment Promotion Board(FIPB) Approval for Foreign Investment in India is required. In other cases Reserve Bank of India(RBI) Approvals for Foreign Investment in India are required. The sectors where RBI Approval for foreign investors is available
under automatic route can be found at FDI in India Sector wise Guide.

A Company in India can have foreign directors provided some conditions are fulfilled. The directors of an Indian company, both Indian and foreigner directors, are required to obtain Director Identification Number (DIN) and Digital Signature Certificate (DSC).

There are some restrictions regarding issuing sweat equity for a company incorporated in India.

ENTRY OPTIONS

A Foreign Company looking to set up operations in India can consider the following options:

FOREIGN COMPANIES

a. As an Incorporated Entity
   i. Joint Venture
   ii. Wholly Owned Subsidiary Company
   iii. Limited Liability Partnership

b. As an un-incorporated entity
   i. Branch Office
   ii. Project Office
   iii. Liaison Office

JOINT VENTURE WITH AN INDIAN PARTNER

Although a wholly-owned subsidiary has proven to be the preferred option, foreign companies have also begun operations in India by forming strategic alliances with Indian partners. The trend is to choose a partner in the same area of activity, or who brings synergy to the foreign investor’s plans for India. Sometimes, JVs are also necessitated due to restrictions on foreign ownership in certain sectors.

Foreign Companies can set up their operations in India by forging strategic alliances with Indian partners.

Joint Venture may entail the following advantages for a foreign investor:

1. Established distribution/marketing set up of the Indian partner
2. Available financial resource of the Indian partners
3. Established contacts of the Indian partners which help smoothen the process of setting up of operations

Therefore one can say that as per Section 4(7) of the Companies Act 1956, Private company incorporated in India would be deemed Public Company if it is a subsidiary of a Public company incorporated outside India except those Private company whose entire share capital is held by a Public company incorporated outside India whether alone or together with one or more other bodies corporate incorporated outside India. Foreign Companies used this provision to operate in India through subsidiaries which are private companies as per Section 4(7) of the Companies Act 1956.

Companies Act 2013 does not have provision similar to Section 4(7) of the Companies Act 1956. As per proviso to Section 2(71) of the Companies Act 2013, a company which is a subsidiary of a company, not being a private company, shall be deemed to be a public company for the purposes of the Companies Act 2013 even where such subsidiary company continues to be private company in its articles.

As per proviso to Section 2(71) of the Companies Act 2013 a Subsidiary Company will be a deemed Public Company if its holding company is not a Private Company even where such subsidiary company continues to be a private company by its articles.

Therefore subsidiary company may remain private company by its own choice as far as internal matters are concerned e.g. it does not invite public to subscribe for any securities of the company but in the eyes of law it will be a deemed public company.

CLARIFICATION ISSUED BY MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS

In terms of the clarification issued by Ministry of Corporate Affairs, an existing company being subsidiary of the company incorporated outside India registered under Companies Act 1956, either as private company or public company by virtue of the Section 4(7) of the Companies Act 2013 will continue as private company or public company as the case may be without any change in incorporation status of such company. The said clarification also states that there is no bar in the Companies Act 2013 for a company incorporated outside India to incorporate subsidiary in India either as public company or a private company.

WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY COMPANY

A foreign Company can set up a wholly owned subsidiary company in India to carry out its activities. Such a subsidiary is treated as Indian Resident and an Indian Company for all Indian regulations (including Income Tax, FEMA 1999 and the Companies Act, 2013), despite being 100% foreign-owned with at least two shareholders (for a Private Limited Company) and seven shareholders (for a Public Limited Company).

Foreign companies can also set up wholly-owned subsidiary in sectors where 100% foreign direct investment is permitted under the FDI policy.

For registration and incorporation, an application has to be filed with Registrar of Companies (ROC). Once a company has been duly registered and incorporated as an Indian company, it is subject to Indian laws and regulations as applicable to other domestic Indian companies.

LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIPS

An LLP is a new form of business structure in India. It combines the advantages of a company, such as being a separate legal entity having perpetual succession, with the benefits of organizational flexibility associated with the partnership. At least two partners are required to form an LLP. These partners have limited Liability for the LLP.
With less stringent compliance requirements an LLP is comparatively easier to manage than a Company. Furthermore, an LLP is not subject to mandatory compliance requirements applicable to a company under the Companies Act, 2013.

ESTABLISHMENT OF BRANCH/LIAISON/PROJECT OFFICES IN INDIA BY FOREIGN ENTITIES

Branch office

In terms of the FEM (Establishment in India of Branch or Office or other Place of Business) Regulations, 2000 ‘Branch Office’, in relation to a company, means any establishment described as such by the company. [vide section 2(14) of the Companies Act, 2013].

Set Up

i. Foreign companies engaged in manufacturing and trading activities abroad are allowed to set up Branch Offices in India for specified purposes.

ii. Body Corporate, Firm or Association of Individuals incorporated outside India Except Partnership /Proprietary Concerns.

iii. BO of Bank: DBOD of RBI approval including extension

iv. BO in SEZ: No RBI Permission, only those sectors where 100% FDI permitted.

Establishment and Approval

i. Branch Offices could be established with the approval of RBI.

ii. No approval required from RBI for a company to establish a branch/unit in SEZs to undertake manufacturing and service activities if
   a. such units are functioning in those sectors where 100 per cent FDI is permitted;
   b. such units function on a stand-alone basis.

iii. The Branch / Liaison offices established with the Reserve Bank’s approval will be allotted a Unique Identification Number (UIN).

Entry Routes

i. Reserve Bank Route: If the principal activity of foreign entity falls under a sector where 100% FDI allowed under Automatic Route(AR).

ii. Government Route: If the principal activity of foreign entity falls in the sector where 100% FDI not allowed under Automatic Route.

iii. NGOs/ NPOs/ Government Bodies/ Departments are considered by the Reserve Bank in consultation with the Ministry of Finance, Government of India. etc.

Permitted Activities [Schedule I]

i. Export/import of goods.

ii. Rendering professional or consultancy services.

iii. Carrying out research work, in areas in which the parent company is engaged.

iv. Promoting technical or financial collaborations between Indian companies and parent or overseas group company.

v. Representing the parent company in India and acting as buying/ selling agent in India.

vi. Rendering services in Information Technology and development of software in India.

vii. Rendering technical support to the products supplied by parent/group companies.

viii. Foreign airline/shipping Company.

ix. Profits earned by the Branch Offices are freely remittable from India, subject to payment of applicable taxes.

Normally, the Branch Office should be engaged in the activity in which the Parent Company is engaged.

NON- PERMISSIBLE ACTIVITIES

1. Retail trading activities of any nature is not allowed or a Branch Office in India.

2. A Branch Office is not allowed to carry out manufacturing or processing activities in India, directly or indirectly.

BRANCHES OF FOREIGN BANKS

Foreign banks do not require separate approval under FEMA, for opening branch office in India. Such banks are, however, required to obtain necessary approval under the provisions of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, from Department of Banking Regulation, Reserve Bank.

WINDING-UP OF BRANCH OFFICE

In the event of winding-up of business and for remittance of winding-up proceeds, the branch shall approach an AD Category I bank with the documents as mentioned under "Closure of Liaison / Branch Office" except the copy of the letter granting approval by the Reserve Bank.

LIAISON OFFICE

A Liaison Office (also known as Representative Office) can undertake only liaison activities, i.e. it can act as a channel of communication between Head Office abroad and parties in India. It is not allowed to undertake any business activity in India and cannot earn any income in India. Expenses of such offices are to be met entirely through inward remittances of foreign exchange from the Head Office outside India. The role of such offices is, therefore, limited to collecting information about possible market opportunities and providing information about the company and its products to the prospective Indian customers. Permission to set up such offices is initially granted for a period of 3 years and this may be extended from time to time by an AD Category I bank.
ROLE
i. The role of the liaison office is limited to collecting information about possible market opportunities and providing information about the company and its products to prospective Indian customers and acting as a communication channel between the parent company and Indian Companies.
ii. Nepal can set up LO only.
iii. LO of Insurance/Bank: IRDA/DBOD of RBI approval including extension.

APPROVAL
Approval for establishing a liaison office in India is granted by RBI. Requests for establishing additional BO / LOs may be submitted through fresh FNC form, duly signed by the authorized signatory of the foreign entity in the home country to the Reserve Bank of India as explained above. However, the documents mentioned in form FNC need not be resubmitted, if there are no changes to the documents already submitted earlier.
1. If the number of Offices exceeds 4 (i.e. one BO / LO in each zone viz; East, West, North and South), the applicant has to justify the need for additional office/s.
2. The applicant may identify one of its Offices in India as the Nodal Office, which will coordinate the activities of all Offices in India.

DIFFERENT ROUTES
i. RBI Route: If the principal activity of foreign entity falls under sector where 100% FDI allowed under Automatic Route (AR).
ii. Government Route: If the principal activity of foreign entity falls in the sector where 100% FDI not allowed under AR, NGOs, NPOs etc.

PERMITTED ACTIVITIES [SCHEDULE II]
i. Representing in India the parent company / group companies.
ii. Promoting export / import from / to India.
iii. Promoting technical/ financial collaborations between parent / group companies and companies in India.
iv. Acting as a communication channel between the parent company and Indian companies.

It can promote export/import from/to India and also facilitate technical/financial collaboration between parent company/Group companies and companies in India.

NON-PERMISSIBLE ACTIVITIES
1. Liaison office not permitted to undertake any business activities, Sale/ Purchase, commercial / trading/industrial activity.
2. It cannot earn any income in India.

REMITTANCE OF SURPLUS ON PROFITS
Branch Offices are permitted to remit outside India profit of the branch net of applicable Indian taxes, on production of the following documents to the satisfaction of the Authorised Dealer through whom the remittance is effected:-
a. A Certified copy of the audited Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss account for the relevant year
b. A Chartered Accountant's certificate certifying
   i. the manner of arriving at the remittable profit
   ii. that the entire remittable profit has been earned by undertaking the permitted activities
   iii. that the profit does not include any profit on revaluation of the assets of the branch

PROJECT OFFICE
Foreign companies planning to execute specific projects in India can set-up temporary project and site offices for this purpose. The RBI has granted general permission to foreign companies to establish project offices in India, provided they have secured a contract from an Indian company to execute a project in India.

As per Regulation 3, a Branch / Project/ Liaison Office can be set up only with the prior permission of RBI subject to specified conditions.

ENTRY ROUTES
Setting up of Project Offices by foreign Non-Government Organisations / Non - Profit Organisations / Foreign Government Bodies/Departments, by whatever name called, are under the Government Route. Accordingly, such entities are required to apply to the Reserve Bank for prior permission to establish an office in India, whether Project Office or otherwise.

PERMITTED ACTIVITIES
i. General permission to foreign entities to establish Project / Site Offices (temporary in nature).
ii. General permission also for remitting surplus funds after completion of project on production of the following documents:
   - Certified copy of the final audited project accounts;
   - A Chartered Accountant’s certificate showing the manner of arriving at the remittable surplus;
   - Income tax assessment order or other documentary evidence showing payment of income-tax and other applicable taxes, or a chartered accountant’s certificate stating that sufficient funds have been set aside for meeting all Indian Tax liabilities;
   - Auditor’s certificate stating that no statutory liabilities in respect of the Project are outstanding.
NON-PERMISSIBLE ACTIVITIES

Such offices cannot undertake or carry on any activity other than the activity relating and incidental to execution of the project.

CONDITIONS

1. The project is funded directly by inward remittance from abroad; or is funded by a bilateral or multilateral International Financing Agency.
2. The project has been cleared by an appropriate authority.
3. A company or entity in India awarding the contract has been granted Term Loan by a Public Financial Institution or bank in India for the project.
4. However, if the above criteria are not met, or if the parent entity is established in Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Afghanistan, Iran or China, such applications have to be forwarded to Central Office of the Foreign Exchange Department of the Reserve Bank for approval.

BRANCH & LIAISON OFFICE

PROCEDURE

1. The application has to be made in Form FNC-1 and submitted along with the following documents:
   - Copies of last Five years (For LO 3 Years) audited Balance Sheet, Profit & Loss Account of the PC.
   - Profit Track Record.
   - For BO — Five Years.
   - For LO — Three Years.
   - Net Worth
     - For BO — not less than USD 100,000 or its equivalent.
     - For LO — not less than USD 50,000 or its equivalent.
   - Translated English version of the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation/Registration, Memorandum & Articles of Association.
   - English version of the Copy of the Board resolution for opening office in India.
   - Reasons for opening office in India like business transacted, details of customers, vendors etc.
   - Company’s profile with brief history, product details, group companies etc.
   - Special Power of Attorney in favor of a local representative duly notarized.
   - For obtaining approval from RBI and to register with the Registrar of Companies, the following documents are required for opening a BO/LO in India:
     - A copy of Board Resolution for opening BO/LO in India. (Notary and consulate by Indian embassy)
     - A copy of Certificate of incorporation of your company abroad
     - A copy of Memorandum of the company.
     - List of Directors/Key Persons of the company.
     - List of Branches in other countries if any
     - Brief profile of the business activity
     - Proposed address of the BO/LO in India.

   • All the documents are required in duplicate
   • Certain specific information that needs to be furnished in this application include –
     - Global history of operations of the company.
     - Proposal of activities and interest areas in India.
     - Reasons for opening office.
     - For exchange issues.
   • The BO/LO set up by the foreign companies in India are allowed to carry out the payment issues outside India under the regulations of RBI.
   • They do not need to maintain any reserves in India.
   • In cases of possessing income or profits in India, the BO/LO can send back profits directly to their Head Office, which is located in the foreign country, and they do not need any prior approval from RBI for that.

CLOSURE

The company has to approach the respective Regional Office of the Reserve Bank with the following documents:-

   • Copy of the Reserve Bank’s permission for establishing the Office in India.
   • Auditor’s certificate.
   (i) Indicating the manner in which remittable amount has been arrived and supported by a statement of assets and liabilities of the applicant, and indicating the manner of disposal of assets.
   (ii) Confirming that all liabilities in India including arrears of gratuity and other benefits to employees etc. of the branch/office have either fully met or adequately provided for;
   (iii) Confirming that no income accruing from sources outside India (including proceeds of exports) has remained un-repatriated to India;
   • No-objection or Tax clearance certificate from Income tax authority for the remittance; and
   • Confirmation from the applicant that no legal proceedings in any Court in India are pending and there is no legal impediment to the remittance.

Once RBI’s Regional Office grants approval AD Category-I Banks can allow remittance of surplus. At the time of closure of Branch Offices, the entities have to approach the Central Office of the Reserve Bank for approval, with the same set of documents as mentioned above.

   • Without prior permission of the Reserve Bank, no person being a citizen of/ registered in Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Afghanistan, Iran, China, Hong Kong or Macau can establish in India, a BO/LO/PO or any other place of business.
   • Proprietary concerns set up abroad are not allowed to establish BO/LO/PO in India.
   • BO/PO(not LO) can acquire Immovable Property for their own use or to carry out permitted activities but not for leasing or renting out the property. However, entities from Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Afghanistan, Iran, Nepal, Bhutan, China, Hong Kong and Macau are not allowed to acquire

   • FOREIGN COMPANY
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immovable property in India even for a BO. These entities are allowed to lease such property for a period not exceeding five years.

- BO/LO/PO are allowed to open non-interest bearing INR current accounts in India.
- Permission to establish offices, in India by foreign Non-Government Organisations/Non-Profit Organisations/Foreign Government Bodies/Departments, by whatever name called, are under the Government Route.
- BO can remit profit out of India through AD on submitting documents.
- Transfer of Assets of BO/LO/PO to another LO/BO or Subsidiary require AD Bank/RBI prior approval (as the case may be).
- Term deposit out of temporary surplus funds for 6 months can be allowed by AD – Utilization in India within 3 months.

APPLICATION OF COMPANIES ACT TO FOREIGN COMPANIES –SECTION 379

- Whereas equal to or more than 50% of the paid up share capital (whether equity or preference) of a Foreign Company is held whether singly or in the aggregate by:
  - One or more citizens of India
  - One or more companies or bodies corporate incorporated in India

- Such foreign company shall need to comply with the provisions of Chapter 22 (Companies Incorporated outside India) of Companies Act, 2013.

- In addition of above also need to comply with the provisions of Companies Act, 2013 as may be prescribed with regard to business carried on by it in India as if it were incorporated in India.

Section 379 of Act of 2013 though similar to Section 591 of the Act of 1956, there are several striking and far reaching difference.

COMPLIANCES AT THE TIME OF REGISTRATION

- Foreign company shall deliver following documents within 30 days from the date of establishment of place of business in India for registration with Registrar in form FC-1
  1. Certified copy of the charter, statutes/ memorandum /articles of the company in English language.
  2. The full address of the registered or principal office.
  3. A detailed list of the directors and secretary of the company.
  4. The name(s) and address (s) of one or more persons resident in India—who will act as Authorized Representative of the Company.

- Such application shall be supported with an attested copy of approval from Reserve Bank of India (RBI) under FEMA Act or Regulations and also from other regulators, if required.
  - The full address of the office in India.
  - Particulars of opening and closing of a place of business in India on earlier occasion or occasions;
  - Declaration that none of the directors of the company or the authorized representative in India has ever been convicted or debarred from formation of companies and management in India or abroad; and
  - Any other information as may be prescribed.

Any document which any foreign company is required to deliver to Registrar shall be delivered to Registrar having jurisdiction over New Delhi and fees for registration of documents is Rs. 6000

COMPLIANCES - POST REGISTRATION

The accounts of foreign companies are to be delivered to the Registrar within 6 months from the end of the financial year and it shall include:

- Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account as per Schedule III of the Act
- Documents to be annexed as per the provisions of Chapter IX (Accounts of Companies) of the Act, 2013
- Statement of related party transactions
- Statement of Repatriation of Profit
- Statement of transfer of fund between place of business of foreign company in India and other related party of foreign company outside India
- List of places of business in form FC-3 established by the foreign company in India as on the date of balance sheet

DOCUMENTS, ETC TO BE DELIVERED TO REGISTRAR BY FOREIGN COMPANIES (SECTION-380)

Every Foreign company is required to submit these documents to the Registrar for registration, within 30 days of the establishment of its place of business in India:

1. Certified copy of the charter, statutes or memorandum and articles, of the company or other instrument constituting or defining the constitution of the company and, if the instrument is not in the English language, a certified translation thereof in the English language;
2. Full address of the registered or principal office of the company.
3. List of the directors and secretary of the company containing such particulars as prescribed under Rule 3.
4. Name and address or the names and addresses of one or more persons resident in India authorised to accept on behalf of the company service of process and any notices or other documents required to be served on the company.
5. Full address of the office of the company in India which is
deemed to be its principal place of business in India.

6. Particulars of opening and closing of a place of business in India on earlier occasion or occasions.

7. Declaration that none of the directors of the company or the authorized representative in India has ever been convicted or debarred from formation of companies and management in India or abroad.

8. Other Documents as may be prescribed.

Rule 3(3) of the Companies (Registration of Foreign Companies) Rules, 2014 requires application in Form FC-1 to be supported with an attested copy of approval from the Reserve Bank of India under Foreign Exchange Management Act and the rules and regulations thereunder or a declaration from the authorised representative of such Foreign Company that no such approval is required.

Rule 3(4) provides that in case of any alteration in the aforesaid documents the Foreign Company is required to submit a return in Form FC-2 containing the particulars of alteration as per the prescribed format with the Registrar of Companies, within 30 days of any such alteration.

ACCOUNTS OF FOREIGN COMPANY [SECTION-381]

The Foreign Companies in each calendar year are required to prepare a balance sheet and profit and loss account in such form, containing such particulars and shall also annex the documents as prescribed under Rule 4 along with the balance sheet and profit & loss account. All these documents shall be filed with Registrar of Companies along with a copy of list of all the places where business has been established in India as on the date of the balance Sheet in Form FC-3.

If any of such document is not in English Language, a certified translation of these documents in English Language shall be attached.

Rule 5 provides that every foreign company shall get its accounts, pertaining to the Indian business operations prepared in accordance with the requirements of section 381 and rule 4, audited by a practicing Chartered Accountant in India or a firm or limited liability partnership of practicing chartered accountants.

The provisions of Chapter X i.e. Audit and Auditors and rules made there under, as far as applicable, shall apply, mutatis mutandis, to the foreign company.

DISPLAY OF NAME OF FOREIGN COMPANY [SECTION-382]

Every Foreign Company shall-

1. Exhibit the name of the Company and the Country in which it is incorporated, in letters easily legible in English characters, and also in the characters of the language or one of the language in general use in the locality in which the office or place is situate.

2. Outside of every office or place where it carries on business in India.

3. All business letters, bill-heads, notices, and official publications of the Company.

If the liability of members of the Company is limited, cause notice of that fact-

4. To be stated in every such prospectus issued, in all business letters, bill heads, official publications, in legible English characters.

5. To be exhibited on the outside of every office or place where it carries on business.

SERVICE ON FOREIGN COMPANY [SECTION-383]

Any document required to be served on a Foreign Company shall be deemed to be sufficiently served, if addressed to any person whose name and address have been delivered to registrar under Section 380 and left at, or sent by post to, the address which has been so delivered to the Registrar or by electronic mode.

Following provisions of the Act are applicable to foreign Companies also: [Section-384]

- Debentures - The provisions of Section 71 shall apply mutatis mutandis to a foreign company.

- Annual Return -The provisions of Section 92 shall subject to such exceptions, modification and adaptations as may be
made therein by rules made under this Act, apply to a foreign company as they apply to a company incorporated in India.

Also, Rule 7 provides that every foreign company shall prepare and file, within a period of sixty days from the last day of its financial year, to the Registrar annual return in Form FC.4 along with such fee as provided in the Companies (Registration Offices and Fees) Rules, 2014 containing the particulars as they stood on the close of the financial year.

- Books of Accounts - The provisions of Section 128 shall apply to a foreign company to the extent of requiring it to keep at its principal place of business in India, the books of account referred to in that section, with respect to monies received and spent, sales and purchases made, and assets and liabilities, in the course of or in relation to its business in India.

World Accounts/Global Accounts – Three Copies of balance sheet and profit and loss account, including documents relating to every subsidiary of the foreign company, as submitted by it to the prescribed authority in the country of its incorporation under the provisions of the law in that country, shall be filed with the Registrars.

Indian Business Accounts - Three copies of balance sheet and profit and loss accounts of Indian Business Accounts of a foreign company duly audited by a Practising Chartered Accountants in India, in the form prescribed in Companies Act 2013 shall be filed with the Registrars within six months from the close of the financial year.

- Registration of Charges - The provisions of Chapter VI shall apply mutatis mutandis to charges on properties which are created or acquired by any foreign company. As per Section 384 read with Section 77 of the Companies Act 2013 charges on properties which are created or acquired by any Foreign Companies whether situated in or outside India shall be registered with Registrar. Under Companies Act 2013 properties need not be situated in India. Foreign Companies shall register charges on properties which are created or acquired by Foreign Companies whether situated in or outside India with Registrar.

- Inspection - The provisions of Chapter XIV shall apply mutatis mutandis to the Indian business of a foreign company as they apply to a company incorporated in India.

DOCUMENTS REQUIRED TO BE FILED WITH REGISTRAR WHEN FOREIGN COMPANY CEASES TO HAVE A PLACE OF BUSINESS IN INDIA (RULE -8)

- If any foreign company cease to have a place of business in India it shall require to file a notice of the fact to Registrar.

- As from the date on which notice is so given the obligation of the company to deliver documents with Registrar shall cease.

PUNISHMENT FOR CONTRAVENTION [SECTION-392]

Without prejudice to the provisions of section 391, if a foreign company contravenes the provisions of this Chapter, the foreign company shall be punishable with fine which shall not be less than one lakh rupees but which may extend to three lakh rupees and in the case of a continuing offence, with an additional fine which may extend to fifty thousand rupees for every day after the first during which the contravention continues and every officer of the foreign company who is in default shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to six months or with fine which shall not be less than twenty five thousand rupees but which may extend to five lakh rupees, or with both.

COMPANIES FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PROVISIONS OF THIS CHAPTER NOT TO AFFECT VALIDITY OF CONTRACTS [SECTION-393]

- Any failure by a company to comply with the provisions of this Chapter shall not affect the validity of any contract, dealing or transaction entered into by the Company or its liability to be sued in respect thereof.

- The Company shall not be entitled to bring any suit, claim any set-off, make any counter-claim or institute any legal proceeding in respect of any such contract, dealing or transaction, until the company has complied with the provisions of this Act applicable to it.

- Applicable provisions with respect to Penalties and Prosecutions

COMPLIANCES UNDER FOREIGN EXCHANGE MANAGEMENT ACT (FEMA) 1999

- An offshore business which has a direct Indian operation in India (and is not operating through an agent) will be treated...
The provisions of the Old Act and the New Act seem similar, but on a careful reading one would understand the change in the scope of coverage of such foreign companies. With the New Act, the need for physical presence has been done away with, as entities with no physical presence yet having any virtual presence would also now come under the net.

as one of Liaison Office (LO), Branch Office (BO) or Project Office (PO), for Reserve Bank of India (RBI) under provisions of the FEMA

- After establishment, all new entities setting up LO/BO/PO shall submit a report containing information, as per format provided in Annex 3 within five working days of the LO/BO becoming functional to the Director General of Police (DGP) of the state concerned in which LO/BO has established its office

- Branch Offices / Liaison Offices have to file Annual Activity Certificates (AAC) (Annex 4) from Chartered Accountants, at the end of March 31, along with the audited Balance Sheet on or before September 30 of that year. AAC certifies that the company is undertaking only those activities which are permitted by the Reserve Bank

- At the time of winding up of Branch/Liaison/ Project Office the company has to approach the designated AD Category – I bank with the documents prescribed

- Annual return on Foreign Liabilities and Assets has been notified under FEMA 1999 and it is required to be submitted by all the India resident companies which have received FDI and/or made overseas investment in any of the previous year(s), including current year by July 15 every year. Non-filing of the return before due date will be treated as a violation of FEMA and penalty clause may be invoked for violation of FEMA.

COMPLIANCE ISSUES FOR INDIAN COMPANIES INCORPORATED ABROAD

The new Companies Act has widened the regulations for foreign companies controlled by Indian corporate, putting the latter under increased pressure of compliances.

- Foreign companies / companies incorporated outside India had always had some provisions of the Companies Act, 1956 (Old Act) applicable to them under part XI of the Old Act.

- Such foreign companies which would have established a place of business in India before or after the commencement of the Old Act had to comply with some of the provisions of Old Act which included submitting with the registrar charter documents of the place of business in India, its address, details of directors etc for registration, accounts of the Indian entity, details of charges made on property in India and so on.

CHANGED POSITION UNDER ACT, 2013

- The new Act, 2013, expanded its scope of such foreign companies and has increased the compliance requirements as well

- Chapter XXII of the New Act, Section 379 onwards provides for provisions of the Act as applicable to such foreign companies in which Indian individuals or body corporates jointly/ severally hold not less than 50% of the paid-up share capital either in the form of equity or preference and have a place of business in India

The provisions of the Old Act and the New Act seem similar, but on a careful reading one would understand the change in the scope of coverage of such foreign companies. With the New Act, the need for physical presence has been done away with, as entities with no physical presence yet having any virtual presence would also now come under the net.

WINDING UP - SECTION 376 OF THE COMPANIES ACT 2013

Where body corporate incorporated outside India which has been carrying on business in India, ceases to carry on business in India, it may be wound up as an unregistered company under Part II of Chapter XXI of the Companies Act 2013, notwithstanding that the body corporate has been dissolved or otherwise ceased to exist as such under or by virtue of the laws of the country under which it was incorporated.

Therefore, Foreign Company cannot be wound up voluntarily under the Companies Act 2013.

As per Section 375 of the Companies Act 2013, Foreign Company may be wound up on the following grounds:

a. If the company is dissolved, or has ceased to carry on business, or is carrying on business only for the purpose of winding up its affair.

b. If the company is unable to pay its debts;

c. If the tribunal is of the opinion that it is just or equitable that the company should be wound up.


Disclaimer: This article contains interpretation of the Act, Rules and personal views of the author are based on such interpretation. Readers are advised either to cross check the views of the author with the Act or seek the expert’s views if they want to rely on the contents of this article.
Corporate Governance Key to Company’s Success

INTRODUCTION

Governance refers to “all processes of governing, whether undertaken by a government, market or network, whether over a family, tribe, formal or informal organization or territory and whether through laws, norms, power or language.” Corporate governance broadly refers to the mechanisms, processes and relations by which corporations are controlled and directed.

Organization for economic Cooperation and development (OECD) defines Corporate Governance as “Corporate Governance is the system by which business corporations are directed and controlled. The Corporate Governance structure specifies the distribution of rights and responsibilities among different participants in the corporation, such as, the Board, managers, shareholders and other stakeholders and spells out the rules and procedures for making decisions in corporate affairs. By doing this, it also provide the structure through which the Companies objectives are set and the means of attaining those objectives and monitoring performance”.

During recent times “Corporate Governance “has gained attention globally. The reason behind focus is due to increase in corporate frauds and scams which leads to corporate failures. So to save the corporate sector from failures there need a mechanism termed as “Corporate Governance”

In India, various initiatives have been taken in the past by

- The Ministry of Corporate Affairs
- SEBI

For the promotion of fairness,

In the present dynamic global Market environment where there is a vast scope for corporate failures, it is essential to follow global corporate governance disclosure standards which are well accepted bench marks for all corporate worlds. The corporate must follow well enriched and universally accepted corporate governance standards and disclosure practices effectively and efficiently. Therefore, all the countries should make a serious effort for designing appropriate corporate governance mechanisms and disclosure practices. It is hoped that these measures would certainly go a long way in reshaping the corporate world which will reflect on strengthening the corporate performance, shareholders confidence, wealth maximization and consumer protection as well.
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adopt appropriate risk management measures. The authorities specify various ethics programs and code of ethics for efficient development of the corporate sector and economy as well.

Over the past 15 years, there have been many reforms in the corporate governance framework - starting from constitution of the Kumar Mangalam Committee (1999), introduction of Clause 49 in the listing agreement (2000), revision in Clause 49 on recommendations of the Narayana Murthy Committee (2006), issue of voluntary guidelines on corporate governance (2009), issue of guiding principles on corporate governance (2012) based on recommendation of the Adi Godrej Committee, enactment of the revised Companies Act (2013) and finally the new corporate governance norms by SEBI (2014).

Although, the Companies Act 2013 specifies the requirements of governance applicable to all class of companies, a recent press release by SEBI indicates a move towards aligning the requirement for listed companies with that of the Companies Act and simultaneously raises the bar on governance standards for listed companies.

They have clearly indicated a move towards increased transparency on conducting Board Matters and several changes in the roles and responsibilities of the board, board committees and independent directors. This move also indicates the intent of the regulators to align with the global standards on corporate governance adopted in mature economies (such as the UK Companies Act, UK Bribery Act, US Foreign corruption practices Act and US SOXAct).

**Corporate governance: key milestones**

- **1999**: Constitution of Kumar Mangalam committee
- **2000**: Introduction of clause 49
- **2006**: Revision of clause 49
- **2012**: Issue of voluntary guidelines on corporate governance
- **2013**: The enactment of the revised Companies Act
- **2014**: SEBI announces new Corporate Governance norms

**Key changes proposed by SEBI**

- Mandatory stakeholders relationship and nomination and remuneration committee with an independent chairman
- At least one woman director on the board
- Expanded role of audit committee, mandatory performance evaluation, succession planning for the board and KMP
- Nominee director not to be considered as independent director
- Prohibition on stock options
- Mandatory performance evaluation
- Separate meetings of independent directors
- Number of companies restricted to 7 (3 if serving as whole time director)
- Maximum tenure restricted to 2 terms of 5 years
- Prior approval of all material related party transactions from audit committee
- Definition of relative covering Companies Act and accounting standards
- Compulsory whistle-blowing mechanism
- Disclosure of remuneration policy
- Specifying principles of corporate governance
- Risk management
The Companies are compulsory to comply with the norms Companies Act 2013 along with the governance requirement framed by SEBI, the compliance is likely to become more onerous for listed companies with a consequent effect on the cost of compliance. Notwithstanding the implications and challenges, organizations need to leverage this development as an opportunity to strengthen the governance framework and deliver incremental gains through enhanced investor confidence.

In addition to the statutory laws of the relevant jurisdiction, corporations are subject to comply with the common law in some countries, and various laws and regulations affecting business practices namely:

The U.S. passed the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) in 1977, with subsequent modifications. This law made it illegal to bribe government officials and required corporations to maintain adequate accounting controls. It is enforced by the U.S. Department of Justice and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Substantial civil and criminal penalties have been levied on corporations and executives convicted of bribery.

The UK passed the Bribery Act in 2010. This law made it illegal to bribe either government or private citizens or make facilitating payments (i.e., payment to a government official to perform their routine duties more quickly). It also required corporations to establish controls to prevent bribery.

SARBANES-OXLEY ACT

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 was enacted due to increase in the series of high-profile corporate scandals. It established a series of requirements that affect corporate governance in the U.S. and influenced similar laws in many other countries. The law required, along with many other elements, that:

The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) be established to regulate the auditing profession, which had been self-regulated prior to the law. Auditors are responsible for reviewing the financial statements of corporations and issuing an opinion as to their reliability. The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) attest to the financial statements.

INTERNATIONAL CORPORATE GOVERNANCE LAWS

1. US FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT

The FCPA applies to any person who has any connection to the United States and engages in foreign corrupt practices. The Act also applies to any act by foreign corporations trading securities in the U.S., U.S. businesses, American nationals, citizens, and residents acting in furtherance of a foreign corrupt practice.

THE ACT APPLY TO

- Issuer(is a corporation (public or private) that has issued securities that have been registered in the United States or who is required to file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC))
- Domestic concerns(is any individual who is a citizen, national, or resident of the United States, or any corporation, partnership, association, joint-stock company, business trust, unincorporated organization, or sole proprietorship which has its principal place of business in the United States)
- Or a foreign nationals or businesses

The FCPA contains two types of provisions:

1. Anti-bribery provisions, (which prohibit corrupt payments to foreign officials, parties or candidates to assist in obtaining or retaining business or securing any improper advantage)
2. Record-keeping and Internal controls provisions, which impose certain obligations on all companies whose securities are registered in the United States or which are required to file reports with the SEC, regardless of whether or not the companies.

1. Anti-bribery provisions

The FCPA’s anti-bribery provisions apply broadly to three categories of persons or entities: ’issuers’, ’domestic concerns’ and certain persons and entities under ‘territorial jurisdiction’.

2. Record-keeping and Internal controls:

The FCPA Act provides that the Issuer should

- Maintain proper books of accounts in accordance with all accounting principles or rules framed in this regard.
Set up a system of internal control which is sufficient to provide reasonable assurances that transactions are properly authorized, recorded, and accounted for by the issuer.

Prohibition and defences: The FCPA prohibits those subject to the FCPA from giving or promising to give anything of value to:

- any foreign official for purposes of influencing any act or decision of such official or inducing such official to influence any act or decision of a foreign government or instrumentality to obtain or retain business or for purposes of obtaining any improper advantage;
- any foreign political party or party official or any candidate for foreign political office for purposes of influencing any act or decision of such party, official or candidate or inducing such party, official or candidate to influence any act or decision of a foreign government or instrumentality to obtain or retain business or for the purposes of obtaining any improper advantage; and
- any person while knowing or having reason to know that such money or thing of value will be offered or given to any foreign official, foreign political party, party official or candidate for foreign political office for purposes of influencing any act or decision or inducing such foreign official, political party, party official or candidate to influence any act or decision of a foreign government or instrumentality to obtain or retain business or for the purposes of obtaining any improper advantage.

The FCPA provides two affirmative defenses for U.S. companies accused of making prohibited payments.

- First, a U.S. company may make a payment, gift, offer or promise of anything of value to a foreign official, a political party or a candidate’s country provided such action is expressly permitted under the written laws of that country.
- Secondly, a U.S. company may make a payment, gift, offer or promise of anything that constitutes a reasonable and bona fide expenditure directly related to the promotion of products and services or the execution of a contract with a foreign country or agency.

Penalties: The penalties for violation of the FCPA are severe for both companies and individuals. There are two sets of penalty provisions:

1. The anti-bribery provisions and
2. The record keeping and internal control provisions.

Both provisions may impose civil and criminal penalties. Parent entities can be held responsible for their entities and the Penalties can include fines and bouncing of profits that a company might have realized from its unlawful conduct. FCPA is particularly more challenging for companies operating in corruption-prone countries, such as India.

The India fallout for US Corruption is not only restricted to the cost of an internal investigation, which can be expensive but also large fines and criminal prosecution for Indian Partners, the risks lie in it having to pay compensation on the quantum of fine in case it has indemnified the US Corporation, as is often the case in foreign-local joint ventures.

Thus, any penalty of a heavy quantum can put Indian Companies in the dock, especially if their litigation insurance claim is rejected on grounds that there was willful bribing through intermediaries. It may also limit the Indian Companies in case of any future fund-raising in the US.
CONCLUSION

The Major challenges in India in respect of implementation of Corporate Governance were unaddressed. There were conflicts between the Dominant shareholders and minority shareholders. Besides the promoters are dominant shareholders of Indian firms followed by institutional investors. In reality, Corporate Governance has insignificant impact on firm’s performance. It is market conditions that impact the Corporate Governance. In the present dynamic global Market environment where there is a vast scope for corporate failures, appropriate measures should be adopted. In this context it is essential to follow global corporate governance disclosure standards which are well accepted bench marks for all corporate worlds. All the corporate companies must follow well enriched and universally accepted corporate governance standards and disclosure practices effectively and efficiently. Therefore, all the countries should make a serious effort for designing appropriate corporate governance mechanisms and disclosure practices. It is hoped that these measures would certainly go a long way in reshaping the corporate world. Ultimately it will reflect on strengthening the corporate performance, shareholders confidence, and wealth maximization and consumer protection as well.

| Name of the companies against action listed by FCPA in the year 2014, 2015, 2016 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **2016** | **2015** | **2014** |
| QUALCOMM | BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB | AVON PRODUCTS INC. |
| ViMPElCOM | HitACHI | BRUKER CORPORATION |
| ScIClONE PHARMACEUTICALS | MEAD JOHNSON NUTRITION | LAYNE CHRISTENSEN COMPANY |
| IGNACIO CUETO PLAza | GOODYEAR TIReS & RUBBER COMPANY | SMITH & WESSON |
| SAP SE | FLIR SYSTEMS | HEWLETT-PACKARD |

**Name of the companies against action listed by FCPA in the year 2014, 2015, 2016**

**2016**
- **QUALCOMM**: The San Diego-based company agreed to pay $7.5 million to settle charges that it violated the FCPA when it hired relatives of Chinese officials deciding whether to select company’s products. (3/1/16)
- **ViMPElCOM**: The Dutch-based telecommunications provider agreed to a $795 million global settlement to resolve its violations of the FCPA to win business in Uzbekistan. (2/18/16)
- **ScIClONE PHARMACEUTICALS**: The California-based pharmaceutical firm agreed to pay $12 million to settle SEC charges that it violated the FCPA when international subsidiaries increased sales by making improper payments to health care professionals employed at state health institutions in China. (2/16/16)
- **IGNACIO CUETO PLAza**: The airline executive agreed to pay a $75,000 penalty to settle SEC charges that he violated the FCPA when he authorized improper payments to a third-party consultant who he knew could route portions of the money to union officials in the midst of a labor dispute. (2/4/16)
- **SAP SE**: The software manufacturer agreed to give up $3.7 million in sales profits to settle SEC charges that it violated the FCPA when its deficient internal controls enabled an executive to pay bribes to procure business in Panama. (2/1/16)

**2015**
- **BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB**: SEC charged the New York-based pharmaceutical company with violating the FCPA when employees of its China-based joint venture made improper payments to obtain sales. Bristol-Myers Squibb agreed to pay more than $14 million to settle charges. (10/5/15)
- **HitACHI**: SEC charged the Tokyo-based conglomerate with violating the FCPA by inaccurately recording improper payments to South Africa’s ruling political party in connection with contracts to build power plants. Hitachi agreed to pay $19 million to settle charges. (9/28/15)
- **MEAD JOHNSON NUTRITION**: SEC charged the infant formula manufacturer with violating the FCPA when its Chinese subsidiary made improper payments to health care professionals to recommend the company’s product to new and expectant mothers. Mead Johnson Nutrition agreed to pay $12 million to settle the case. (7/28/15)
- **GOODYEAR TIReS & RUBBER COMPANY**: SEC charged Goodyear with violating the FCPA when its Chinese subsidiary paid bribes to land tire sales in Kenya and Angola. The company agreed to pay $16 million to settle the charges. (2/24/15)
- **FLIR SYSTEMS**: SEC charged Oregon-based FLIR Systems with violating the FCPA by financing a “world tour” of personal travel for Middle East government officials who played key roles in decisions to purchase FLIR products. FLIR, which earned more than $7 million in profits from such sales, agreed to pay $9.5 million to settle the charges. (4/8/15)

**2014**
- **AVON PRODUCTS INC.**: SEC charged the global beauty products company with violating the FCPA by failing to put controls in place to detect and prevent payments and gifts to Chinese government officials from a subsidiary. Avon agreed to pay $135 million to settle the SEC charges and a parallel criminal case. (12/17/14)
- **BRUKER CORPORATION**: SEC charged the Billerica, Mass.-based global manufacturer of scientific instruments with violating the FCPA by providing non-business related travel and improper payments to various Chinese government officials in an effort to win business. The company agreed to pay $2.4 million to settle the charges. (12/15/14)
- **LAYNE CHRISTENSEN COMPANY**: SEC charged the Texas-based water management, construction, and drilling company with violating the FCPA by making improper payments to foreign officials in several African countries in order to obtain beneficial treatment and reduce its tax liability. (10/27/14)
- **SMITH & WESSON**: SEC charged the Springfield, Mass.-based firearms manufacturer with violating the FCPA when employees and representatives authorized and made improper payments to foreign officials while trying to win contracts to supply products to military and law enforcement overseas. (7/28/14)
- **HEWLETT-PACKARD**: SEC charged the Palo Alto, Calif.-based technology company with violating the FCPA when subsidiaries in three countries made improper payments to government officials to obtain or retain lucrative public contracts. H-P agreed to pay $108 million to settle the SEC charges and a parallel criminal case. (4/9/14)
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INTRODUCTION

One Person Company (OPC) is the discovery of the Companies Act 2013 ('the Act'), though this type of company is not new in the world. In particular, the UK Companies legislation has had it for many years now. Section 123 of the UK Companies Act 2006 contains special provisions in this regard (see Annex at the end of this article) A question that is sometimes asked is whether an OPC is equivalent to a sole proprietorship and is susceptible to the principles of distinct corporate personality of a body corporate and limited liability being defied by courts thereby exposing the shareholder of the OPC to the OPC's liabilities by treating the assets and liabilities of the shareholder as the company. The employees in the insiders area shall not communicate any unpublished Price Sensitive Information to any one in public area and vice versa. It would, therefore, be enlightening to review the law in this regard.

STATUTORY FRAMEWORK

Section 2(20) of the Act defines ‘company’ as ‘One Person Company’ as ‘a company which has only one person as a member’. According to section 3(1), a company may be formed for any lawful purpose by, among others, one person, where the company to be formed is to be OPC, that is to say, a private company, by subscribing their names or his name to a memorandum and complying with the requirements of this Act in respect of registration.

Section 3(2) of the Act recognises the three kinds of company that can be formed under the Act, namely (a) a company limited by shares; or (b) a company limited by guarantee; or (c) an unlimited company. When an OPC is formed as a company limited by shares, the liability of the person who is its sole shareholder is limited similar to in any other company limited by shares and the company has only one shareholder does not make any difference in this regard.

Section 2(62) of Act defines the expression ‘One Person Company’ as ‘a company which has only one person as a member’.

OPC is a company incorporated under the Companies Act; and although its entire share capital is held by one person, that does not detract from the legal status of the OPC as an incorporated body having a distinct corporate entity and corporate personality. The company’s assets are the company’s assets and not the assets of the person who owns all the shares of the company.

Section 3 contains the following further requirement regarding formation of OPC:

• The memorandum of OPC shall indicate the name of another person, with his prior written consent in the prescribed form, who shall, in the event of the subscriber's death or his incapacity to contract become the member of the company and the written consent of such person shall also be filed with the Registrar at the
time of incorporation of the OPC along with its memorandum and articles.

- Such other person may withdraw his consent in such manner as may be prescribed.
- The member of OPC may at any time change the name of such other person by giving notice in such manner as may be prescribed.
- It shall be the duty of the member of OPC to intimate the company the change, if any, in the name of the other person nominated by him by indicating in the memorandum or otherwise within such time and in such manner as may be prescribed, and the company shall intimate the Registrar any such change within such time and in such manner as may be prescribed.

According to section 7(2) the Registrar, on the basis of documents and information filed under sub-section (1), shall register all the documents and information referred to in that sub-section in the register and issue a certificate of incorporation in the prescribed form to the effect that the proposed company is incorporated under this Act.

Section 7(3) declares that on and from the date mentioned in the certificate of incorporation issued under sub-section (2), the Registrar shall allot to the company a corporate identity number, which shall be a distinct identity for the company and which shall also be included in the certificate.

The words 'the company …. shall be a distinct identity' in the above provision are crucial inasmuch as they contemplate, recognise and enact the principle of independent corporate personality of a company incorporated under the Act as a body corporate.

Section 9 of the Act, which is pertinent to the discussion in hand, states the effect of registration of a company and states that from the date of incorporation mentioned in the certificate of incorporation, such subscribers to the memorandum and all other persons, as may, from time to time, become members of the company, shall be a body corporate by the name contained in the memorandum, capable of exercising all the functions of an incorporated company under this Act and having perpetual succession with power to acquire, hold and dispose of property, both movable and immovable, tangible and intangible, to contract and to sue and be sued, by the said name.

One of the effects of registration of a company is ‘power to acquire, hold and dispose of property, both movable and immovable, tangible and intangible’. The words ‘power to acquire, hold … property’ contemplate that a company (including an OPC) has the power (or legal capacity) to purchase or acquire in any other manner any property in its own name and to be the owner of such property.

THE ATTRIBUTE OF CORPORATE PERSONALITY

A company acquires the corporate personality on its incorporation, i.e. when it comes into existence. A company comes into existence by registration at the office of the Registrar of Companies. The decision in Salomon v. A Salomon & Co. Ltd.¹ and a series of decisions following it have established that on incorporation a company becomes a separate entity distinct from its members. The courts have, however, on occasion, not applied the Salomon principle and ignored the corporate personality to look behind the corporate veil, and held that the corporate veil is a mere mask, a sham or a facade. Thus, the act of disregarding the corporate personality by piercing or lifting the corporate veil is making inroads upon the “Salomon principle” of distinct legal entity of an incorporated company. Besides the courts, it is the Parliament that by statutory measure sometimes makes inroads on the principle of corporate personality. "Four inroads have been made by the law on the principle of the separate legal personality of companies. By far the most extensive of these has been made by legislation imposing taxation. The Government, naturally enough, does not willingly suffer schemes for the avoidance of taxation which depend for their success on the employment of the principle of separate legal personality, and in fact legislation has gone so far that in certain circumstances taxation can be heavier if companies are employed by the taxpayer in an attempt to minimise his tax liability than if he uses other means to give effect to his wishes. The other inroads on the principle of separate corporate personality have been made by two sections of the Companies Act, 1948, by judicial disregard of the principle where the protection of public interest is of paramount importance, or where the company has been formed to evade obligations imposed by the law, and by the courts implying in certain cases that a company is an agent or trustee for its members".²

The true legal position in regard to the character of a corporation or a company which owes its incorporation to a statutory authority, is not in doubt or dispute. The corporation in law is equal to a natural person and has a legal entity of its own. The entity of the

---

¹ (1897) AC 22.
The corporate veil may be lifted where a statute itself contemplates lifting the veil, or fraud or improper conduct is intended to be prevented, or a taxing statute or a beneficent statute is sought to be evaded or where associated companies are inextricably connected as to be, in reality, part of one concern. It is neither necessary nor desirable to enumerate the classes of cases where lifting the veil is permissible, since that must necessarily depend on the relevant statutory or other provisions, the object sought to be achieved, the impugned conduct, the involvement of the element of the public interest, the effect on parties who may be affected, etc.

In Macaura v Northern Assurance Co Ltd [1925] AC 619, the House of Lords held that the sole owner and controller of a company did not even have an insurable interest in property of the company, although economically he was liable to suffer by its destruction. Lord Buckmaster, at pp 626-627 said:

"No shareholder has any right to any item of property owned by the company, for he has no legal or equitable interest therein. He is entitled to a share in the profits while the company continues to carry on business and a share in the distribution of the surplus assets when the company is wound up."

It is long established that a company limited by shares and incorporated under the Companies Acts from time to time in force in England since 1862 is a separate (albeit artificially created) legal person. As such, it beneficially owns all its assets unless otherwise expressly declared. That is so even if it is wholly owned and controlled by one person; and even though that person, as its sole corporator, may bind the company in any matter which is intra vires the company. Nor is such a company by virtue of its sole ownership and control the agent of its owner and controller. All this is made clear by the House of Lords in its decision in Salomon v. Salomon & Co [1897] AC 22. This indeed is perhaps the feature of company law which primarily distinguished it from its antecedents in partnership law. Some view the doctrine as having provided the engine for entrepreneurial risk-taking and economic growth; others have regarded the decision as a calamity; it matters not: it is the law. [Group Seven Ltd v Allied Investment Corporation Ltd [2014] 1 WLR 735 (Ch D)]

**COMPANY’S PROPERTY IS ITS OWN; NOT THE SHAREHOLDERS’**

A company being a juristic person, can acquire, own, enjoy and alienate property in its own name. No shareholder can make any claim upon the property of the company so long as the company is a going concern. The shareholders are not the owners (or joint owners) of the company’s property. The company itself is the owner. The property is owned in the name of the company and not in the personal name of a director or a shareholder. A shareholder has merely an interest in the company arising under the Articles of Association of the company, measured by a sum of money for the purpose of liability, and by a share in the profit. He has merely the right to participate in the profits of the company subject to the contract contained in the Articles of Association. Therefore, property of the company is not the property of the individual member.

A shareholder is called an owner of the company. But a
The decision in Salomon plainly represents a substantial obstacle in the way of an argument that the veil of incorporation can be pierced. Further, the importance of maintaining clarity and simplicity in this area of law means that, if the doctrine is to exist, the circumstances in which it can apply must be limited and as clear as possible.

A shareholder acquires a right to participate in the profits of the company but it is not possible to accept the contention that the share holder acquires any interest in the assets of the company. A shareholder does not have any right to the properties of the company. There is nothing in the Companies Act to warrant the assumption that a shareholder who holds shares by itself acquires any interest in the property of the company who is a juridical person entirely distinct from the shareholder. The true position of a shareholder is that on buying shares, the investor becomes entitled to participate in the profits of the company which may result in a distribution of net assets of the company among the shareholders. But this does not mean that a shareholder is an owner of the company or its property in true sense of the term 'owner'. A shareholder has an interest in a company, which is represented by his shareholding.

The Supreme Court held that Salomon v. A Salomon and Co Ltd [1897] AC 22, in which a unanimous House of Lords reached a clear and principled decision, which has stood unimpeached for over a century. The effect of the decision is encapsulated at pp 30-31, where Lord Halsbury LC said that a "legally incorporated" company "must be treated like any other independent person with its rights and liabilities appropriate to itself …, whatever may have been the ideas or schemes of those who brought it into existence". Whether that is characterised as a common law rule or a consequence of the companies legislation (or an amalgam of both), it is a very well established principle of long standing and high authority. Writing extra-judicially, Lord Templeman referred to the principle in Salomon as the "unyielding rock" on which company law is constructed, and on which "complicated arguments" might ultimately become "shipwrecked". Forty Years On (1990) 11 Co Law 10. The decision in Salomon plainly represents a substantial obstacle in the way of an argument that the veil of incorporation can be pierced. Further, the importance of maintaining clarity and simplicity in this area of law means that, if the doctrine is to exist, the circumstances in which it can apply must be limited and as clear as possible.

The holding of the whole of the shares of a company by one individual does not of itself alter the nature of his relationship to the company. His control, due to the holding of all of the company’s shares, does not make him and the company in any sense identical. The directors of the company do not become his agents. Their duties are still controlled by the rules and constitution of the company itself. Nor is this consideration one of theoretical law only.

GROUNDBREAKING DECISION OF UK SUPREME COURT

Prest v. Prest [2013] 3 WLR 1; [2013] 4 ALL ER 673 (SC) was a case concerning a one-man company (like an OPC in India), where the husband of a woman owned and controlled several companies. In a matrimonial dispute, the wife claimed a share in the properties of the companies. The wife alleged that the husband had used the companies to hold legal title to properties which belonged beneficially to him. However the husband failed to comply with orders for the full and frank disclosure of his financial position and the companies were joined as parties to the proceedings. The judge rejected the wife’s submission that the husband had been guilty of any impropriety in relation to the companies such as would entitle the court to pierce the corporate veil. However, he held that since the husband had complete control of the companies he would be able to deal as he wished with their assets, and that it followed that he was the beneficial owner of such assets and thus "entitled" to them within the meaning, of section 24(i)(a) of the Matrimonial Causes Act 1973, giving the court jurisdiction to make a transfer order in respect of them. Accordingly the judge ordered the husband to transfer or cause to be transferred to the wife six properties and an interest in a seventh which were held in the name of two of the husband’s companies.

The Supreme Court held that Salomon v. A Salomon and Co Ltd [1897] AC 22, in which a unanimous House of Lords reached a clear and principled decision, which has stood unimpeached for over a century. The effect of the decision is encapsulated at pp 30-31, where Lord Halsbury LC said that a "legally incorporated" company "must be treated like any other independent person with its rights and liabilities appropriate to itself …, whatever may have been the ideas or schemes of those who brought it into existence". Whether that is characterised as a common law rule or a consequence of the companies legislation (or an amalgam of both), it is a very well established principle of long standing and high authority. Writing extra-judicially, Lord Templeman referred to the principle in Salomon as the "unyielding rock" on which company law is constructed, and on which "complicated arguments" might ultimately become "shipwrecked". Forty Years On (1990) 11 Co Law 10. The decision in Salomon plainly represents a substantial obstacle in the way of an argument that the veil of incorporation can be pierced. Further, the importance of maintaining clarity and simplicity in this area of law means that, if the doctrine is to exist, the circumstances in which it can apply must be limited and as clear as possible.

WHETHER OPC’s PROPERTY IS SOLE SHAREHOLDER’S PROPERTY

In Group Seven Ltd v Allied Investment Corp Ltd [2014] 1 WLR 735 (Ch D), the claimant brought an action against the defendants, in support of which it obtained a freezing order prohibiting the third defendant (an individual) from disposing of or dealing with his assets. The order contained a clause which stated that for the
purposes of the order the third defendant's assets included any asset which he had "the power, directly or indirectly to dispose of or deal with as if it were his own". The third defendant's assets included a debt owed to a company of which he was the sole director and shareholder. The third defendant, acting on behalf of that company, subsequently compromised its claim for recovery of that debt. The claimant applied to commit him to prison for contempt of court on the basis that the chose in action which the benefit of the debt comprised was to be treated as an asset of the third defendant, and that in compromising the claim he had, in breach of the order, "disposed of" or "dealt with" that asset.

The court refused the application and held that although a sole shareholder's shareholding might provide the key whereby to unlock the company's assets, the principle of corporate personality precluded treating those assets as substantially the same as the shareholding; that, if there were demonstrated to be a real likelihood that the court would ultimately lift or pierce the corporate veil so as to make the assets in a company wholly owned and controlled by the person to whom a freezing order was directed available for the purposes of enforcing the judgment, that might warrant an express extension of the scope of the freezing order to capture dealings in the company's assets but it did not justify giving a more expansive meaning to the words used in the order than that which they could, fairly bear; that, therefore, construed objectively the standard form of freezing order did not, ordinarily and without more, extend to restrain dealings in assets of a body corporate wholly owned and controlled by the person to whom the order was directed; and that, accordingly, when executing the compromise agreement on behalf of the company the third defendant had not disposed of or dealt with any of his assets and, therefore, had not breached the freezing injunction.

The following observations of the judge highlight the importance of and the need to maintain and honour the principle of distinct corporate personality of the company:

"It is long established that a company limited by shares and incorporated under the Companies Acts from time to time in force in England since 1862 is a separate (albeit artificially created) legal person. As such, it beneficially owns all its assets unless otherwise expressly declared. That is so even if it is wholly owned and controlled by one person; and even though that person, as its sole incorporator, may bind the company in any matter which is intra vires the company. Nor is such a company by virtue of its sole ownership and control the agent of its owner and controller. All this is made clear by the House of Lords in its decision in Salomon v. Salomon & Co[1897] AC 22. This indeed is perhaps the feature of company law which primarily distinguished it from its antecedents in partnership law. Some view the doctrine as having provided the engine for entrepreneurial risk-taking and economic growth; others have regarded the decision as a calamity; it matters not: it is the law, in England at least. ……

The benefits of incorporation with limited liability are considerable. They are undoubtedly open to abuse; and not infrequently they are indeed abused. As a response, the Courts in England have occasionally been persuaded that to prevent established abuse, they should strip away or lift the "veil of incorporation" and look to the person behind the artificial legal creation. This is, however, rare in England (though it is a little more common in various jurisdictions in the United States of America with similar principles of corporate law). Further, even if the court is persuaded to lift the "veil", that is not a negation of the principle that a company is a separate legal entity: it is a limited jurisdiction to prevent abuse, very sparingly exercised; and even if the Court in such exceptional circumstances is persuaded to treat the assets of a limited company as answerable for some default or breach of its owner(s) those assets remain in the separate beneficial ownership of the company."

In Lakatamia Shipping Co Ltd v Su & Others [2015] 1 WLR 291 (CA), again there was a question as to the interpretation freezing order that prohibiting an individual from disposing of, dealing or diminising the value of any of his assets. Paragraph 3 of the order provided, inter alia: "For the purpose of this order, the defendants' assets include any asset which they have the power, directly or indirectly, to dispose of or deal with as if it were their own. The defendants are to be regarded as having such power if a third party holds or controls the asset in accordance with their direct or indirect instructions." The judge held that the order applied to all of the defendants' assets whether or not they were in their own names and whether they were solely or jointly owned; that the defendants' assets included any asset which they had the power, directly or indirectly, to dispose of or to deal with as if it were their own; and that the defendants were to be regarded as having had such power if a third party held or controlled the asset in accordance with their direct or indirect instructions so that the injunction had the direct effect of freezing the assets of the three non-defendant companies. He accordingly ordered the first defendant to give the claimant notice of any proposed dealings with certain assets of the non-defendant companies. However, on the defendants' appeal the Court of Appeal held that the assets of a company the shares in which were entirely owned by a defendant were not "assets" of the defendant for the purposes of paragraph 3 of the freezing order. But the court held that since the freezing order restrained the first defendant from "diminishing" the value of any of his assets, which included his shareholding in such a company, the court would restrain him from procuring the company to make a disposition of its assets which was likely to result in such a diminution, and accordingly, although the judge had erred in his reasoning by stating that the assets of companies controlled by the first defendant were the defendant's assets and were thus directly affected by the freezing order, he had been right to conclude that the freezing order covered dispositions relating to the assets of the three non-defendant companies and had been justified in imposing the notice requirements.

**LIFTING OF CORPORATE VEIL**

In this regard, an important question is likely to arise as to whether merely because one individual is the sole owner of all the shares of an OPC and also its only director, the corporate veil would get automatically lifted when a question of liability arises despite that the OPC is a limited liability company. It appears that the answer to this question must be in the negative. It is to be noted that, In India and in England, the doctrine of corporate veil lifting is an exception and not a rule, the rule being the corporate personality of a company, and it is this separate corporate personality and its property are the basis on which third parties are entitled to deal with the company. The principle of limited liability of shareholders of a company is the feature that a company is endowed with as a result of its distinct corporate personality.
The corporate veil is lifted by courts where there is evidence showing the company was used to commit a fraud or wrong that injured the party seeking to pierce the veil, or to prevent “fraud or improper conduct.” Corporate veil is also lifted where the company is used as a device or facade to conceal the true facts, thereby avoiding or concealing any liability of individual in control of company. In Juggilal Kamlapat v. CIT, the Supreme Court has held that the court is entitled to lift the mask of corporate entity if the conception is used for tax evasion or to circumvent tax obligation or to perpetuate fraud. It is true that from a juristic point of view the company is a legal personality entirely distinct from its members and the company is capable of enjoying rights and being subjected to duties, which are not the same as those enjoyed or borne by its members. But in certain exceptional cases the Court is entitled to lift the veil of corporate entity and to pay regard to the economic realities behind the legal facade.

This issue has been elaborately dealt with in Prest v Prest (supra). Lord Sumption explained the doctrine thus:

“Piercing the corporate veil” is an expression rather indiscriminately used to describe a number of different things. Properly speaking, it means disregarding the separate personality of the company. There is a range of situations in which the law attributes the acts or property of a company to those who control it, without disregarding its separate legal personality. The controller may be personally liable, generally in addition to the company, for something that he has done as its agent or as a joint actor. Property legally vested in a company may belong beneficially to the controller, if the arrangements in relation to the property are such as to make the company its controller’s nominee or trustee for that purpose. For specific statutory purposes, a company’s legal responsibility may be engaged by the acts or business of an associated company. Examples are the provisions of the Companies Acts governing group accounts or the rules governing infringements of competition law by “firms”, which may include groups of companies conducting the relevant business as an economic unit. Equitable remedies, such as an injunction or specific performance may be available to compel the controller whose personal legal responsibility is engaged to exercise his control in a particular way. But when we speak of piercing the corporate veil, we are not (or should not be) speaking of any of these situations, but only of those cases which are true exceptions to the rule in Salomon v A Salomon and Co Ltd [1897] AC 22, i.e. where a person who owns and controls a company is said in certain circumstances to be identified with it in law by virtue of that ownership and control.”

And concluded:

I conclude that there is a limited principle of English law which applies when a person is under an existing legal obligation or liability or subject to an existing legal restriction which he deliberately evades or whose enforcement he deliberately frustrates by interposing a company under his control. The court may then pierce the corporate veil for the purpose, and only for the purpose, of depriving the company or its controller of the advantage that they would otherwise have obtained by the company’s separate legal personality. The principle is properly described as a limited one, because in almost every case where the test is satisfied, the facts will in practice disclose a legal relationship between the company and its controller which will make it unnecessary to pierce the corporate veil. … I consider that if it is not necessary to pierce the corporate veil, it is not appropriate to do so, because on that footing there is no public policy imperative which justifies that course. … For all of these reasons, the principle has been recognised far more often than it has been applied. But the recognition of a small residual category of cases where the abuse of the corporate veil to evade or frustrate the law can be addressed only by disregarding the legal personality of the company is, I believe, consistent with authority and with long-standing principles of legal policy.”

CONCLUSION

As noted before, an OPC is a “company” incorporated under the Companies Act; and although its entire share capital is held by one person, that does not detract from the legal status of the OPC as an incorporated body having a distinct corporate entity and corporate personality. It is a body corporate by the name contained in the memorandum, capable of exercising all the functions of an incorporated company under this Act and having perpetual succession with power to acquire, hold and dispose of property, both movable and immovable, tangible and intangible, to contract and to sue and be sued, by the said name. Accordingly, the company’s assets are the company’s assets and not the assets of the person who owns all the shares of the company.

ANNEX

Section 123 of the UK Companies Act 2006

123 Single member companies

(1) If a limited company is formed under this Act with only one member there shall be entered in the company’s register of members, with the name and address of the sole member, a statement that the company has only one member.

(2) If the number of members of a limited company falls to one, or if an unlimited company with only one member becomes a limited company on reregistration, there shall upon the occurrence of that event be entered in the company’s register of members, with the name and address of the sole member—

(a) a statement that the company has only one member, and

(b) the date on which the company became a company having only one member.

(3) If the membership of a limited company increases from one to two or more members, there shall upon the occurrence of that event be entered in the company’s register of members, with the name and address of the person who was formerly the sole member—

(a) a statement that the company has ceased to have only one member, and

(b) the date on which that event occurred.

(4) If a company makes default in complying with this section, an offence is committed by—

(a) the company, and

(b) every officer of the company who is in default.

(5) A person guilty of an offence under this section is liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale and, for continued contravention, a daily default fine not exceeding one-tenth of level 3 on the standard scale.

10 AIR 1969 SC 932.
Before going into the topic of the article, a short synopsis of NCLT/NCLAT which is a Single Window Institution of Corporate Justice is given. It is a consolidation of corporate jurisdiction. NCLT/NCLAT will reduce delays in corporate laws proceedings as well as multiplicity of litigations involved in such proceedings.

The problem arises at the level of execution and implementation front because of the fact that inefficient standards and corporate restructuring framework has many pitfalls arising out of bureaucracy and corruption. Several Commissions and High-Level Committees had suggested formation of NCLT/NCLATs in order to reduce litigations before various authorities such as:

- CLB under Companies Act
- BIFR/AIIFR under Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985
- High Court which have jurisdiction and powers relating to Corporate Restructuring, Arrangement, Amalgamation and Winding-up etc.

There will be at least 16 Benches of NCLT, thereby providing justice at the doorstep. NCLT is a statutory body and would enjoy all the powers being conferred under Companies Act, 2013. NCLT will have judicial and technical experts who will handle all matters presently being handled by CLB with much wider jurisdiction in terms of scope of the subjects.

In this Article, an attempt has been made to explain and elucidate the provisions relating to “refusal to transfer of shares” – more particularly in the light of the provisions of (i) Companies Act, 2013, provisions of ii) Securities Contract (Regulation) Act, 1956 and Depositories Act, 1996.

**GROUNDS FOR REFUSAL**

The grounds/reasons on which a Public Company can refuse to register transfer of shares have not been specifically enumerated under the Companies Act. However the provision of Section 111A (2) of the Companies Act, 1956 allowed the Board of Directors to refuse to register transfer of shares “for sufficient cause”. [Gujarat Machinery Manufactures Ltd. v. Nile Ltd. (2001) 105 Comp.Cas 817 (CLB).] It is now well-settled that the words ‘sufficient cause’ should not be given a restricted meaning. The company is fully entitled to examine as to whether formalities required, such as signatures, stamp etc., have been fulfilled and that transfer would not involve violation of any other provision of the Companies Act, SEBI Act, or Regulations issued by SEBI, SICA or any other law for the time being in force. The company, however, cannot act arbitrarily and will have to justify its action if questioned by the Company Law Board. It may be noted that under the scheme of Section 108A to 108D of the Companies Act, 1956 dealing with Transfer and Transmission of Securities, the Central Government while granting or...
declining to grant the approval for acquisition of shares, required
to examine various factors such as:

- the impact of the acquisition on the management of the
  company;
- whether such an impact is desirable, the existing legal
  obligation of the company;
- whether such transfer itself would place the company in a
  situation to make a breach of certain existing contractual
  obligations of the company, thereby exposing the company
to an action in law etc.

The company can thus also refuse to register transfer of shares on
these grounds.

**APPEAL AGAINST REFUSAL OF REGISTRATION OF TRANSFER/
TRANSMISSION AND FOR RECTIFICATION OF REGISTER OF MEMBERS**

Section 58 of the Companies Act, 2013 is reproduced as under:

(1) If a private company limited by shares refuses, whether in
pursuance of any power of the company under its articles
or otherwise, to register the transfer of, or the transmission
by operation of law of the right to, any securities or interest
of a member in the company, it shall within a period of thirty
days from the date on which the instrument of transfer, or
the intimation of such transmission, as the case may be, was
delivered to the company, send notice of the refusal to the
transferor and the transferee or to the person giving intimation
of such transmission, as the case may be, giving reasons for
such refusal.

(2) Without prejudice to sub-section (1), the securities or other
interest of any member in a public company shall be freely
transferable:

Provided that any contract or arrangement between two or
more persons in respect of transfer of securities shall be
enforceable as a contract.

(3) The transferee may appeal to the Tribunal against the refusal
within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the
notice or in case no notice has been sent by the company,
within a period of sixty days from the date on which the
instrument of transfer or the intimation of transmission, as the
case may be, was delivered to the company.

(4) If a public company without sufficient cause refuses to register
the transfer of securities within a period of thirty days from the
date on which the instrument of transfer or the intimation of
transmission, as the case may be, is delivered to the company,
the transferee may, within a period of sixty days of such refusal
or where no intimation has been received from the company,
within ninety days of the delivery of the instrument of transfer
or intimation of transmission, appeal to the Tribunal.

(5) The Tribunal, while dealing with an appeal made under sub-
section (3) or sub-section(4), may, after hearing the parties,
either dismiss the appeal, or by order—

(a) direct that the transfer or transmission shall be registered
by the company and the company shall comply with such
order within a period of ten days of the receipt of the order;
or

(b) direct rectification of the register and also direct the
company to pay damages,if any, sustained by any party
aggrieved.

(6) If a person contravenes the order of the Tribunal under this
section, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term
which shall not be less than one year but which may extend
to three years and with fine which shall not be less than one
lakh rupees but which may extend to five lakh rupees.

Section 58 deals with the Appeals against the refusal for
registration of transfer or transmission of securities.

- If a private company refuses the registration of securities
the transferee may appeal to NCLT against the refusal in
Form NCT-1 within a period of thirty days from the date of
receipt of the notice or in case no notice has been sent by the
company, within a period of sixty days from the date on which
the instrument of transfer or the intimation of transmission, as
the case may be, was delivered to the company.

If a public company without sufficient cause refuses to register the
transfer of securities within a period of thirty days from the date on
which the instrument of transfer or the intimation of transmission, as
the case may be, is delivered to the company, the transferee may,
within a period of sixty days of such refusal or where no intimation
has been received from the company, within ninety days of the
delivery of the instrument of transfer or intimation of transmission,
appeal to NCLT in Form NCT-1.

Pursuant to Rule 73 of Companies NCLT Rules, 2015, the appeal
made to the Tribunal by way of a petition in Form NCT- 1 shall be
accompanied by the documents as mentioned below:

Where the company is the petitioner

- Copy of the memorandum and articles of association
• Latest audited balance sheet and profit and loss account, auditor’s report and director’s report.
• Authenticated copy of the extract of the Register of Members.
• Copy of the resolution of the Board or Committee of Directors (where applicable)
• Any other relevant documents.
• Affidavit verifying the petition. (Format as given in Form NCT-6)
• Bank draft evidencing payment of application fee.
• Memorandum of appearance with copy of the Board Resolution or the executed Vakalatnama, as the case may be. (Format as given in Form NCT-12)
• Two extra copies of the petition.

Where the petition is made by any other person

• Documentary evidence in support of the statements made in the petition including the copy of the letter written by the petitioner to the company for purpose of registering the transfer of, or the transmission of the right to, any share, or interest in, or debentures as also a copy of the letter of refusal of the company.
• Copies of the documents returned by the company.
• Any other relevant document.
• Affidavit verifying the petition. (Format as given in Form NCT-6)
• Bank draft evidencing payment of application fee.
• Memorandum of appearance with copy of the Board’s Resolution or the executed Vakalatnama, as the case may be. (Format as given in Form NCT-12)
• Two extra copies of the petition
• A copy of such appeal shall be served on the concerned company at its registered office immediately after filing of the petition with the Tribunal.
• Advertisement detailing petition-

As per Rule 5 of the Companies Draft NCLT Rules, 2015 the petitioner shall advertise the petition in Form NCT-3A at least 14 days before the date of hearing at least once in a vernacular newspaper in the principal vernacular language of the district in which the registered office of the proposed company is situated, and circulating in that district, and at least once in English language in an English newspaper circulating in that district.

Every such advertisement shall state:

(a) the date on which the application, petition/ reference was presented,
(b) the name and address of the applicant petitioner and his authorized representative, if any;
(c) the nature and substance of application, petition/ reference;
(d) the date fixed for hearing;
(e) a statement to the effect that any person whose interest is likely to be affected by the proposed petition or who intends either to oppose or support the petition/ reference at the hearing shall send a notice of his intention to the petitioner or his authorized representative so as to reach him not later than two days previous to the day fixed for hearing.

Where the advertisement is being given by the company, then the same shall also be placed on the website of the company, if any.

An affidavit shall be filed to the Tribunal with such proof of advertisement or of the service, as may be available in, not less than 3 days before the date fixed for hearing, stating whether the petition has been advertised in accordance with draft rule 5 and whether the notices, if any, have been duly served upon the persons required to be served:

Where the requirements of this rule or the direction of the Tribunal, as regards the advertisement and service of petition, are not complied with, the Tribunal may either dismiss the petition or give such further directions as it thinks fit.

The Tribunal may, if it thinks fit, and upon an application being made by the party, may dispense with any advertisement required to be published under this rule.

NOTICE TO OPPOSITE PARTY

Draft Rule 7 states that the Tribunal shall issue notice in Form NCT-5 to the respondent to show cause against the application or petition on a date of hearing specified. Such notice in Form No. NCT-5 shall be accompanied by a copy of the application with supporting documents.

If the respondent does not appear on the date specified in the notice in Form NCT-5 or appears and admits, the Tribunal, after affording reasonable opportunity to the Respondent, shall forthwith proceed ex-parte to dispose of the application.

Where any objection of any person whose interest is likely to be affected by the proposed petition has been received by the petitioner, it shall serve a copy thereof to the Registrar of Companies and Regional Director on or before the date of hearing.
FILING OF AFFIDAVIT

Every affidavit as to evidence to be filed before the Tribunal shall be in Form NCT-7.

The Tribunal may, while dealing with a petition at its discretion, make-

(a) order or any interim order, including any orders as to injunction or stay, as it may deem fit and just; and
(b) stay, as it may deem fit and just; and
(c) incidental or consequential orders regarding payment of dividend or the allotment of bonus or rights shares.

- The decision of the Tribunal on any such petition shall be final.
- On appeal made under Section 58(3) or Section 58(4) or Section 59, NCLT may, after hearing the parties, either
  (a) dismiss the appeal, or by order—
  (b) direct that the transfer or transmission shall be registered by the company and the company shall comply with such order within a period of ten days of the receipt of the order; or
  (c) direct rectification of the register and also direct the company to pay damages, if any, sustained by any party aggrieved

Section 59 deals with Appeal against the Rectification of Register of Members

- If the name of any person is, without sufficient cause, entered in the register of members of a company, or after having been entered in the register, is, without sufficient cause, omitted therefrom, or if a default is made, or unnecessary delay takes place in entering in the register, the fact of any person having become or ceased to be a member, the person aggrieved, or any member of the company, or the company may appeal to NCLT in Form NCT-1 or to a competent court outside India, specified by the Central Government by notification, in respect of foreign members or debenture holders residing outside India, for rectification of the register.

- As per Rule 73 of Draft Companies NCLT Rules, 2015 on any petition under section 59, the Tribunal may-
  (a) decide any question relating to the title of any person who is a party to the petition to have his name entered in, or omitted from, the register;
  (b) generally decide any question which is necessary or expedient to decide in connection with the application for rectification.

- Rest Procedure for Appeal against the Rectification of Register of Members is same as mentioned for appeal against refusal or register of transfer or transmission of securities by the company to the Tribunal as mentioned above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Section of the Act</th>
<th>Nature of Petition</th>
<th>Other Forms attached to the Petition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>58 (3) or 59</td>
<td>Appeal against refusal of registration of shares; or Appeal for rectification of register of member.</td>
<td>Form NCT 1: Application for filing petition (whose heading will be as per Form NCT-4) Form NCT 2: Notice of Admission Before NCLT or Form NCT 3: Notice for motion in case of Interlocutory application. Form NCT 3A: Advertisement detailing petition Form NCT 4: General Heading for Proceedings Form NCT 5: Notice to be issued by the Tribunal to the opposite party Form NCT 6: Verification by an affidavit(General) Form NCT 7: Affidavit by way evidence Form NCT 12: Memorandum of Appearance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCHEDULE OF FEES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Section of the Companies Act, 2013</th>
<th>Nature of application / petition</th>
<th>Fees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58 (3)</td>
<td>Appeal against refusal of registration of shares.</td>
<td>1,000/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Appeal for rectification of register of member.</td>
<td>1,000/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 111 of Companies Act, 1956 dealt with the power of a private company to refuse registration of transfer of shares, and consequent right of transferor or transferee to appeal to Company Law Board (hereinafter referred to as CLB) against such refusal, except in cases covered under its Articles restricting the right to transfer company’s shares. Further an application to CLB might also be made by a person aggrieved for rectification of register in case the person’s name is entered therein or omitted therefrom without sufficient cause.

While the periphery of Section 111 of the 1956 Act was restricted to private companies only, Section 111A of the 1956 Act covered public companies only and emphasized on free transferability of shares or debentures or any interest of such companies. A refusal to register might be appealed before CLB. Moreover, if any transfer...
While free transferability is thus assured, the companies are, however, entitled to place reasonable restrictions on transferability. This become necessary to enable companies to safeguard their friendly or family identity in private companies and in case of public companies to protect themselves from unscrupulous persons attempting to takeover control through market operations.

- Depository,
- Company,
- Depository participant,
- Investor or,
- The SEBI

were given the right to make an application to the CLB for the rectification of the register or records of a company or a depository.

In order to facilitate transactions in corporate securities, the Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956 has put the Stock Exchanges recognized under the Act on a statutory pedestal.

While free transferability is thus assured, the companies are, however, entitled to place reasonable restrictions on transferability. This become necessary to enable companies to safeguard their friendly or family identity in private companies and in case of public companies to protect themselves from unscrupulous persons attempting to takeover control through market operations. Two provisions are mostly found in companies’ articles. One of them gives a blanket power to the directors to refuse transfer in their own discretion and other gives pre-emptive rights (found mainly in the Articles of Private Companies) to the existing shareholders. In the case of listed companies, there cannot be restriction on free transferability of shares.

In order to ensure that the discretion to refuse a transfer is not abused, two avenues were earlier provided. One was to apply to the Central Government under Section 111 of the 1956 Act and the other to the High Court under Sec-155 of the 1956 Act. Under the Amendment Act of 1988, these two provisions were combined. The Company Law Board, to the exclusion of civil court, was empowered to provide necessary remedy/relief to the aggrieved person. In respect of shares which are listed on a recognized stock exchange, provisions were earlier contained in Sec.-22A of Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956 permitting only four specific grounds of refusal and requiring that if the refusal was on account of the incompleteness of the documents, the parties should be informed and, in other cases, the company should make a reference to the Company Law Board and the Board was to decide the issue after hearing parties. The result of this is that refusal by a listed public company would require approval of the Company Law Board.

**GROUND ON WHICH LISTED COMPANY COULD REFUSE TO REGISTER TRANSFER OF SHARES**

In fact, grounds on which public listed companies could refuse to register transfer of shares were to be found in Section 22A(4) of Securities Contract (Regulation) Act, 1956.[ Omitted]. Where a listed company refuses transfer of shares on the ground that the instrument of transfer has not been duly executed or stamped or the law relating to registration of transfer has not been complied with, it is only required to intimate the grounds of refusal to transferor or transferee and reference to Company Law Board is not necessary. In case, however, the listed company refuses transfer of shares on any other ground, namely, that the transfer is in contravention of law or it will change the composition of Board of Directors prejudicial to the interest of company or to the public interest or the transfer is prohibited by the order of Court or Tribunal, it is mandatory on the part of company to make a reference to Company Law Board, before refusal. In such cases, the question of filing a petition under section 111 of Companies Act, 1956 does not arise as the aggrieved transferor and transferee automatically become parties to the reference proceedings before the CLB. This section (Section 22A of SCRA, 1956) was omitted by the Depositories Act, 1996. The result is that no ground is available to public company (listed/unlisted) for refusing a transfer except “on sufficient cause”.

The Depositories Act, 1996 made far reaching changes. Section 22A of the Securities (Contracts Regulation) Act, 1956 was omitted and a new Section 111A was inserted into the 1956 Act in the matter of transfer of shares of public listed companies. The effect of the new provisions was to assure that the shares in a public listed company are freely transferable. A listed company could refuse a transfer only if the transfer involved violation of SICA.
The refusal by a company to recognize majority shareholding by purchasing shares for valuable consideration has been held to be a grave act of oppression. The subsequent act of reducing such majority first by allotment of equi-preference shares and then converting them into equity shares was held to be an oppressive exercise. While the attachment was in force was held to be void because it was in violation of court order.

In case of dematerialized shares, the transfer happens instantaneously. Hence, the company does not get any occasion to refuse a transfer at all. If the company wants to contest a transfer, it needs to use the appellate procedure of Section 111A (3) of the 1956 Act [now Section 59 of the 20Act] to seek rectification of register of members/transfers.

Oppression cases

- The unreasonable conduct on the part of directors of a private company in refusing, owing to private disputes, to register transfer of some shares, while transferring some other shares bequeathed under the will of shareholder, involves the violation of the conditions of fair play and therefore, amounts to oppression.[Mrs. Gajarabai M. Patny v. Messrs. Patny Transport (P) Ltd., (1966) 36 Comp Cas 745 (AP)]. The said judgment was followed in Kumar Exporters P. Ltd. v. Naini Oxygen and Acetylene Gas Ltd. (1986) 60 Com Cases 984 (All), it was held that the continuous refusal to register shares with the ulterior motive of retaining control amounts to oppression.
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The refusal by a company to recognize majority shareholding by purchasing shares for valuable consideration has been held to be a grave act of oppression. The subsequent act of reducing such majority first by allotment of equi-preference shares and then converting them into equity shares was held to be an oppressive exercise. The allotment was against the articles.

In Mannalal Khetan v. Kedar Nath Khetan,(1977)47 Com Cases 185:AIR 1977 SC 536, where the shares of a member were attached under a court order under the Civil Procedure Code, 1908, the registration of any transfer of such shares by the company while the attachment was in force was held to be void because it was in violation of court order.

In case of dematerialized shares, the transfer happens instantaneously. Hence, the company does not get any occasion to refuse a transfer at all. If the company wants to contest a transfer, it needs to use the appellate procedure of Section 111A (3) of the 1956 Act [now Section 59 of the 20Act] to seek rectification of register of members/transfers.
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- The unreasonable conduct on the part of directors of a private company in refusing, owing to private disputes, to register transfer of some shares, while transferring some other shares bequeathed under the will of shareholder, involves the violation of the conditions of fair play and therefore, amounts to oppression.[Mrs. Gajarabai M. Patny v. Messrs. Patny Transport (P) Ltd., (1966) 36 Comp Cas 745 (AP)]. The said judgment was followed in Kumar Exporters P. Ltd. v. Naini Oxygen and Acetylene Gas Ltd. (1986) 60 Com Cases 984 (All), it was held that the continuous refusal to register shares with the ulterior motive of retaining control amounts to oppression.

The refusal by a company to recognize majority shareholding by purchasing shares for valuable consideration has been held to be a grave act of oppression. The subsequent act of reducing such majority first by allotment of equi-preference shares and then converting them into equity shares was held to be an oppressive exercise. The allotment was against the articles.

In Mannalal Khetan v. Kedar Nath Khetan,(1977)47 Com Cases 185:AIR 1977 SC 536, where the shares of a member were attached under a court order under the Civil Procedure Code, 1908, the registration of any transfer of such shares by the company while the attachment was in force was held to be void because it was in violation of court order.

In case of dematerialized shares, the transfer happens instantaneously. Hence, the company does not get any occasion to refuse a transfer at all. If the company wants to contest a transfer, it needs to use the appellate procedure of Section 111A (3) of the 1956 Act [now Section 59 of the 20Act] to seek rectification of register of members/transfers.
Takeover Regulations, 1997 and Section 111A (2) & (3) of Companies Act, 1956, it is sufficient cause to reject transfer of shares which will have the impact of increasing the aggregate holding of the acquirer to more than 10 percent unless the provisions of Takeover Regulations are complied with as it would be violative of law.

- Transfer in favour of a person who is legally incapacitated to enter into a contract, like an alien enemy or an insane person is an illegal transaction and the company will be justified in refusing to register such transfer.
- Incorrect identity of the petitioner.
- Allotments not supported by consideration.
- Non-production of instrument of transfer.
- Shares based on forged transfer deeds etc. are also valid grounds for refusal.

Even if the Board of Company, in exercise of powers as per articles, refuses registration of transfer, the exercise of such power is subject to judicial authority/scrutiny, but such scrutiny would be limited only to examine whether the said power has been exercised in a bonafide manner and in the interest of company. The petition is liable to be dismissed on the ground that petition is time barred and that the decision of the Board to refuse registration of transfer would construe as “sufficient cause” so held in the case of Vijaya Finance Corporation Ltd. v. Peerless General Finance and Investment Co. Ltd. MANU/CL/0031/2014: In

**INSTANCES WHERE REFUSAL HELD NOT TO BE JUSTIFIED OR ON FRIVOLOUS GROUNDS**

Refusal to register the shares in the name of a bank on grounds:

- that the shares had been offered as collateral security but not pledged in favour of the bank;
- that the facilities availed of by the company from the bank were temporary in nature and consequently the shares did not require to be transferred in terms of the Reserve Bank Circular held not to be sufficient cause.
- Share certificates not being delivered in full number, stamps not being cancelled are considered frivolous grounds.

In Jagdishchandra Champaklal Parekh v. Kantilal Prabhudas Mehta,(1995) 18 CLA 144 (Bom) where certain shares were pledged under blank transfer forms and the pledgee applied for registration of the transfer on default by the pledger to pay back the pledge money, the Company Law Board did not approve the refusal by the company and directed registration of the transfer. This was held not to be a decision on merits about the entitlement to the shares and, therefore, it did not have the effect of res judicata on the question of title.

In Patel Engg. Co. Ltd. v. Patel Realtors P.Ltd., (1992)74 Comp Cas 395 (CLB) where the change in the management had already taken place by virtue of an order of the High Court under Section 409 and since the resolution of the Board of Directors refusing the transfer did not show how the change would be prejudicial to the interests of the company or the public interest, the court did not accept the opinion of the Board of Directors.

In case of Bengal Tools Limited v. Metro Infrastructure Development Limited, MANU/CL/0071/2015 the CLB held that Respondent Company cannot refuse to transfer shares by invoking Article 5 of AOA and should comply with Section 108 of Companies Act, 1956 by lodging revalidated share transfer forms of Respondent Company.”

In Bajaj Auto Ltd.; Bajaj Auto Holdings Ltd. v. Company Law Board, (1995) 95 Comp Cas 12; (1998) 3 CLJ 366; (1998) 30 CLA 195 (SC), the Supreme Court has emphasized that directors must exercise their power of refusing transfer of shares in good faith in the interest of the company.

In Surat Electricity Co. Ltd. v. Union of India, AIR 1995 Bom 377; Texmaco Ltd., Re(1996) 1 CLJ 154 (CLB-EB), where the company failed to place before the CLB any material to justify their apprehension that prejudicial changes would be brought about in the composition of the company’s Board of Directors, the company’s rejection of the share transfer was held to be not justified.

In V.B. Rangaraj v. V.B. Gopalakrishnan and Ors. MANU/SC/0076/1992 : A.I.R. 1992SC 453, it was held by Supreme Court that private agreement contrary to Articles of Association is not binding on shareholders or on Company. It is nowhere held that such a private agreement is absolutely void in every case. Enforceability may depend upon the Articles of Association.”

Article 17 as incorporated is as follows:

- “The board shall have the right in its absolute discretion and without assigning any reasons, to decline to register the transfer of any equity share in the company, whether fully paid up or not, to a person or persons whether individuals, companies, or otherwise, who in the opinion of the board, would not be desirable or whose association with the company may be detrimental to the interests of the company or may affect the laudable objects of the company or who alone or with others may have other competing business.”

In Thenappa Chettiar v. Indian Overseas Bank, Ltd. MANU/TN/0111/1943 : AIR 1943 Mad 743 (G), it was held by a single Judge of the Madras High Court (Chandrasekharara Ayyar J.) that the right of a share-holder to transfer his shares in a company is absolute as it is inherent in the ownership of the shares, but it can be restricted by contract, which has to be found in the articles of association of the company. Even in a case where the power to refuse registration of transfer of shares is conferred on the directors of a company in absolute terms, the refusal must not be arbitrary. Provided they act in a bona fide manner, the directors are not bound to give any reasons. But if they give reasons, the Court can examine them, but it will not overrule the decision of the directors merely on the ground that it would have reached a different conclusion.”

- In view of the above discussion, it could be inferred that the refusal to transfer of shares must rest on genuine and bonafide grounds and/or the transfer of shares is hit by or in violation of the provisions of law.
LEVER & LEVERAGE

The principle of simple mechanical lever1 finds wide use in social transactions also.

Illustratively, a prospective tenant, who identifies a house of his liking, instead of paying the full 10 months rental advance (of say Rs. 1 lakh) may pay a token amount (of say Rs. 5,000) and seek additional time (of say 10 days) for obtaining consent of his family members. As the payment of Rs. 5,000 is able to hold the transaction of Rs. 1,00,000 for 10 days2, this transaction can be said to be leveraged 19 times (95,000 / 5,000 or 19:1).

DIRECT LEVERAGE

Leverage in Financial Markets

The usage of borrowings in commerce to enhance rate of return is a standard practice, wherein the borrowing is incidental to the main activity. However in financial markets, where both the input and output are money, leverage occupies the centre stage and its impact is illustrated below.

Investing in a 10 year Govt. of India security (G-Sec) having a yield of 8%, would give a return of 8% p.a. If short term money (say, for a month) is available at 6%, then the return on G-Sec can be increased by investing with this borrowed money. This return could be made to vary, depending on the amount borrowed / extent of leverage, as given in table below.

As can be seen from the table above, a 10 Yr G-Sec can be 'engineered' to give a return of 28% by borrowing 10 times or give a return of 208% by leveraging 100 times.

5,000 is able to hold the transaction of Rs. 1,00,000 for 10 days2, this transaction can be said to be leveraged 19 times (95,000 / 5,000 or 19:1).

---

1 a device used to increase the force available at its other end
2 The house owner is free to rent his house to any another party beyond that.

---
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Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Own funds (Rs.)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrowed funds (Rs.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Amount Invested (Rs.)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>10,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Income @ 8% p.a. (Rs.)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest paid on borrowed funds @ 6% (Rs.)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Income after paying interest (Rs.)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage Ratio (Debt / Own funds)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>100:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on Own Funds</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>208%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deciphering and monitoring direct leverage is relatively easy. However, the quest to generate higher profits has led to engineering of complex financial products, with the principle of leverage at their core. Identifying and deciphering indirect leverage through such multi layered structures poses a perennial challenge.

This ‘excess return’ through leverage is not risk free as there is a vast mis-match between the tenure of asset on one hand and the tenure of liability on the other hand. If the short term borrowing is not available for roll over, then the entire own funds (equity) is wiped out immediately.

The above illustration is not a theoretical constructs in as much as it portrays the actual situation in developed marketands it is the unfurling of this scenario that froze the entire financial markets across the north Atlantic in 2007-08 - commonly known as the ‘global financial crisis, 2008’.

INDIRECT LEVERAGE

Deciphering and monitoring direct leverage is relatively easy. However, the quest to generate higher profits has led to engineering of complex financial products, with the principle of leverage at their core. Identifying and deciphering indirect leverage through such multi layered structures poses a perennial challenge.

LEVERAGE IN DERIVATIVES

At a basic level leveraging in ‘developed financial markets’, is through use of derivatives as illustrated. An investor could buy NIFTY Index on, say 12.12.2014, by paying Rs. 8,224. Alternatively, she may buy NIFTY futures or a call option by paying just a fraction of that amount - margin. (as on 12.12.2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closing / Last Traded Price</td>
<td>8,277</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin payable (Rs.)</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implied Debt* (nominal)</td>
<td>7,562</td>
<td>8,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage Ratio</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen in table above, an ‘investor’ can have exposure of about Rs. 8,224 / 8,200 by paying just Rs. 662 / 120 as futures / option margin, respectively. Such leveraged positions have the same impact on returns as the transactions described in the illustration in table – I. The risk profile gets dramatically altered if the ‘investor’ were to fund even this small margin money through borrowing!

DOUBLE LEVERAGE

In the corporate domain, holding company structure and pledging of shares by promoters are the modes of double leveraging.

• Holding Company
A company having debt of Rs. 600 cr. and equity of Rs. 300 cr. is not of major concern to its lender(s), as its leverage ratio is 2:1. However, if this entire equity is funded by its holding company, which in turn has debt of 200 cr. and equity of Rs. 100 cr. or D/E of 2:1, then the effective leverage of these two combined companies, becomes 8:1 as the equity of Rs. 100 cr. of the holding company, now supports total debt of 800 cr.

• Pledging of shares by Promoters
A listed company having debt of Rs. 200 cr. and equity of Rs. 100 cr. with 40% of its shares being held by the promoters, may appear to have an acceptable level of leverage (2:1). However, if the promoters were to pledge5, say 100% of their share holding, then D/E of the company stands reduced to 4:1 as equity of Rs. 60 cr. effectively supports debt of Rs. 240 crs.

Both these scenarios, besides being a matter concern for the lenders, also carry systemic implication, especially if done on a economy wide scale. Accordingly, the concern on double leveraging has been flagged off by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) in its Financial Stability Report, December 20146.

We now look at embedded leverage in complex securitized debt.

EMBEDDED LEVERAGE IN SECURITIZATION AND ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES

Securitization
A debt averse school can raise money to fund its new building by ‘selling off’ its future fees receivables at a discount. For this, the school assigns the fees receivables to a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV), which in turn issues bonds to investors. The money so raised is passed on to the school by the SPV on a discounted basis. The coupon and redemption payment for the bonds issued by the SPV are serviced by the fee received. Thus, thorough securitization, future receivables are converted into instant cash for the originator / seller.

Asset Backed Securities (ABS)
However, higher safety in the form collateral is preferred by investors. Hence securities of housing loan receivables, backed by collateral of mortgaged houses, known as ABS are the very popular.

Collateral Mortgage Obligations (CMO) / complex ABS
The return to the ABS holder depends on the regular repayment (EMI) by the home owners; in case of non payment of EMI or large pre payments, the ABS investors are affected to that extent. This risk is ‘over come’ through financial engineering, whereby, instead of issuing vanilla securities to investors, the SPV issues different

---

3 As the lot size is 25, the margin amount payable is Rs. 16,554. For one unit of NIFTY, the margin amount works out to Rs. 662 (16,554/25)
4 For futures contract it is 8,224 – 662 = 7,562 and for option contract it is 8,200 – 120 = 8,080. Implied debt is nominal as the precise quantification can be done / would be known only at the time of expiry of the contract. Alternatively, if the trade is squared off, the price at which it is squared off will determine the extent of leverage.
5 Promoters pledging up to 90% of their shares is not an unknown phenomenon in India. See, ‘Companies with high promoter pledge shares miss out on rally’, Business Standard, 27.11.2014 for example.
6 See pages 2, 37, 46 & 47 of the RBI Financial Stability Report, December 2014, for a discussion on this.
class of securities, with differential risks and returns, as illustrated in the CMO below.

Consider a bank having 5,000 loans of Rs. 20 lakh each, totaling to Rs. 1,000 cr. Instead of issuing Rs. 1,000 cr. worth securities at, say, 16% coupon, the SPV issues, say, three class of securities as under;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Security</th>
<th>Amount raised (Rs. cr.)</th>
<th>Coupon rate</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity tranche</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezzanine notes</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior notes</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CMO is structured such that, if 5% of (or 250) home owners default, the resultant loss of Rs. 20 cr. wipes out the entire investment of equity holders, giving them nil return. Whereas the mezzanine and senior note holders continue to get the fixed return (@ 14% & 10%, respectively) even if there is default up to 5%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defaults</th>
<th>Amount (Rs. cr.)</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.00% (250 loans)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20 Entire equity of Rs. 20 cr. is wiped out. But mezzanine and senior notes holders get their return. Loss due to default of 250 loans (4% of 5,000 loans) is Rs. 20,00,000 each, is Rs. 50 cr. The net loss, after recovery of Rs. 30 cr. through distress sale of houses (@60%), works out to Rs. 20 cr (Rs. 50 cr. X 40%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12.50% (625 loans) | 75 | 50 Entire equity and mezzanine tranche of Rs. 50 cr. are wiped out. But senior notes holders get their return. Loss due to default of 625 loans (12.5% of 5,000 loans) is Rs. 125 cr. The net loss, after recovery of Rs. 75 cr. through distress sale of houses (@60%), works out to Rs. 50 cr (Rs. 125 cr. X 40%) |

100.00% (5,000 loans) | 600 | 400 The senior note holders are eligible to get the entire amount recovered through distress sale, which works out to 63% of their investment, albeit without any return on it. Loss due to default of 5,000 loans is Rs. 1,000 cr. The net loss, after recovery of Rs. 600 cr. through distress sale of houses (@60%), works out to Rs. 400 cr (Rs. 1,000 cr. X 40%). The salvage value of Rs. 600 cr. on the base of senior notes of Rs. 950 cr. works out to 63% ( 600 / 950 = 63%). |

* The distress sale value of the mortgaged house is taken at 60%; i.e. the loss per loan of Rs. 20 lakhs, after recovery of Rs. 1,20,000 (60%) through distress sale, would be Rs. 80,000 (40%).

Further, if 12.5% of (or 625) home owners default, the resultant loss of Rs. 50 cr. wipes out the entire investment mezzanine note holder also. Whereas the senior note holders continue get fixed return (@ 10%), even if there is default up to 12.5%.

In the event of 100% of home owners defaulting, the senior note holder would still get residual value of Rs. 600 cr. from distress sale of house, which gives them back 63 % of their investment, albeit without any return! Given the differential risk borne by the senior note holders, their returns are lower (10%) as compared to equity (20%) or mezzanine note holders (14%) and vice-versa, as is presented in table – IV.

As the historic default rate of housing mortgages in US was 0.5%, investors in equity, mezzanine and senior notes would lose money only if the default in their portfolio was 10, 25 and 200 times the historic default rate, respectively. Under this logic, mezzanine and senior notes got AA & AAA ratings. The consequences of such complex financial products are too well known to be repeated here.

**EMBEDDED LEVERAGE**

Assume that an investor, instead of investing Rs. 20 cr. in equity tranche of the CMOs, invests it across all three classes of securities, then the return to this investor in different scenarios of default reveals the extent of leverage embedded, as illustrated below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defaults</th>
<th>Amount Invested</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Scenario I</th>
<th>Scenario II</th>
<th>Amount Invested</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Scenario I</th>
<th>Scenario II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>Equity tranche</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>Mezzanine notes</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>Senior notes</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By spreading the investment across the three instruments, say on a pro-rata basis, the loss to the investor is only Rs. 40 lakhs on a 5% default, as against the loss of Rs. 20 cr. (or 50 times) if the entire investment were invested in equity tranche. In other words, the loss to the investor for the same event (5% default) is 50 times in scenario I than in scenario II, reflecting the leverage embedded or the embedded loss leverage.

**CONCLUSION**

The various facets of leverage have been elucidated through illustrations. Given the systemic implication of leverage, identifying and deciphering leverage in complex new products has to be an ongoing exercise.
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Forecasting Methodology for NPAs of Indian Banks and Ways to Tackle the Menace

ABSTRACT

Banking sector has been the backbone of Indian economy and has had a pivotal role to play in the development process. With the ever increasing need of involvement of banks in the economic growth process, in the recent times the issue of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) has assumed mammoth proportions. The recent developments in the form of more stringent Reserve Bank of India (RBI) guidelines and a greater push to declare stressed assets as NPAs has resulted in a drop in profits for all the banks across the spectrum as they have to create provisions for bad loans. In this paper, we discuss the various methodologies which can be adopted to forecast the NPAs in the future for better policy making and implementing steps to overcome the issue of rising NPAs. We have taken the data for State Bank of India (SBI) as reference because as a credible public sector bank, SBI is mammoth in size and its data is not affected by minor fluctuations. We analyse the various forecasting methods namely the Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) method, the Holt method and the Holt-Winter method and finally affirm after taking all factors into account that MLR is the best method to predict the NPAs for Indian banks. We also discuss the various reasons for the rising NPAs in recent times and suggest ways to tackle them. The concept of Asset Restructuring Companies (ARCs) has also been discussed in detail and the reasons why they haven’t been successful in the Indian context has also been discussed at length.

Keywords: Non-Performing Assets; Multiple Linear Regression; Holt; Holt-Winter; Asset Restructuring Companies.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Banking sector is the backbone of an economy and the Indian economy needs it to deliver now as never before. Schemes like Jan Dhan, Make in India, Direct Benefit transfer essentially require the expansion of banking services. There is a dire need for the Banks to operate on a much bigger scale, the vision being to expand financial services to every nook and corner of India. With these bigger expansionary plans come greater challenges. The long standing problem of rising NPAs in the Indian Banks has again come to the fore. The recent developments in the form of more stringent RBI guidelines and a greater push to declare stressed assets as NPA has resulted in a drop in profits for all the banks across the spectrum as they have to create provisions for bad loans. A comprehensive look at NPA is long overdue which is proving to be a menace in our path of achieving financial inclusion.

2.0 OBJECTIVES OF RESEARCH STUDY

1) To propose a methodology for forecasting NPAs which can thereby be used to forecast NPAs of SBI to gauge the seriousness of the issue

2) To determine the causes of NPAs and recommend/explore solutions for the same

3) Most other countries in the world have used structures like Asset Restructuring Companies (ARCs) to solve their NPA problem. RBI has also tried to focus on ARCs to help banks in solving the problem of NPAs. However they have found only limited success. Hence we will look at issues related to ARCs and how we can create an enabling environment in India to aid in the growth of ARCs, thereby contributing in the long term focus of weeding out NPAs.

The determination of NPA is very critical for a bank’s financial health and liquidity concerns. The health of the assets of a bank is measured by its NPA ratio. Given that public banks in India are under a lot of financial stress due to accumulated...
non-performing asset, it is essential that they be able to plan for
the future so that remedial steps can be taken. It is essential to
improve the balance sheet of banks as it has wide ramifications
for the Indian financial markets, thus affecting investor sentiments-
both foreign and domestic, and the overall health of the Indian
economy overall. With the help of forecasting, banks can improve
their provisions for NPAs, thus appropriately rationing for the future.

The short-term demand forecast helps in:
- Deciding future allocations
- Improving financial health of the bank
- Deleveraging the balance sheet
- Government negotiations for write-offs and sops
- Solvency management of banks

We will forecast the percentage of NPA which banks will have in
2020 based on the data from 2011-15. The forecasted value can be
used by RBI to estimate whether the system will survive or collapse.

Ideally we can choose banks of different sizes and levels of
exposure to risk in order to analyse the NPA but we have chosen
SBI as a reference point because as a credible public sector
bank SBI is mammoth in size and its data is not affected by minor
fluctuations.

We would forecast NPAs of SBI by multiple methodologies. Finally,
by comparing Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) and other
factors we will choose the appropriate methodology.

3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
There are many forecasting methods which can be used to forecast
NPAs. They are broadly divided into two categories:-

a) Regression models: Simple Linear Regression (SLR), Multiple
   Linear Regression
b) Time series based methods: Moving Average, Simple
   Exponential Smoothing, Holt, and Holt–winter method.

Out of above stated methods we will reject SLR because it gives
the value of dependent variable (Gross NPAs) in terms of only one
independent variable. Since NPAs are often dependent on factors
more than just one, this method will never give satisfactory results.
Similarly, we will not use Moving Average and Simple Exponential
Smoothing as these techniques are primitive and they do not take
level and trend component into consideration while forecasting. So
we will conduct the analysis via MLR, Holt and Holt–Winter method.
For all the three methods we first need to determine the factors on
which NPAs in India depend on. A case in point is that many of the
factors on which NPA depends are qualitative in nature. However,
to use the above forecasting methods we need to consider only
the quantitative factors which affect the value of the NPAs.

3.1 QUANTITATIVE FACTORS AFFECTING
BANK NPAs

1) Loans and advances
Loans and advances are considered to be the most important
factor while forecasting NPAs. More the number and size of
advances given by the bank, greater will be the risk of increase
in the value of NPAs.

2) Gross Domestic Product
GDP is a growth indicator of an economy. As GDP grows,
loans and advances also grow and hence it directly impacts
NPAs. Moreover, when the economy is in shambles,
corporates will not be able to pay the debts which will thereby
lead to an increase in NPA’s.

3) Inflation rate
Inflation based upon the consumer price index (CPI) is the
main inflation indicator in most of the countries. Inflation rate
in India is based upon the Indian Consumer Price Index. As
inflation rises, purchasing power of consumers’ fall resulting
in a drop in profits for the companies. Combination of both
these factors lead to a slowdown.

4) Repo rate
Repo rate is the rate at which the central bank of a country
(RBI in case of India) lends money to commercial banks in the
event of any shortfall of funds. Repo Rate is generally related
to the Bank Prime lending rate as well as reverse repo and
MLR. It is an indicator of the prevailing interest rate in the
country. Interest rate and inflation have a cumulative effect on
the economy and ability of the borrower to pay back. Hence,
repo rate is a crucial factor impacting NPAs.

3.2 Qualitative Factors
Qualitative factors play a huge role in NPAs. The main factors
are the regulations, oversight of pre-sanction process, after-
sanction monitoring, corruption, third party vendors and a slow
legal system.

4.0 Data Collected
The data is collected for all the above quantitative factors for SBI from 2010 Q2. In total there are 23 data points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Qtr</th>
<th>YQ</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>CPI %</th>
<th>GDP (in USD Billion)</th>
<th>Repo rate</th>
<th>Gross NPA(Rs crore)</th>
<th>Loans and advances (Rs crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>2015Q4</td>
<td>238.63</td>
<td>6.32%</td>
<td>2066</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>72791.73</td>
<td>130026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>2015Q3</td>
<td>237.94</td>
<td>5.14%</td>
<td>2061.63</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>56834.28</td>
<td>1232545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>2015Q2</td>
<td>236.52</td>
<td>6.10%</td>
<td>2057.26</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>56420.77</td>
<td>1209648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>2015Q1</td>
<td>236.11</td>
<td>6.28%</td>
<td>2052.89</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>56725.34</td>
<td>1198903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>2014Q4</td>
<td>238.34</td>
<td>2.07%</td>
<td>2048.52</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61991.45</td>
<td>1209829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>2014Q3</td>
<td>238.03</td>
<td>1.66%</td>
<td>2001.84</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60712.38</td>
<td>1149801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1 Parameters used in current analysis

To choose the best method for forecasting, we have standard error terms to be calculated. A comparison of these can help us choose the best fit forecasting technique.

1) Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD)

It measures the size of the error in units. It is calculated as the average of the unsigned errors.

\[
MAD = \frac{1}{n} \sum |y_a - y_f| 
\]

2) Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE)

The MAPE measures the size of error in percentage terms.

\[
MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum \frac{|y_a - y_f|}{y_a} \right) \times 100 
\]

\(y_a\) = actual value of the NPA

\(y_f\) = forecasted value of the NPA

\(n\) = Number of the observation

MAPE and bias are the two statistics which are used by organizations worldwide for choosing the best forecasting model. They use MAPE numbers and subjective knowledge to choose the final forecasting method. We will do the same and MAPE will be our deciding criteria for the forecasting method.

5.0 Results:-

5.1 MLR Analysis and results

In Multiple Linear Regression we have used a combination of independent variables (CPI, GDP, Repo Rate, Loans and Advances) for finding out their relationship with the NPAs. It can be used to predict the NPA of a given bank on the basis of the independent variables. The MLR model is:

\[Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_{1i} + \beta_2X_{2i} + \beta_3X_{3i} + \ldots + \beta_kX_{ki} + \epsilon_i \]

\(Y_i\) = Gross NPA for the quarter ‘i’ (dependent/response variable)

\(X_{ki}\) = independent/explanatory variable taken for regression such as CPI, GDP, Repo Rate, Loans and Advances

\(\beta_k\) = slope of Y with respect to X_{ki}, holding other variables X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{3i}, \ldots, Constant

\(\epsilon_i\) = random error in Y for observation ‘i’

MLR models were developed using different combinations of variables (with 95% CI) for different banks and the least error has been taken into account to find out the best model applicable for a specific bank. Each variable combination is obtained by checking the corresponding p-value, viz-a-viz the 5% level, of the variables to gauge the degree of significance. The Results are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
<th>MAPE (%)</th>
<th>MAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPI, GDP, Repo, Loan &amp; Advances</td>
<td>0.86442521</td>
<td>0.834297478</td>
<td>10.4765%</td>
<td>4589.064658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI, Repo, Loans &amp; Advances</td>
<td>0.86161942</td>
<td>0.83976985</td>
<td>10.6329%</td>
<td>4616.158161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI, Loans &amp; Advances</td>
<td>0.8517478</td>
<td>0.83922583</td>
<td>11.1783%</td>
<td>4870.637983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MLR Equation:

\[Y_1 = -61850 + 2547 \times \text{CPI} + 13.21306 \times \text{GDP} + 2795 \times \text{REPO RATE} + 0.0957 \times \text{L&A} \]

There can be a case of multicollinearity in these kind of situation. For example we can fairly guess here that with GDP growth, loans and advances will grow. Hence rather than directly going for MLR analysis, we do a stepwise regression which gives us the model with only the significant independent variable and also it keeps in check any issue which arises due to multicollinearity. As expected with stepwise regression we got only two significant variables CPI% and Loan & Advances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
<th>MAPE (%)</th>
<th>MAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPI, Loan &amp; Advances</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>11.07%</td>
<td>4849.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MLR Equation: - \[Y_1 = -70016.686 + 0.097 \times \text{L&A} + 2872.084 \times \text{CPI} \] For MLR, we will choose the equation given by stepwise regression for the reasons mentioned above. While performing Stepwise MLR
all other assumptions (homoscedasticity etc.) were also checked.

## 5.2 Holt Method

Holt Method of forecasting has been employed to estimate the NPAs for a given data based on the past 5 years' quarterly data. The actual data is first de-seasonalized by considering the seasonality in the value of NPAs for a bank. Then as per Holt method, the level and trend adjusted forecasted data is calculated by considering a nominal value of ‘alpha’ and ‘beta’ and the standard sum of errors (SSE) is calculated. This SSE is then minimized using the ‘solver’ function of Excel which takes into account the reference variables and calculates the most optimal value of alpha and beta for the present data. This helps us in forecasting the value of next quarters’ NPA with least amount of error.

Forecast Equation: \( y_{t+h|t} = \ell_t + h b_t \)

Level Equation: \( \ell_t = \alpha y_t + (1-\alpha)(\ell_{t-1} + b_{t-1}) \)

Trend Equation: \( b_t = \beta (\ell_t - \ell_{t-1}) + (1-\beta) b_{t-1} \)

Where, \( \ell_t \) denotes an estimate of the level of the series at time \( t \), \( b_t \) denotes an estimate of the trend (slope) of the series at time \( t \), \( \alpha \) is the smoothing parameter for the level, \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \) and \( \beta \) is the smoothing parameter for the trend, \( 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \)

### 5.3 Holt – Winter Method

In this case, double smoothing will not work. We now introduce a third equation to take care of seasonality (sometimes called periodicity). The resulting set of equations is called the "Holt-Winters" (HW) method after the names of the inventors. The exponential smoothing formulae applied to a series with a trend and constant seasonal component using the Holt-Winters additive technique are:

\( a_t = \alpha (Y_t - S_{t-p}) + (1-\alpha)(a_{t-1} + b_{t-1}) \)

\( b_t = \beta (a_t - a_{t-1}) + (1-\beta) b_{t-1} \)

\( S_t = \gamma (Y_t - a_t) + (1-\gamma) S_{t-p} \)

Where,

- \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are smoothing parameters
- \( a_t \) is the smoothed level at time \( t \)
- \( b_t \) is the change in the trend at time \( t \)
- \( S_t \) is the seasonal smooth at time \( t \)
- \( p \) is the number of seasons per year

### 6.0 Analysis and Recommendations

To compare the results in each methodology we have calculated the MAPE for each one of them. Contrary to our expectations the MAPE is high in models. This is because apart from quantitative factors, NPAs depend on various qualitative factors which cannot be translated into numbers. Despite the fact that the lowest MAPE (10.02%) came from Holt method, this method is rejected because it does not take any seasonality component which can have a significant impact on the NPAs considering the predominant nature of unpredictable monsoons in India.

The next in line is the MAPE of Stepwise MLR which is 11.07% (we have rejected MLR with all four variables) when two variables are taken into consideration according to best fit model. RSquare of MLR is 0.852 and Adjusted R Square is 0.837 which is considered to be very good. The MAPE of Holt winter is 14.2 %. This model is generally good for long term forecasting as it includes level, trend, and seasonality components and an adjustment for past errors. However since the MAPE is high, we would reject it.

We would use MLR equation to forecast our NPA now.

### 6.1 Final forecasting

\[ Y_1 = -70016.686 + .097*L&A + 2872.084*CPI \]

We will calculate NPA in 2020.

In 2020 predicting rest of variables,

- CPI (X1) in 2020 = 6% (assuming stable inflation)
- Loans and Advances in 2020 = 2093704.87 crore (Taking loans and advances growth of 10 % year on year as we expand the banking services)

With this the Forecasted NPA = 150305.1904 crore which will be 7.1 % of the Average Loans and Advances of SBI. This figure is very high. It will be a huge burden on bank balance sheet and will affect its productivity. It ties up the funds which can be used for infrastructure development and supporting initiatives like Start up India, Digital India etc. It alarms us to the situation at hand. Hence it is high time that steps should be taken to stem the rise of NPAs.

We need to be mindful of the fact that the forecasted value is high because we have taken only 5 years data after recession into account. Also recently there is push by RBI to publish their NPAs. The model MLR which is used is a trend based model. If banks get their act together and country builds a strong legal system, this trend will stop. As we focus more on recovering mechanism and creating strong environment for ARC to recover NPAs, we can stop the trend to go to the forecasted value

### 7.0 Reasons for NPAs

Apart from corruption and bribery some of the prominent

---

**Forecasting accuracy parameter value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SBI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAD</td>
<td>4726.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPE</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAPE</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## 70 Reasons for NPAs

Apart from corruption and bribery some of the prominent
According to RBI financial stability report, five sectors “Mining, iron & steel, textiles, aviation, and infrastructure together constitute 24.8 % of total advances of commercial banks and they hold a share of 51.1 % in total stressed advances”. The concerned sectors should be red flagged. Each loan distributed to industries in these sectors should be monitored continuously and due diligence should be done at each step. For all banks, sector specific credit appraisal teams can be planned which will look at the new applications and existing loans and raise concerns if found.

C) Standardisation of 3rd party Vendors :-

In the process of taking a loan the third party agencies such as engineers, financial analysts and other verification agencies play a crucial role in verifying the claims of the borrowers and it is often very easy to obtain these certificates. A standardisation of the 3rd party vendors through government authorization and subsequent monitoring of these vendors can stop the practice of forgery.

9.0 Role of Asset Restructure companies (ARCs) in India

SARFAESI Act of 2002 empowered banks to seize collaterals from defaulters and set up Debt Recovery Tribunal to avoid the chaotic legal process. ARCs came after that as specialised identities that can take over stressed assets from the bank and focus on recovering them or making them profitable. However, the choices placed before the banks were whether to make an internal department for stressed assets or sell NPAs to ARCs. The concept was a novel one as it meant more specialisation through ARC which would help banks focus on its core competencies and leave the NPA situation to ARCs. However, in practice the ARC concept never worked in India. It is estimated that ARCs, since their inception in 2003, have acquired just Rs 75,000 crore of loans by paying Rs 8,000 crore as cash. The recovery ratio is also very less. Hence, the expectations from ARCs have not been met and we do not have an effective system in place to recover/restructure stressed assets to get some value out of them.

9.1 What went wrong?

1) Regulatory Interference: In reality the only purpose of ARCs was to buy back stressed assets from the bank. It was supposed to be a clean transaction and ARCs’ functioning should have remained independent but due to RBI’s excessive regulations ARCs independence was compromised.

2) Banks’ concerns for its book: Banks never wanted to declare NPA because then they will have to create provision for it in their books and it would result in less profit. Because of this they would often hold on to an asset for longer time till its value further reduces. At this stage it becomes tough for ARC to revive it.

3) Slow Implementation: SARFAESI provided various mechanism for recovery. But the operational guidelines for these were issued very late. For example, the guidelines for management takeover of the defaulting firm were issued in 2010, eight years after the Act was passed. The guideline allowing ARCs to sell assets to each other, which enables them to aggregate assets of a borrower for a management takeover, came in 2013. As a consequence, from 2002 to 2013, ARCs were handicapped.

4) Lack of Foreign experts and capital: Policies by RBI has prevented entry of specialized foreign firm in the distressed debt management sector. This has also prevented flow of foreign capital and knowledge to ARCs.

9.2 How did ARC survive?

Sluggish Legal system, inappropriate technology, poor quality management, improper monitoring and follow up processes, managerial deficiencies, and ineffective recoveries are other causes which results in rising NPAs.

8.0 Remedies

A) Strengthening the pre-sanction and after disbursement monitoring process by using technology :-

It is high time that banks start fortifying their internal and external processes. Technology can play a big role here. Data analytics is the buzz word in every business circle and our renowned PSUs can take a piece of that pie and use these services to create processes where they can track a particular sector’s growth projections, cash flow generation capacity of a particular company, past records of the borrowers, track the loan payment schedule, strengthen the pre-sanction process etc. Along with this a Central Data repository of all corporate borrowers of all banks should be created which will help individual banks to coordinate among themselves and share data to track defaulters. Proper processes should be in place to check the fixed assets as claimed by the borrowers. Surprise visits to the factories will prove to be fruitful and the midway forensic audits of large customers is a way to mitigate risk here.

B) Sector wise planning :-

According to RBI financial stability report, five sectors “Mining, iron & steel, textiles, aviation, and infrastructure together constitute 24.8 % of total advances of commercial banks and they hold a share of 51.1 % in total stressed advances”. The concerned sectors should be red flagged. Each loan distributed to industries in these sectors should be monitored continuously and due diligence should be done at each step. For all banks, sector specific credit appraisal teams can be
It is an arrangement that helps ARC acquire NPAs easily but does not push them to recover it. ARCs issue SRs (Scheduled receipt) to finance the NPA acquisition and usually these SRs have a maturity of 5 years. The trick is that this is done through trust in which ARCs need to have only 5% minimum investment. Rest 95% is financed by other investors of the trust. After 5 years if ARCs cannot recover, the value the loss is shared proportionately. Since the minimum contribution of ARCs is just 5% in a particular asset hence the loss associated with the asset will be less. This makes buying of NPAs easy for ARCs. A significantly bigger issue is that in most of the cases our banks are the primary investors and subscribe to the major 95% of the SRs. So this mechanism in effect results in transferring the bad asset of bank from its book and showing it as an investment in SRs. This mechanism is only used by banks to evergreen their balance sheets. Ultimately the asset is not recovered and then only banks consider it as a loss.

Second, for NPA’s acquired, ARCs receive annual management fee (1.5-2 percent of acquired asset value) which is not dependent on the recovery of the asset. Again this reduces incentive of ARC to recover or resolve assets. Thus, lack of accountability and liability makes ARCs lethargic to act proactively.

**New Guidelines by RBI which have tried to remove some of these issues:**

RBI released the Framework for Revitalising Distressed Assets in the Economy on 30th January with several changes in the operational framework for ARCs.

On 5th August, 2014, RBI issued a notification with amendments to the regulatory framework for securitisation companies and ARCs.

**10.0 Evaluating recent policy changes**

The recent policy changes have come up with certain provisions to make ARC more responsible. For example: - Now to acquire an asset, ARC will have to shelf out 15% of the asset value. Fixed management fee is now made variable. It depends on Net acquired value of the acquired asset. Hence shortfall in recovery result in loss of fee for ARCs. Provision of sale of asset at arm’s length will further increase transparency. Certain measures are taken to make bank comfortable in selling asset to ARCs. However, the arrangement still lacks maturity and will not solve most of the problems.

**10.1 Suggestions**

The arrangement of SRs discussed above needs to be stopped. We should try to create a perfect market between banks and ARCs so that the tendency of ARCs acting as a warehouse of bad assets is curtailed. Rather than promoting 15 ARCs with less capital, it is more prudent to promote consolidation in the ARC space so that the resulting ARCs will have a large capital base and hence they will not require SR arrangement. Rather it would be a buy-sell transaction with bank getting cash or receivables after the sale. This will increase the liability of the ARCs and create competition in the market. The efficient ones who have the potential to turn around bad assets will be the winners and others will lose out. To promote capitalisation of ARCs, FDI should be allowed in them and all institutional investors should also be allowed to invest into them. But banks should not be allowed to invest in ARCs which is presently the case. SEBI and RBI can sit together and decide on the securitisation of these bad assets. If we can creation of market for these securities accompanied with appropriate regulations will go a long way in helping out ARCs.

Bankruptcy laws are very important as far as NPAs are concerned. The case of Kingfisher addresses the critical question that if there was bankruptcy law in India, would Kingfisher have survived? As the call for bankruptcy laws becomes stronger, now it has become even more pertinent for the government to give serious thought to it. It will help Start up India initiative, foster a culture of innovation, entrepreneurship as well as save those companies which can be restructured and recapitalised to create value for lenders and shareholders. Concrete bankruptcy laws will give support to ARCs and will promote them to take more risk and go for more buys. Chances of revival in that case will also increase.

Another novel way can be setting up a centralised special agency for resolving the NPA’s of public bank. The company will be act as custodian of all bank NPA’s. So how it will work is that all the NPA’s of PSU will be managed by this company. The company will consist of specialists in the space and they will be given fixed compensation plus a percentage of the recovered amount. This will help in two ways. First is identifying potentially good assets/companies among the NPA’s which can be restructured/recapitalised. This will be easy when the specialists get a chance to view all kinds of NPAs. Since the company will be public, it will get funds from the central government and hence it can use the funds uninhibitedly to acquire assets, infuse capital in moribund companies and make them work. Second; bank need not worry about the loss in sale of assets as NPA will remain on the bank book until resolved. The public company will only act as a custodian. Banks can now focus on their core services of banking whereas resolving bad debts will go in the hands of the specialists. Since it will be a central agency collecting bad debts from all PSUs it will also act as a central repository of NPAs. This will help in detecting fraud cases and nabbing wilful defaulters. As the company grows stronger RBI/SEBI can work with it to develop a bond market for stressed assets in India.

**11.0 Conclusion**

We devised a way to forecast NPA using MLR equation. The MLR equation predicted that if the trend goes on, the bad debt will rise to 150305.1904 crore only in SBI which is a very huge amount. Hence, arises a need for NPAs control. We covered various causes for NPAs and their possible solution which can prevent a loan from converting it into an NPA. Finally we look at why ARCs are not successful in India and what can be done to create an enabling environment which will foster growth of ARCs and help them to recover/resolve the bad assets of banking industry.
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ATTENTION MEMBERS

17th National Conference of Practising Company Secretaries
August 12-13, 2016 at Kasauli, Himachal Pradesh

The Institute is organising the 17th National Conference of Practising Company Secretaries on August 12-13, 2016 at Kasauli, Himachal Pradesh. The detailed brochure containing theme and sub themes and other information will be uploaded on the website of the Institute shortly.

With a view to commemorate the occasion, the Institute has decided to bring out a publication showcasing the reach and strength of the profession of company secretaries. In this connection, it has been decided to publish the following details in the proposed publication,

- The profiles of first 10 ACS, first 10 FCS, first 10 CP holders and first 10 CSBF Members
- The profile and journey of company secretaries having 3 or more members in their families
- The profile of members who have achieved recognition in a field other than as company secretaries such as Limca Book Award/Padma Awards/Participation in National Sports Events/the recognition in the area of music/art/cultural activities/Member of Parliament/Member of Legislative Assembly or any other recognition of National Importance

We invite the members to send their profiles/bio data (single space – Font : Verdana 10 point) in the following format latest by July 15, 2016 at devender.kapoor@icsi.edu with the subject “17 PCS – Profiles”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. no.</th>
<th>FIRST 10 ACS</th>
<th>FIRST 10 FCS</th>
<th>FIRST 10 CP HOLDERS</th>
<th>FIRST 10 CSBF MEMBERS</th>
<th>COMPANY SECRETARIES HAVING 3 OR MORE MEMBERS IN THEIR FAMILIES</th>
<th>PROFILE OF MEMBERS ACHIEVED RECOGNITION IN A FIELD OTHER THAN AS COMPANY SECRETARIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>(iv)</td>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>(vi)</td>
<td>(vii)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We look forward to receiving your profile at the earliest.

Members whose bio data was published in the 16th National Conference of Practising Company Secretaries “Udan” last year, need not to send bio data again unless any other achievement obtained during the year.
Corporate Dividend Policy in India: An Analysis of Trends across Industries

ABSTRACT
During the recent years Indian economy has witnessed a drastic change. The financial managers are taking various decisions very judiciously. One of the most crucial aspects faced by managers is of dividend decision. The companies belonging to various different industries may adopt an aggressive dividend policy or a conservative dividend policy. This paper studies the trends of dividend policy of 39 companies belonging to different industries in India over a time period of five years from 2010-11 to 2014-15. The study depicts that the companies belonging to the same industry are adopting different dividend policies. The consistency & stability of dividend policy has been checked and compared across industries. The study indicates the liberal and conservative dividend policies adopted by the companies. The three industries consumer durables, FMCG and automobiles are following a consistent dividend policy. The degree of consistency is highest for consumer durables industry. On the other hand capital goods, automobile, power & metal industry have followed an inconsistent dividend policy.

CORPORATE DIVIDEND POLICY IN INDIA: AN ANALYSIS OF TRENDS ACROSS INDUSTRIES
In the current competitive era, the corporate financial managers focus their attention on dividend decision. It is perplexing question of the distribution of a firm’s profits into dividend and retained earnings. Dividend is an important indicator of the financial health of a company. It is very significant because it has an impact on the capital structure of the company. A judicious decision satisfies both the financial needs of the company and the requirements of the providers of capital. The finance managers of companies are primarily focused upon shareholders wealth maximization. Over a period of time management researchers, academicians and scholars have given various theoretical models and empirical analysis of various facets of corporate dividend policy. As per dividend signaling hypothesis, dividend announcements provide valuable information and signals about the future growth prospects. It tells the prospective investors about the growing future of the company.

The management of most of the companies focus upon stability and regularity of dividend. Shareholders also, generally favour this policy and value stable dividends higher than the fluctuating ones. Theoretically, keeping all other things same, stable dividend policy may have a positive impact on the share prices. Practically whether dividend declaration affects the value of firm is an empirical matter. Initially in the research it was known as ‘The Dividend Puzzle’. As Black (1976) stated, “The harder we look at the dividend picture, the more it seems like a puzzle, with the pieces that just do not fit together.”

Dividend decision has implications for various stakeholders like investors, managers & lenders. Investors give due weightage to dividend because it gives them a regular income. Managers have to take dividend decision very carefully as future growth largely depends upon the amount of profits they reinvest in the business. Lenders also have an interest in dividend policy because larger the amount paid as dividend lesser would be the amount available for servicing and redemption of debt claims. In actual practice firms follow a particular dividend policy. Generally the firms tend to increase dividend rate with the increase in profitability. While framing the long term dividend policy the directors aim at creating a balance between the desires of the shareholders and the needs of the company.
In developed nations various studies have been conducted on dividend policy behaviour of the companies. However, there are very few studies that have analyzed the trends of dividend policy of Indian companies across different industries. There are some important trends and patterns that catch managerial attention. The paper highlights very useful aspects of information.

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

This section deals with research studies undertaken in past related to the corporate dividend policy. It explains the main aspects of research related to dividend decision. There are studies that discuss the dividend payout trends. There have been many research studies on dividend policies of the companies in India as well as abroad. Some researchers have focused upon the determinants of dividend policy & dividend signaling hypothesis.

Nassir and Mohamad (1993) investigated the dividend and earnings behavior of firms listed on Kuala Lumpur Stock exchange. The study found that the dividend decision of these firms partially depends on the current earnings & past dividends. The firms have long term target dividend payout which is conditioned upon their earnings ability. Rozeff (1994) furnished empirical evidence in the theory of how companies choose their dividend payout ratios. The study found that companies establish lower dividend payout ratios when they are experiencing higher revenue growth because the growth entails higher investment expenditure. It was concluded that the companies with higher leverage establish lower dividend payment ratio. Mishra and Narendrer (1996) studied the dividend policies of 39 state-owned enterprises in India for the period 1984-85 to 1993-94. The study found that earning per share is a significant determinant of the dividend policy of state-owned enterprises in India. Narasimhan and Asha (1997) observe that the uniform tax rate of 10 percent on dividend as proposed by the Indian union budget 1997-98, alters the demand of investors in favor of high payouts. Mohanty (1999) studied the dividend policy behavior of more than 200 Indian companies over 15 years. The study attempted to examine whether the companies offering bonus issue have been able to generate greater returns for their shareholders than those who have maintained steadily increasing dividend. The study found that most of the companies do not maintain constant payout ratio. Most of the companies give bonus shares to the shareholders. It was observed in 1982-91 sub period that the returns of bonus issuing companies are higher than the companies preferring dividend. This trend reversed during the sub-period 1992-96. Pandey (2001) examined the corporate dividend behaviour of the Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange (KLSE) companies. The results of the study found the influence of industry on payout ratios. There is also an evidence of less stable dividend policies being pursued by the Malaysian companies. Anand(2002) conducted a survey of 81 CFOs to find out the various determinants of dividend policy in India. The study concluded that most of the firms have target dividend payout ratio and dividend changes follow shift in the long-term sustainable earnings. The dividend policy is used as a signaling mechanism to convey information on the present and future prospects of the firm and thus affects its market value. Reddy (2002) examined the dividend behavior of Indian corporate sector from 1990-2001. The study concluded that the companies paying dividend has declined from 60.5% in 1990 to 32.1% in 2001 and only a few firms have consistently paid the same level of dividend. Frankfurter and Wood (2002) examined the corporate dividend behavior from many different perspectives. They concluded that a combination of modern financial theories and behavior & psychological influences determine the dividend policy. Mitton (2004) studied a sample of 365 firms from 19 countries. The results showed that the firms with stronger corporate governance have higher dividend payouts. The negative relationship between dividend payouts & growth opportunities is stronger among firms with better governance. Liu & Hu (2005) empirically analyzed the dividend policy of Chinese listed companies from the factors of the abilities in cash payout and investment opportunity of the companies. They studied the impact of cash flow on the cash dividend. The results indicate that firms with a higher return and higher cash dividend payment belong to traditional industry and the firms with a higher return and lower cash dividend payment belong to high-tech industry. Lalitha Mani & Priya (2010) studied dividend payment behaviour of five steel companies of India. The study found that Tata steel has highest earnings per share with high dividend amount declaration. Sur & majumdar(2013) analysed the dividend payout trends in fifty selected companies in Indian Corporate sector during the post liberalization period of 1998-99 to 2007-08. They highlighted the companies following conservative and aggressive dividend policies. Chawla & Chadha(2014) examined and compared the dividend payout trends (based on the DPS and DPR) of the two leading industries i.e. Telecom and Steel Industry In India. The study concluded that the dividend policy of telecom Industry is more consistent than that of Steel Industry. Size and EPS have been found to have an influence on Dividend policy for companies in Steel Industry but not for Telecom Industry.

**Objectives of the Study:** The main objectives of the study are as follows:-

1. To determine the trend and pattern of dividend distribution in Indian Corporate sector.
2. To analyze the stability of dividend policy in the context of each industry and corporate sector as a whole.

**Research Methodology:** A well chalked out Research methodology enables the researchers to draw meaningful conclusions. This section describes the research design, sources of data, sampling and data analysis tools and techniques.

I. Research Design-This project report examines the trends of dividend policy in Indian corporate sector. The study is based seven different industries of Indian economy. The payout policy of each industrial sector has been analyzed and compared. The analysis of the overall sample enables to draw conclusions about the overall corporate sector.

II. Sources of data- For the purpose of the study data has been derived from the secondary sources. The published financial statements are an authentic and reliable source of information about a listed company. The data regarding various financial aspects has been extracted from Capitaline Corporate Database of Capital market Publishers (I) Ltd., Mumbai. The data has also been collected from the website of BSE Ltd.

III. Sample & Sampling Technique-The sample consists of companies from seven different industries. Further from each industry companies are selected. The total number of sample companies is 39. The data for the sample companies have been collected for a period of 5 years from 2010-11 to 2014.
15. The time period chosen for the study is relatively recent. The five years time period is appropriate to cover short term fluctuations and cyclical effects on various parameters of the performance of the companies. The study includes companies from different industries. The various industries are Consumer goods, Capital goods, FMCG, Information Technology, Automobiles, Power & Metal. The firms from the financial & banking sector have been excluded from the study because of their unique characteristics. They have their different set of rules regarding earnings management. For the purpose of the study the sample companies must meet the following criteria:

a) The company should be listed in S & P BSE during the period 2011-2015.

b) The data related to the company must be available for all the variables.

The list of the companies selected as sample has been appended to annexure (Annexure-1).

IV. Measurement of variables & Tools of analysis: This section explains the description of the variables and the method of their measurement. Along with it the statistical tools used for analysis have been explained. The trends & patterns of corporate dividend policy in India is examined on the basis of two leading indicators i.e. Dividend per share and Dividend Payout ratio. It is pertinent to mention here that Interim dividend has not been considered for the report. Only final dividend by the company at the end of each financial year has been taken into account. Moreover stock dividends are ignored and only cash dividends are considered. The calculation of these variables has been shown as follows:-

1. Dividend Per share

\[ \text{DPS}_{it} = \frac{\text{DIV}_{it}}{N} \]

Here \( \text{DPS}_{it} \) denotes dividend per share for year \( t \) of company \( i \).

\( \text{DIV}_{it} \) denotes Total dividend for year \( t \) of the company \( i \).

\( N \) denotes the number of equity shares

2. Dividend Payout Ratio

It implies the rate of dividend the company declares to equity shareholders. It denotes the proportion of earnings that the company distributes to the shareholders. Dividend payout ratio has been regarded as an indicator for measuring trends and patterns in dividend payment. It is computed as follows:-

\[ \text{DPR}_{it} = \frac{\text{DIV}_{it}}{\text{PAT}_{it}} \]

Here \( \text{PAT}_{it} \) denotes the profits of the company \( i \) for the year \( t \).

The trend & pattern of dividend policy has been analysed on the basis of Dividend per share and dividend payout ratio. These two parameters have been analyzed using mean and Coefficient of variation (CV). Dividend Payout Ratio (DPR) of each company has been computed. Mean DPR of each company has been compared with mean DPR of the industry to which it belongs. Further industry DPR has been computed using the average DPR of each company forming the part of the industry. If the average DPR of the company is more than the average DPR of the industry then the company has adopted liberal dividend policy. If the average DPR of the company is less than the average DPR of the industry then the company has adopted conservative dividend policy.

Arithmetic mean of the average value of DPR of all the selected industries has been computed. It indicates Dividend payout rate in the corporate sector as a whole. If the industry mean is more than the aggregate mean of all the sectors then the industry is adopting liberal dividend policy. If the industry mean is less than the aggregate of all the sectors then the industry is adopting conservative dividend policy.

The stability of dividend policy in Indian corporate sector has also been analyzed. For this purpose Coefficient of variation (CV) of DPR has been analyzed. This measures the variation of Company DPR vis a vis variation in the industry DPR. If the CV of company DPR is more than the industry then the company is adopting a relatively unstable dividend policy. If the CV of company DPR is less than the industry then the company is adopting a Stable dividend policy. Similarly CV of industry DPR has been compared with CV of all the sample companies. This highlights the variations in DPR industry wise.

Data Analysis & Interpretations-This section reveals the data analysis & interpretations. It has been explained as follows:

I. Dividend Payment Trends in India

1. Overall Scenario: The project report measures the average dividend payout & average dividend per share of the selected sample companies over the study period. Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of dividend per share and dividend payout ratio. of Indian companies.

Table 1 shows the mean DPS of Rs. 10.51 of the sample companies of the corporate sector in India. There are companies that pay zero dividend per share. The maximum amount of average Dividend per share is Rs. 67. The standard deviation of Dividend per share is 15. It is showing a lower degree of variation amongst the sample companies. The average dividend payout by Indian companies is also shown in the table. On an average basis Indian companies pay 37.46% of the earnings as dividend. The maximum payout is 152% of the earnings. Hence there are companies that follow the policy of paying dividend out of the past profits also. The standard deviation of payout rate is 28.44. It shows the moderate variability in the payout rates of the companies.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

(Whole Sample)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPS(in Rupees)</td>
<td>10.51</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend payout</td>
<td>37.46</td>
<td>28.44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>151.92</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 shows the year wise average payout ratio of the entire sample companies i.e. the corporate sector as a whole.

Table 2 reveals that the dividend payout is relatively stable over the period of five years. It hovers around 32%-45%.

Table 2

Year-wise Payout Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Average Payout Ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>34.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>44.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>40.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>35.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>32.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the type of dividend policy followed by each industry vis-a-vis the corporate sector. The consistency of the dividend policy of each industry has been analyzed.

Table 3

Industry-wise Payout policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry Statistics</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Coeff. Of variation</th>
<th>Type of dividend policy</th>
<th>Consistency of dividend policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Durables</td>
<td>29.01</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>Consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital goods</td>
<td>24.74</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>Inconsistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMCG</td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>Consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>30.56</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>Inconsistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automobile</td>
<td>57.76</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>Inconsistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>Inconsistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>Inconsistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand values for the whole sample</td>
<td>37.46</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows that out of the total seven industries only two industries have followed a liberal dividend policy as their average payout is more than the overall grand average. The industries following liberal dividend policy are FMCG and automobile. On the other hand Capital goods, consumer durables, Information technology, power & metal industries have an average payout less than the grand average. So these are following a conservative dividend policy.

The analysis of coefficient of variation of various industries reveals many pertinent facts. The coefficient of variation of the corporate sector as a whole is 0.76. The three industries consumer durables, FMCG and automobiles have lower coefficient of variation as compared to corporate sector. Hence these are following a consistent dividend policy. The degree of consistency is higher for consumer durables industry. On the other hand capital goods, automobile, power & metal industry have followed an inconsistent dividend policy. The degree of inconsistency is highest in case of power industry. The 42% of the sample industries are following consistent dividend policy and 58% are following inconsistent dividend policy as computed to the general trend of corporate sector.

2. Industry wise trends: The average dividend payout and coefficient of variation of each company has been given in annexure 2. The industry wise analysis of each company has been done as follows:

1) Consumer Durables Industry: Out of the total five companies selected from consumer durables industry three companies have followed liberal dividend policy and two have followed conservative dividend policy. The industry payout ratio is 29.01%, whereas Hitachi Home & Life Solutions (India) Ltd., Symphony Ltd., Blue Star Ltd. are paying a percentage greater than the industry average. On the other hand Titan Co Ltd. and Whirlpool of India Ltd. are declaring a lower percentage as dividend. Thus 60% of the sample companies of this industry are following liberal dividend payout policy & 40% are following conservative dividend policy. The coefficient of variation of each company, industry and corporate sector as a whole has also been computed. The companies having coefficient of variation more than the coefficient of variation of the industry to which they belong have an inconsistent dividend policy. On the other hand the companies having less coefficient of variation than the industry have a consistent dividend policy. Amongst the consumer durables industry Hitachi Home & Life Solutions (India) Ltd. & Blue Star Ltd. have greater variability than the industry average. Symphony Ltd. & Titan Co Ltd. has good consistency in dividend payout as compared to industry average.

2) Capital Goods Industry: Out of the five companies from the capital goods sector three are having payout ratio more than the industry average. So three companies are following liberal dividend policy and two are following conservative dividend policy. Larsen & Toubro Ltd., Siemens India Ltd. and Havells India Ltd. have adopted liberal dividend policy. Crompton Greaves Ltd. and Suzlon Energy Ltd. have followed conservative dividend policy. Siemens India Ltd. is declaring a payout of 52% whereas an average of capital goods industry is 24.74%. Thus the company has a very liberal dividend policy. Moreover 60% of sample companies from capital goods industry are following liberal dividend policy whereas 40% are following conservative dividend policy. In capital industry, the industry Coefficient of variation is 0.78. Out of the five Companies selected as sample Suzlon Energy Ltd. has zero payout policy and the other four sample companies have followed a consistent dividend policy.

3) FMCG Industry: Out of the six sample companies from FMCG industry 3 companies are following liberal dividend policy and three are following conservative dividend policy. Companies following Liberal dividend policy are Colgate-Palmolive India Ltd., Bajaj Corp Ltd. and ITC Ltd. The companies that are following conservative dividend policy are Britannia Industries Ltd., Dabur India Ltd. and Godrej Consumer Products Ltd. Thus fifty percent of the companies are following conservative dividend policy and fifty percent are following a liberal dividend policy.

In FMCG industry, the industry Coefficient of variation is 0.3. Out of the six companies selected as a sample Colgate-Palmolive India Ltd., ITC Ltd., Britannia Industries Ltd., Dabur India Ltd. and Godrej Consumer Products Ltd.
have followed a consistent dividend policy. Bajaj Corp Ltd. is the only company from this industry that has an inconsistent dividend policy.

4) Information Technology Industry: Out of the six sample companies three companies are paying more than the industry average and three are paying less than the industry average. HCL Technologies Ltd., Infosys Ltd. and Tata Consultancy Services Ltd. are paying dividend liberally. On the other hand Wipro Ltd., D-Link India Ltd., MindTree Ltd. are following a conservative dividend policy. Thus fifty percent of the sample companies from information technology industry are following conservative policy and fifty percent are following liberal policy.

In Information Technology industry the Coefficient of variation is 0.4 for the sample of six companies. Three companies naming HCL Technologies Ltd., Tata Consultancy Services Ltd. and MindTree Ltd. have inconsistent dividend policy as their Coefficient of variation is higher than the industry Coefficient of variation. Infosys Ltd., Wipro Ltd. and D-Link India Ltd. have adopted a consistent dividend policy.

5) Automobile Industry: Out of six sample companies two companies Hero MotoCorp Ltd, Tata Motors Ltd. are following liberal dividend policy as compared to the industry to which they belong. Bajaj Auto Ltd., Bharat Forge Ltd., Ashok Leyland Ltd. are following a conservative dividend policy. Looking at the payout figures of Tata Motors Ltd. it can be derived that the payout of the company is 151% whereas industry average is 57.76%. The company is paying dividend very liberally as compared to the industry. Thus 40% of the companies are following liberal dividend policy & 60% are following conservative dividend policy. In automobile industry, the Coefficient of variation is 0.87. All the companies chosen as a sample have a lower Coefficient of variation than the industry Coefficient of variation. Thus firms belonging to automobile industry have a consistent dividend policy.

6) Power Industry: Out of five companies selected as a sample from power industry, three companies i.e. NHPC Ltd., NTPC Ltd. and Power Grid Corp of India Ltd. have a higher payout percentage as compared to the industry average. Adani Power Ltd. & Reliance Power Ltd. have a policy of zero payout. Hence they have adopted a conservative approach. Thus 60% of the sample companies are following liberal dividend policy and 40% are following conservative dividend policy.

In power industry, the Coefficient of variation is 0.92. Out of the five sample companies NHPC Ltd., NTPC Ltd. and Power Grid Corp of India Ltd. have a lower Coefficient of variation as compared to the industry. Hence they are following a consistent dividend policy.

7) Metal Industry: The payout rate of metal industry as 36.38% for a sample of six companies. The companies paying more than the industry average are Coal India Ltd., Vedanta Ltd. and NMDC Ltd. Whereas Hindalco Industries Ltd., JSW Steel Ltd. and Tata Steel Ltd. are following a conservative dividend policy. These companies are paying less than the industry average. Thus fifty percent of the companies from this industry are following conservative and the rest fifty percent are following liberal dividend policy.

In metal industry, the coefficient of variation is 0.79. In case of Vedanta Ltd. the industry coefficient of variation is less than that of the company. Hence the company has inconsistent dividend policy. The other five companies have a consistent dividend policy.

**CONCLUSION**

The paper analyzes the dividend policy of various industries and corporate sector as a whole. The empirical results in this report are focussed on the time period 2010-11 to 2014-15. On the basis of a sample of 39 Indian companies it is depicted that the Indian firms follow a stable dividend policy. A sector wise intensive analysis has been done regarding the dividend policy. The study re-examines the trends and patterns of dividend policy in the recent times. The study also depicts that the companies belonging to the same industry are adopting different dividend policies. The consistency & stability of dividend policy has been checked and compared across industries. The study indicates the liberal and conservative dividend policies adopted by the companies. The mean DPS is Rs. 10.51 of the sample companies of the corporate sector in India. The maximum amount of average Dividend per share is Rs. 67. The dividend payout is relatively stable over the period of five years. It hovers around 32%-45%. The three industries consumer durables, FMCG and automobiles are following a consistent dividend policy. The degree of consistency is higher for consumer durables industry. On the other hand capital goods, automobile, power & metal industry have followed an inconsistent dividend policy. The degree of inconsistency is highest in case of power industry. The 42% of the sample industries are following consistent dividend policy and 58% are following inconsistent dividend policy as computed to the general trend of corporate sector.

The study has been conducted on the selected companies listed on BSE. Although the companies are taken from the different industrial sectors of the economy, yet a larger sample would enable to test the robustness of the results. The reliability of the data can be tested in a better manner by using different combinations of companies in future.

The paper revealed many pertinent facets; the determinants of dividend policy, trends & patterns of dividend policy. The study would facilitate the financial managers in decision making by providing valuable insights.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annexure I</th>
<th>List of Companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of the Industry</strong></td>
<td><strong>S. No.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Durables</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Symphony Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Titan Company Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Whirlpool of India Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Blue Star Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annexure 2</th>
<th>Company wise data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of the Industry</strong></td>
<td><strong>S.No.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Durables</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Symphony Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Titan Company Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Whirlpool of India Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Company Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Blue Star Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industry figures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Crompton Greaves Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Larsen &amp; Toubro Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Siemens Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Havells India Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Suzlon Energy Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industry figures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Colgate-Palmolive (India) Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Britannia Industries Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dabur India Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bajaj Corp Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Godrej Consumer Products Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ITC Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industry figures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>HCL Technologies Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Infosys Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tata Consultancy Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Wipro Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>D-Link India Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mindtree Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industry figures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Automobiles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Share Price</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ashok Leyland Ltd</td>
<td>35.37</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bajaj Auto Ltd</td>
<td>47.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Bharat Forge Ltd</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Hero MotoCorp Ltd</td>
<td>71.82</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Maruti Suzuki India Ltd</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Tata Motors Ltd</td>
<td>151.92</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industry figures</td>
<td>62.24</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Power**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Share Price</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Adani Power Ltd</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>NHPC Ltd</td>
<td>34.27</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>NTPC Ltd</td>
<td>36.39</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Reliance Power Ltd</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd</td>
<td>29.66</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industry figures</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Metal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Share Price</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Coal India Ltd</td>
<td>89.49</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Hindalco Industries Ltd</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>JSW Steel Ltd</td>
<td>14.68</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Tata Steel Ltd</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Vedanta Ltd</td>
<td>38.07</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>NMDC Ltd</td>
<td>42.05</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industry figures</td>
<td>25.76</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Awareness Programme on Anti-Sexual Harassment at Work**

Every employer, employing 10 or more employees, has to constitute an Internal Complaints Committee as stipulated by Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition & Redressal) Act, 2013 (SHWW Act) and amongst four, one member should be a person familiar with the rules relating to sexual harassment of women at workplace.

In order to familiarize persons, the Labour Law Reporter through its Labour Laws Institute is holding Awareness Programme with a faculty of eminent experts. The participants with certificate for participation will be eligible to be member or even Presiding Officer of the Internal Complaints Committee not only for their own but also an expert member for other establishments against professional fee. He/She can supplement his/her income also.

Creating awareness and orientation of the members of the committee by the employers is a statutory requirement under section 19(c) of the SHWW Act, 2013.

The interested participants should send their nomination since there will be limited seats.

**Participation fee**: Rs.4000 each. For more than one participant from the same organization Rs.3500 each inclusive of written material and lunch. (Service Tax 14.5%)

**Date & Timing**: 22-7-2016 from 10 am to 5 pm

**Venue**: Scope, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi – 110 003.

*Nearest Metro Station: Jawahar Lal Nehru Stadium*

**Labour Laws Institute**

A-43, Lajpat Nagar – 2, New Delhi – 110 024. Phone: 011-29842984, 29842222. E-Mail: labourlawsinstitute@gmail.com Helpline No. +919891114444, +918468000000

Also to be held at Mumbai & Hyderabad
ICSI - CCGRT

ANNOUNCES

Unique

All India Research Paper Competition
On Special Courts
(Indian Companies Act 2013)

ICSI-CCGRT is pleased to announce unique “All India Research Paper Competition on Special Courts with an objective of creating proclivity towards research among its Members, both in employment and practice.

The purpose of research is to identify specific questions and try to find out a comprehensive and definitive answer. Since research in all disciplines and subjects, must begin with a clearly defined goal, this study is also designed keeping those objectives in mind.

PROLOGUE

The Companies Act, 2013 has proposed to form "Special courts" for the purpose of providing speedy trial and disposal of offences. Chapter XXVIII of the Companies Act, 2013, deals with special courts. Section 435 of the Indian Companies Act, 2013 provides for the rapid trial of offences by empowering Central Government, to set up or designate by notification as many Special Courts as may require. A special court shall comprise of a single judge who shall be appointed by the Central Government with the concurrence of the Chief Justice of the High Court within whose jurisdiction the judge to be appointed is working.

Offences triable:

• All offences under this Act shall be tried only by the Special court within whose jurisdiction the Registered office of the company is situated.
• An accused or a suspect under this act is forwarded and is detained by the Magistrate for a period of 15 days (Judicial Magistrate) or 7 days (Executive Magistrate) and if the magistrate feels that such detention is unnecessary beyond upon or before the expiry of the period, he should order to forward such person to the concerned Special Court.
• The special court has the discretion to exercise the power vested with the Magistrate in relation to the person forwarded to him.
• The Special court may, based on the report of the Police, of the facts constituting an offence under this act or upon complaint
in that behalf, take cognizance of the offence, without taking
the accused for trial.

• Overriding the provisions of CrPC, the Special court has
the power to try in a summary way, the offences under this
act which are punishable with imprisonment for a term not
exceeding 3 years.

• In case of any verdict in a summary trial, the imprisonment
cannot exceed the one year.

• If, at any time the Special court feels that the said case cannot
be tried in summary way or the imprisonment should exceed
one year, the Special court should after hearing the parties,
record an order and thereafter recall any witnesses and rehear
the case in accordance with procedure for regular trial.

OBJECTIVES:

a. To analyze the implications of Special Courts.
b. To comprehend whether they are going to be boon or bane
for corporate world.
c. To find out the probable gaps / lacunae of Special Courts.
d. To explore foreign scenarios pertaining to Special Courts.
e. To comprehend the jurisdiction of Special Courts.
f. To gain understanding pertaining to cognizable and non-
cognizable offences.

Themes on which Research Papers are
invited

• Special Courts- A Step towards quick response to Corporate
Litigations.
• Coverage of Cognizable and Non-cognizable offences and
their ramifications.
• Mediation and Conciliation Panel
• Takeaways from practices embraced by Special Courts in
Foreign Lands.
• Appeal and Revision
• Transitional Provisions.
• Special Courts & Its Impact on Ease of Doing Business.
• Gauging the probable merits and limitations of Special Courts.

Research Paper / Manuscript Guidelines

• Original papers are invited from Company Secretaries in
employment & practice, Academicians, Research Scholars
and other Professionals.
• The paper must be accompanied with the author’s name(s),
affiliations(s), full postal address, email ID, and telephone/fax
number along with the title of the paper on the front page.
• Full text of the paper should be submitted in MS Word using
Times New Roman, font size 12 on A4 size paper in 1.5 spacing,
with a maximum of 5000 words.
• The text should be typed double-spaced only on one side of
A4 size paper in MS Word, Times New Roman, 12 font size
with one-inch margins all around.
• The author/s’ name should not appear anywhere else on the
body of the manuscript to facilitate the blind review process.
The research paper should be in clear, coherent and concise
English.
• Tables / Exhibits should be numbered consecutively in Arabic
numerals and should be referred to in the text as Table 1,
Table 2 / Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 etc.
• All notes must be serially numbered. These should be given
at the bottom of the page as footnotes.
• The following should also accompany the manuscripts on
separate sheets: (i) An abstract of approximately 150 words
with a maximum of five key words, and (ii) A brief biographical
sketch (60-80 words) of the author/s describing current
designation and affiliation, specialization, number of books and
articles in refereed journals, membership number of ICSI and
other membership on editorial boards and companies, etc.
• The research papers should reach the Competition Committee
on or before 27th June, 2016 by 12 noon (IST).
• Participants should email their research papers on the
following email id: ccgrt@icsi.edu

Further Information for Authors / Participants

• The decision of the Reviewing Committee will be final and
binding on the participants.
• The Institute of Company Secretaries of India reserves
the right to publish or refer the selected papers for various
publications viz: Souvenirs, Books, Study materials published
by the institute or in any seminar / conference / workshop /
Research Programs conducted by institute either on its own
or jointly with other organizations and also in regular course
of activities of ICSI. Further, the authors whose papers will be
selected will receive an Appreciation Letter from the institute
and Program Credit Hours (PCH).
• ICSI reserves all intellectual property rights including in
particular copyright, trade mark, design and other intellectual
rights. The authors are not entitled for any remuneration or
compensation or royalty except honorarium paid by ICSI. The
participants / authors shall submit the Declaration Form to the
institute at the time of submission of paper.
• The papers will be scrutinized by an Expert Committee.

For any query / assistance, kindly contact at: ccgrt@icsi.edu /
+91-22-41021515/1501

4 PCH will be awarded to the authors, whose research papers
will be selected.

CS Ahalada Rao V
Council Member & Chairman
ICSI Research Committee

CS Ashish Doshi
Council Member & Chairman
ICSI-CCGRT Mgmt.Committee

Dr. Rajesh K Agrawal
Director
ICSI-CCGRT
INVITATION FOR SUBMISSION OF DETAILED CELLULOID RESEARCH THEMES ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE – CG AND INTERNATIONAL CORPORATE GOVERNANCE DAY - ICGD

To be a global leader in promoting Good Corporate Governance – Vision Statement

To develop high calibre professionals facilitating Good Corporate Governance – Mission Statement

Objective of this initiation is to imbibe the importance of the concept of Corporate Governance and ICGD in the minds of each and every person with a sole theme of “Corporate to Common man”.

As you are aware ICSI has mooted the concept of “International Corporate Governance Day – ICGD”, we seek suggestions from all the Members and Students to provide best suitable detailed celluloid research themes in relation to “Corporate Governance” and “International Corporate Governance Day–ICGD”.

The theme should be enthused with more Creativity, Novelty and Innovation and the indicative Categories can be as under:

Best slogans on CG and ICGD
Best animation clips on CG and ICGD
Best Song on CG and ICGD

Best short videos on CG and ICGD
Best Skits on CG and ICGD
Best Short Stories on CG and ICGD

Best methods and modes of making public awareness in relation to ICGD by using

Social media approach like Face Book posts, Whatsapp messages, tweets or
Print media like giving articles, columns, bites etc or
Electronic Media like giving interviews, advertisements etc.

The Best three in each category will be suitably rewarded and will be announced in the Chartered Secretary the name along with the photo and suggestion.

The copyrights and other rights in relation to the above content shall be exclusively reserved with ICSI alone. And any disputes in this relation the Jurisdictional city is Mumbai only.

The Suggestion and modalities along with their profile may please be forwarded to ccgrt@icsi.edu specifying clearly the following details, on or before 31 July 2016

ICSI reserves the right to select the entries. It may reject or keep on hold any entry made in this regard, without specifying any reasons.

CS Ahalada Rao V
Chairman
ICSI-Research Committee

CS Ashish Doshi
Chairman
ICSI – CCGRT Committee

CS Vineet Chaudhary
Chairman
ICSI-CG Committee
Embarking Upon the Voyage of Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Series II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of the series</td>
<td>Research Review of Literature on Indian Company Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates Timings</td>
<td>24 to 26 June 2016 9.30 am to 7.00 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Hours</td>
<td>10 PCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venue</td>
<td>The ICSI - Hyderabad Chapter # 6-3-609/5, Anandnagar Colony, Khairatabad, Hyderabad – 4 Tel: 040-23399541, 23396494 (Mobile): 08978622558</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Delegates fee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Bird Registrations (paid up to 22.6.2016)</th>
<th>Members/others</th>
<th>APS Reg. Members</th>
<th>APS Gold Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5000 for three days 2000 per day</td>
<td>1000 for three days 500 per day</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>However confirmation before 22 is must</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registrations on or after 23.6.2016</td>
<td>6000 for three days 2500 per day</td>
<td>1750 for three days 750 per day</td>
<td>Free</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Trajectory
In its endeavor to provide impetus to research activities and taking it to the pinnacle, CCGRT is organizing the aforesaid workshop to explore into various Sections and Critical Aspects of Companies Act, 2013 and to emerge with a literature that will be incredible and an exemplar in Indian Company Law. Further, to make the seminar a learning oriented so that it leave the participants with food for thought, the proposed colloquy will be conducted in two series and each series will consist of three days.

Objectives of the Workshop
- Discussion on the Indian Company Law.
- Review the existing literature of Company Law.
- Analyze research material and find out gaps, discrepancies and interpretation issues.

Scope of the study during Workshop- Chapters 1 to 10 of Indian Companies Act, 2013
- Chapter 1 - Preliminary
- Chapter 2 - Incorporation of Company and Matters Incidental Thereto
- Chapter 3 - Prospectus and Allotment of Securities
- Chapter 4 - Share Capital and Debentures
- Chapter 9 - Accounts of Companies
- Chapter 10 - Audit and Auditors

The Beginning of Voyage- Analysis of the Research Material
As any journey or voyage commences with the interaction among co-passengers, similarly, in the voyage of research, the first step involves interaction among the participants, instructions / guidance by the panel members / mentors and handing over of the research material. Once participants receive the research material they have to begin with its in-depth analysis.
Since analysis plays a pivotal role in ascertaining various dimensions to a concept, keeping this in view, the participants are expected to invest their best endeavors in doing an analytical study of various key concepts/chapters of the Company Law.

Sailing Deep into the Ocean- Debate & Discussion

Once the participants will be conversant with the theory behind formation of the Research Group, its goals and process to be adhered as a participant, the next move goes by the adage, "Two Heads are Better than One". Yes, we are talking about brainstorming, as in today’s dynamic Legal, Business & Economic environment, decision taken by one expert may prove detrimental to the interest of the organization and stakeholders. So, in view of the immense value brainstorming holds, this session will unite various groups (after formation of groups during the workshop), who will engage into a detailed discussion on the assigned Chapters/Sections of the Companies Act, 2013. As various people have different perceptions and it consumes paramount time to reach the point of reconciliation. Keeping this in view, substantial time will be allocated for the mentioned session, so that all participants with the combination of 3Ds, 'Dedication, Determination & Discipline' give their optimum output. This session aims to throw light on significant issues of Companies Act, 2013. Participants need to present the debatable issues, controversial issues and also unsolved mysteries of the sections and the chapters allocated to them.

Reaching the Shores- Presentation of Revised Research Material

In this stage, the participants will put forward the revised research material based upon their study and analysis, as well as the valuable inputs received from their peers. This marks the conclusion of the voyage, where after a marathon study on corporate law, participants will emerge with their valuable thoughts/opinions which will go a long way in cultivating a research atmosphere.

Suggestions & Instructions to the Delegates

1) Participants should carry their own laptops, books and other reading materials.
2) During the workshop participants may refer both primary and secondary data to complete the assigned tasks.
3) Participants should note that NO BACKGROUND MATERIAL will be provided by ICSI-CCGRT and research material provided, if any, to the participants will be on return basis, i.e. participants must return the research material at the end of the program.
4) The decision of the Panelist/ Judges/ Mentors will be final and binding upon the participants regarding the judgment / comments/ feedback provided after reviewing the group task.
5) The Credit Hours to the Participants has to be accorded based on their presence on the number of days/ Number of Hours attended during the programme.
6) Participants are required to bring their LAPTOPS.

Mode of Payment

The fee may be paid by way of cash or DD / cheque draw in favour of ‘Hyderabad Chapter of Company Secretaries’

Online Payment

Online Transfer A/C No.ICICI:000801203504; IFSC Code: ICIC0000008 (payment made through online to be informed by email to hyderabad@icsi.edu along with particulars.)

The Regulations prescribe the minimum qualification for appointment of teaching faculty in universities and colleges in the area of Management/Business Administration. The qualifications specified for appointment of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor in the above area and Principal/Director/Head of the Institution include First Class Graduate and professionally qualified Company Secretary among other qualifications and subject to other requirements including qualifying NET/SLET/SET as the minimum eligibility condition for recruitment and appointment of Assistant Professors.

The relevant extract of the notification is as follows:

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS FOR APPOINTMENT OF TEACHING FACULTY IN UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES - MANAGEMENT/BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION:

1. Assistant Professor:
   (i) Essential:
   1. First Class Masters Degree in Business Management/Administration/in a relevant management related discipline or first class in two year full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU/ accredited by the AICTE/UGC;

   OR

   2. First Class graduate and professionally qualified Chartered Accountant/Cost and Works Accountant/Company Secretary of the concerned statutory bodies.

   (ii) Desirable:
   1. Teaching, research, industrial and/or professional experience in a reputed organization;
   2. Papers presented at Conferences and/or published in refereed journals.

2. Associate Professor:
   (i) Consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in Master’s Degree in Business Management/Administration/in a relevant management related discipline or first class in two years full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU/recognized by the AICTE/UGC;

   OR

   First Class graduate and professionally qualified Chartered Accountant/Cost and Works Accountant/Company Secretary of the concerned statutory body.

   (ii) Ph.D. or Fellow of Indian Institute of Management or of an Institute recognized by AICTE and declared equivalent by the AIU.

   (iii) A minimum of eight years’ experience of teaching/industry/research/professional at managerial level excluding the period spent for obtaining the research degree.

   OR

   (iv) In the event the candidate is from industry and the profession, the following requirements shall constitute as essential requirements:

   1. Consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in Master’s Degree in Business Management/Administration/in a relevant management related discipline or first class in two years full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU/recognized by AICTE/UGC,

   OR

   First Class graduate and professionally qualified Chartered Accountant/Cost and Works Accountant/Company Secretary of the concerned statutory body.

   2. A minimum of ten years experience of teaching/industry/research/professional, out of which five years must be at the level of Assistant Professor or equivalent excluding the period spent for obtaining research degree. The candidate should have Professional work experience, which is significant and can be recognized at national / international level as equivalent to Ph.D. and ten years managerial experience in industry/profession of which at least five years should be at the level comparable to that of lecturer/assistant professor.

   (v) Without prejudice to the above, the following conditions may be considered desirable:
(a) Teaching, research, industrial and/or professional experience in a reputed organization;
(b) Published work, such as research papers, patents filed/obtained, books and/or technical reports; and
(c) Experience of guiding the project work/dissertation of PG/Research Students or supervising R&D projects in industry.

3. Professor:
   (i) Consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in Master’s Degree in Business Management/Administration/ in a relevant discipline or consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in two year full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU/recognized by the AICTE/UGC;
   OR
   First Class graduate and professionally qualified Chartered Accountant/Cost and Works Accountant/Company Secretary of the concerned statutory body.
   (ii) Ph. D. or Fellow of Indian Institute of Management or of an Institute recognized by AICTE and declared equivalent by the AIU.
   (iii) A minimum of ten years’ experience of teaching/industry/research/ professional out of which five years must be at the level of Reader or equivalent excluding the period spent for obtaining the research degree.
   OR
   (iv) In the event the candidate is from industry and the profession, the following shall constitute as essential:
   1. Consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in Master’s Degree in Business Management/Administration/ in a relevant management related discipline or consistently good academic record with at least 55% marks (or an equivalent grade in a point scale wherever grading system is followed) in two years full time PGDM declared equivalent by AIU/recognized by the AICTE/UGC.
   OR
   First Class graduate and professionally qualified Chartered Accountant Cost and Works Accountant/Company Secretary of the concerned statutory body.
   (v) Without prejudice to the above, the following conditions may be considered desirable:
   (i) Teaching, research, and/or professional experience in a reputed organization;
   (ii) Published work, such as research papers, patents filed/obtained, books and/or technical reports;
   (iii) Experience of guiding the project work/dissertation of PG/research Students or supervising R&D projects in industry;
   (iv) Demonstrated leadership in planning and organizing academic, research, industrial and/or professional activities; and
   (v) Capacity to undertake/lead sponsored R&D consultancy and related activities.

4. Principal/Director/Head of Institution
   (i) Qualification same as those prescribed for the post of professor in the relevant discipline with a minimum of fifteen years’ experience of postgraduate teaching/industry/research.
   OR
   (ii) For candidates from Industry/Profession:
       Qualification same as those prescribed for the post of Professor from industry/profession stream with fifteen years’ experience of postgraduate teaching/research out of which five years must be at the level or Professor in the relevant discipline.
   (iii) Without prejudice to the above, the following conditions may be considered desirable:
       1. Administrative experience in senior level responsible position in the Industry/Professional Institution.

For full text of the notification please visit the url: http://www.ugc.ac.in/policy/revised_finalugcregulationfinal10.pdf
Dear Students,

“Training Opportunities for Students”

We wish to inform you that ICSI has created a special section at its home page to help students in locating vacancy in suitable company / PCS / other entities for undergoing training.

You may spread this message amongst all concerned so that students in need may make best use of this facility.

To get access to host of information, interested students may visit Institute’s website www.icsi.edu and on its home page click the link “Training Opportunities for Students” (as pointed below)
KISHINCHAND CHELLARAM VS COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX [SC]

JABAL C.LASHKARI & ORS v. OFFICIAL LIQUIDATOR & ORS [SC]

AXIS BANK v. SBS ORGANICS PVT. LTD & ANR [SC]

REGISTRARS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA v. NSDL & ORS [CCI]

CONFEDERATION OF REAL ESTATE BROKERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA v. MAGICBRICKS.COM & ORS [CCI]

EITZEN BULK A/S v. ASHAPURA MINECHEM LTD & ANR [SC]

CELLULAR OPERATORS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA & ORS v. TELECOM REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF INDIA & ORS [SC]

STATE OF M.P & ORS v. M/S RUCHI PRINTERS [SC]

C.C.E., RAIGAD v. ISPAT METALLICS INDUSTRIES LTD & ORS [SC]
Dear Members,

“Job Opportunities for Members”

We wish to inform you that ICSI has created a special section at its home page to help members in locating suitable job opportunities for them.

Kindly spread this message amongst all concerned so that members may make best use of this facility, as and when required.

To get access to host of information, interested members may visit Institute’s website www.icsi.edu and on its home page click the link
‘Job Opportunities for Members’ (as pointed below)
Landmark Judgement

CS: LMJ: 8/06/2016

Kishinchand Chellaram v. Commissioner of Income tax [SC]

Civil appeal Nos. 462-465 of 1960

J.C.Shah, S.K. Das & M.Hidayatullah, JJ. [Decided on 19/04/1962]

Equivalent citations: 1963 AIR 390; 1963 SCR (2) 268; (1962) 32 Comp Cas 1046(SC).

Companies Act,1913 and 1956 – dividends- declared dividend credited to the accounts of shareholders-company later on reversed the declaration of dividend- whether dividend declared and credited to the accounts of the shareholders could be reversed – Held, No.

Brief facts:

Though this case relate to income tax on dividends at the hands of the shareholders, the crucial and interesting question which arose, to decide the correctness or otherwise of the taxation, was “Whether dividend declared and credited to the account of the shareholders could be reversed by the company by passing a resolution to that effect later on?” We are concerned with this aspect of law laid down by the Supreme Court of India.

The Appellants Kishinchand Chellaram, Shewakram Kishinchand, Lokumal Kishinchand and Murli Tabilram were the shareholders of the company Chellsons Pvt Ltd at the material time. The company declared dividend for the year 1941-42, 1942-43 and 1943-44 and also credited the dividend amount to the shareholders account. On December 4, 1947, at an Extraordinary General Meeting another resolution purporting to reverse the earlier resolutions that declared dividends was passed by the company.

The ITO considered the dividends as the income of the shareholders and assessed as such. However, the appellants contended that the dividends were not their income as it was reversed by the company. Being unsuccessful they carried their dispute through First appellate authority, Tribunal, High court and ultimately it came before the Supreme Court.

Decision: Appeals dismissed.

Reason:

The only question material to these appeals which was argued by the assessee before the Tribunal was whether it was competent to the company by a subsequent resolution to reverse an earlier resolution declaring the dividend. The Tribunal held that the earlier resolution could not be reversed by a subsequent resolution, and therefore what was paid and received as dividend could not by a subsequent resolution of the company be treated as paid otherwise than as dividend. The High Court held that the assessments were properly made by the Income Tax Officer. They observed that the assessment of an assessee for each year is self- contained and subsequent events cannot justify modification of the assessment.

It is common ground that on July 15, 1944 dividend was declared by a resolution of the company and the amounts payable to the assessees were, in fact, credited on September 29, 1944, in the accounts maintained by the company, to each of the shareholders as dividend. The amounts were therefore declared as dividend, treated as dividend and received by the assessees as dividend. The assessees included the dividends so credited to their accounts in the returns. It may be assumed that the company failed to provide for payment of tax before declaring dividend and that after providing for payment of tax the net profits of company may not have been sufficient to justify declaration of dividend at 60% of the value of the shares. On that assumption it may be inferred that the dividend or a part thereof was in truth paid out of the capital of the company. Payment of dividend otherwise than out of profits of the year, or other undistributed profits was at the material time prohibited by Art. 97 of Table A- of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 as amended by Act. XXXII of 1936 read with s. 17(2) of the Act; and therefore such payment may be regarded as unlawful. If the Directors of a company have deliberately paid or negligently been instrumental in paying dividend out of capital they may have, in an action by the company-or if the company is being wound up at the instance of the Liquidator- to compensate the company for loss occasioned by their wrongful or negligent conduct. (In the matter of The Union Bank Allahabad Ltd (1925) I.L.R. 47 All. 669.)

In this case we are not concerned with the validity of the distribution of dividend, or the liability of the directors arising out of improper distribution of dividend. We are concerned with the true character of the payment made on September 29, 1944, to the assessees. If dividend is declared and the amount is credited or paid to the share-holders as dividend can the character of the credit or payment be altered by a subsequent resolution so as to alter the incidence of tax which attaches to that amount?

It is not necessary to consider in this case whether the shareholders may be compelled by the company to refund the amount improperly paid as dividend out of capital. Even if the shareholders agree to refund the amounts received by them as dividend the original character of the receipt as dividend is not thereby altered. In ascertaining whether liability to pay Income-tax on dividend arose, a resolution of the company whereby payments made to the shareholders as dividend are to be
treated as loans cannot retrospectively alter the character of the payment and thereby exempt it from liability which has already attached thereto.

Before this Court two contentions were raised by counsel for the assesses: (1) that on the amount received by each of the asseeses tax was not eligible because it was not dividend at all, and (2) that what was declared and paid as dividend ceased to be such by virtue of the subsequent resolution.

The first plea was not raised before the Tribunal, and on the question as framed it did not arise for decision on a reference under s. 66 of the Indian Income Tax Act. The jurisdiction of the High Court under s. 66 being advisory, they were concerned to give their opinion on questions which fairly arose out of the order of the Tribunal, and were in fact raised and referred. The question whether the payment made by the Company was not in the nature of dividend not having fairly arisen out of the order of the Tribunal, it cannot be raised in this Court as it could not in the High Court.

In any event, we are of the opinion that payment made as dividend by a company to its shareholders does not lose that character merely because it is paid out of capital. Under the Income Tax Act, liability to pay tax attaches as soon as dividend is paid, credited or distributed or is so declared. The Act does not contemplate an enquiry whether the dividend is properly paid credited or distributed before liability to pay Tax attaches thereto. The answer to the second contention for reasons already set out by us must be in the negative.

**LW: 34:06:2016**

**JABAL C.LASHKARI & ORS v. OFFICIAL LIQUIDATOR & ORS [SC]**

Civil Appeal Nos. 3147-3149 of 2016 (Arising out of S.L.P. (C) Nos.29282-29284 of 2008) with batch of appeals

Ranjan Gogoi & Prafulla C. Pant, JJ. [Decided on 29/03/2016]

Companies Act,1956 read with Bombay Rent Control Act- company under liquidation- secured creditors willing to pay rent for the leased land to the landlords-landlords approached the court to evict the company from the land and return of the land – whether their claim tenable- Held, No.

**Brief facts:**

One Durgaprasad Lashkari (predecessor of the appellants) had leased out land admeasuring 35,772 sq. mtrs. in favour of one Bechardas Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd. (subsequently known as Prasad Mills Ltd.) for a period of 199 years by a lease deed dated 10.12.1916. A secured creditor of Prasad Mills Ltd. had in the year 1984 filed a company petition seeking the winding up of the aforesaid Prasad Mills Ltd. While the company petition was pending some of the legal heirs of Durgaprasad Lashkari had filed a suit in the Small Causes Court seeking permanent injunction against the sale of assets of company more particularly the sale of the leased property.

An order dated 5.5.1989 was passed by the learned Company Judge of the Gujarat High Court directing the winding up of Prasad Mills Ltd. and the appointment of an official liquidator. The official liquidator was directed to take charge and possession of all the assets of the company. An application was filed by another heir of Durgaprasad Lashkari in the winding up petition seeking direction to further prosecute the suit pending before the Small Causes Court. The learned Company Judge by order dated 24.2.1995 ordered that the suit may be withdrawn and instead directions may be sought from the Company Court for return of the leased property.

Pursuant thereto a Company Application (C.A. No.462 of 1999) was filed by some of the heirs of Durgaprasad Lashkari for return of the leased property and also for orders restraining the official liquidator from selling/transferring the leased property.

While the above Company Application was pending the building, superstructure, plant and machinery of the company was sold in a public auction. It appears that on 6.2.2004 an advertisement was issued by the official liquidator for the sale of the leased property. As against the aforesaid advertisement, the appellant had filed Company Application No.33 of 2004 for a declaration that the official liquidator had no right to sell the leased property. Another Company Application i.e. C.A. No.34 of 2004 was filed seeking permission from the Company Court to file a suit before the appropriate court for eviction of the official liquidator from the leased property.

The learned Company Judge by a very elaborate order dated 13.10.2004 rejected all the three company applications. Aggrieved, the appellant and other legal heirs of Durgaprasad Lashkari filed three separate appeals before the Division Bench of the High Court. The High Court by a common order dated 17.10.2008 dismissed all the appeals on grounds and reasons that will be noticed shortly. It is against the aforesaid common order dated 17.10.2008 that the present appeals have been filed.

**Decision:** Appeal dismissed.

**Reason:**

Before cataloguing the arguments advanced on behalf of the rival parties it will be apposite to take note of the reasoning of the High Court which had prompted it to arrive at the impugned conclusions recorded in the order under appeal.

Section 12 of the Rent Act confers protection on a tenant who is regularly paying or is ready and willing to pay the rent. In the present case while there is no doubt that rent has not been paid, equally, there is no doubt that the secured creditors including the State Bank of India had all along been ready and willing to pay the rent and the reasons for non-payment appears to be (para 43 of the impugned order of the High Court) lack of communication by the official liquidator to the SBI of the precise amount of rent due. While there can be no doubt that mere readiness and willingness to pay without actual payment cannot enure to the benefit of the tenant in perpetuity what is required under Sub-section
(2) of Section 12 is a notice in writing by the landlord raising a demand of rent and only on the failure of the tenant to comply with such notice within a period of one month that the filing of a suit for recovery of possession is contemplated. The service of notice giving an opportunity to the tenant to pay the unpaid rent is the first chance/opportunity that the Rent Act contemplates as a legal necessity incumbent on the landlord to afford to the tenant. Admittedly, in the present case, no such notice as contemplated by Section 12 (2) has been issued by the landlord; at least none has been brought to our notice. In such a situation, the readiness and willingness of the tenant to pay the rent, though may have continued for a fairly long time without actual payment, will not deprive the tenant of the protection under the Rent Act. Though the order of the High Court in para 43 of the impugned judgment has been placed before the Court as an order under Section 12(3)(b) of the Rent Act we do not find the said order to be of the kind contemplated under Section 12(3)(b) inasmuch as not only the order does not mention any specific rent which has to be tendered in Court but what is encompassed therein is a direction to the official liquidator to let the State Bank of India know the precise amount that is required to be paid on account of rent and, thereafter, to pay the same to the official liquidator where after it has been left open for the lessors to withdraw the said amount from the official liquidator. Such an order by no stretch of reasoning would be one contemplated under Section 12(3)(b). In the aforesaid situation, the finding of the High Court that the landlord is not entitled to seek eviction on the ground of non-payment of rent under Section 12 of the Bombay Rent Act cannot be said to be so inherently infirm as to require the interference of this Court.

This will bring the Court to a consideration of the liability of the official liquidator to a decree of eviction on the ground contemplated under Section 13(1) (e) of the Bombay Rent Act. As already discussed in a preceding paragraph of the present order, the non obstante clause of Section 13 (1) overrides only the other provisions of the Bombay Rent Act and is also subject to the provisions of Section 15, which deals with sub-letting and transfer, though overrides the provisions contained in any other law, is subject to any contract to the contrary. Though in the present case the lease deed (clause 7) is capable of being read as permitting sub-letting and not assignment what has been held in the present case by the High Court, by virtue of the decision of this Court in Laxmidas Bapudas Darbar v. Rudravva 2001 (7) SCC 409, is that in view of the limited operation of the non obstante clause in Section 15 of the Bombay Rent Act, unlike Section 21 of the Karnataka Act, the provisions of the Transfer of Property Act [Section 118 (o)] will not become irrelevant to the relationship between the parties in which event assignment may also be permissible notwithstanding the specific content of clause 7 of the lease deed in question. However, we need not dwell on this issue at any length or would also be required to consider the efficacy of the arguments of the learned Additional Solicitor General on the strength of the two Privy Council decisions mentioned above i.e. Hans Raj vs. Bejoy Lal Sel and Ram Kinkar Banerjee vs. Satya Charan Srimani, AIR 1939 PC 14 inasmuch as from Company Application No. 34 of 2004, which deals with the claim of the appellants for eviction of the official liquidator from the leased property, what is clear and evident is that the case of sub-letting of the leased premises on which basis eviction has been prayed for is not sub-letting/assignment by the official liquidator but assignment of the leased premises to Prasad Mills by the original managing agents in whose favour the initial lease was executed by the predecessors of the present owners. The ground of unauthorized and impermissible assignment by the official liquidator on the strength of the notice/advertisement for disposal of the leased land thereby making the said authority liable for eviction is an argument advanced only at the hearing of the appeals before us. That apart the said argument overlooks the fact that the assignment was only sought to be made by the advertisement/notice issued and did not amount to a completed action on the part of the official liquidator so as to attract the relevant provisions of the Bombay Rent Act dealing with the consequential liability for eviction. Such argument also belies the injunctive/prohibitory relief sought for in the Company Applications, as already noticed, insofar as the contemplated sale/transfer/assignment of the leased property by the official liquidator is concerned. The arguments advanced on the strength of the provisions of Section 19 of the Bombay Rent Act would also stand answered on the above basis.

Insofar as liability under Section 13(1) (k) of the Bombay Rent Act is concerned what is to be noticed is the requirement of unjustified non-user for a period exceeding 6 months which evidently is not be attracted to the present case in view of the pendency of the liquidation proceedings. That apart, Clause 5 of the lease deed which deals with non-user of the leased land does not contemplate eviction on account of such non-user but merely entitles the lessor to receive rent for the period of such non-user of the land.

The mere fact that the company has been ordered to be wound up cannot be a ground to direct the official liquidator to handover possession of the land to the owners inasmuch as the company in liquidation continues to maintain its corporate existence until it stands dissolved upon completion of the liquidation proceedings in the manner contemplated by the Companies Act. In the present case it has been repeatedly submitted before this Court by both sides that presently revival of Prasad Mills is a live issue pending before the Gujarat High Court, a fact which cannot be ignored by this Court in deciding the above issue against the appellants. For the aforesaid reasons we affirm the order of the High Court.

**LW: 35:06:2016**

**AXIS BANK v. SBS ORGANICS PVT. LTD & ANR [SC]**

Civil Appeal No. 4379 of 2016 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 13861/2015)

Kurian Joseph & Rohinton Fali Nariman, JJ. [Decided on 22/04/2016]

SARFASEI Act- appeal before DRAT- pre-deposit of 50% of contended sum- appeal withdrawn- borrower claimed the refund of the pre-deposit sum- bank contended it cannot be refunded – whether the claim of the borrower tenable- Held, Yes.

**Brief facts:**

An appeal under Section 18 of The Securitisation and
Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (hereinafter referred to as ‘SARFAESI Act’) before the Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunal (hereinafter referred to as ‘DRAT’) can be entertained only if the borrower deposits fifty per cent of the amount in terms of the order passed by the Debt Recovery Tribunal (hereinafter referred to as ‘DRT’) under Section 17 of the Act or fifty per cent of the amount due from the borrower as claimed by the secured creditor, whichever is less. The Appellate Tribunal may reduce the amount to twenty five per cent. What is the fate of such deposit on the disposal of the appeal is the question arising for consideration in this case.

Being a pure legal issue, it may not be necessary for us to refer to the factual position in detail. The first respondent, being a borrower and aggrieved by the steps taken by the secured creditor, filed Securitisation Application No. 152 of 2010 before the Debt Recovery Tribunal, Ahmedabad. Though, initially an interim relief was granted, the same was vacated by order dated 20.01.2011. Therefore, the first respondent moved the Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunal, Mumbai under Section 18 of the SARFAESI Act. In terms of the proviso under Section 18, the first respondent made a deposit of Rs.50 lakhs before the Appellate Tribunal. During the pendency of the appeal before the DRAT, Securitisation Application itself came to be finally disposed of before the Debt Recovery Tribunal at Ahmedabad, setting aside the sale. Realising that the appeal did not survive thereafter, the first respondent sought permission to withdraw the same and also for refund of the deposit of Rs. 50 lakhs. Permission was granted, however, making it subject to the disposal of the appeal. As the appeal itself was being withdrawn, the first respondent moved the High Court of Gujarat at Ahmedabad by way of Writ Petition (Special Civil Application), aggrieved by the observation that the withdrawal would be subject to the result of the appeal. The same was disposed of by order dated 05.03.2015 by the learned Single Judge, setting aside the said condition and permitting the first respondent herein to withdraw the amount unconditionally. Aggrieved, the appellant-Bank filed an intra-Court appeal. That appeal was dismissed by order dated 01.04.2015 by a Division Bench, and thus aggrieved, the Bank has come up in appeal before the Supreme Court.

**Decision:** Appeal dismissed.

**Reason:**

Any person aggrieved by the order of the DRT under Section 17 of the SARFAESI Act, is entitled to prefer an appeal along with the prescribed fee within the permitted period of 30 days. For ‘preferring’ an appeal, a fee is prescribed, whereas for the Tribunal to ‘entertain’ the appeal, the aggrieved person has to make a deposit of fifty per cent of the amount of debt due from him as claimed by the secured creditors or determined by the DRT, whichever is less. This amount can, at the discretion of the Tribunal, in appropriate cases, for recorded reasons, be reduced to twenty-five per cent of the debt.

In the case before us, the first respondent had in fact sought withdrawal of the appeal, since the appellant had already proceeded against the secured assets by the time the appeal came up for consideration on merits. There is neither any order of appropriation during the pendency of the appeal nor any attachment on the pre-deposit. Therefore, the deposit made by the first respondent is liable to be returned to the first respondent. Though for different reasons as well, we endorse the view taken by the High Court. Thus, there is no merit in the appeal. It is accordingly dismissed. We make it clear that the dismissal of the appeal is without prejudice to the liberty available to the appellant to take appropriate steps under Section 13(10) of the SARFAESI Act read with Rule 11 of the Security Interest (Enforcement) Rules, 2002.

**REGISTRARS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA v. NSDL & ORS [CCI]**

**Case No. 104 of 2015**

Devender Kumar Sikri, S. L. Bunker, Sudhir Mital, Augustine Peter, U. C. Nahta, M. S. Sahoo & Justice G. P. Mittal, [Decided on 29/03/2016]

Competition Act, 2002- sections 3 & 4 – NSDL proposed to enter the share transfer agency segment through its subsidiary- whether it constitutes abuse of dominance by NSDL being a depository to also become a share transfer agent- Held, No.

**Brief facts:**

Informant is an association representing Registrars to an Issue and Share Transfer Agents (‘RTI’ / ‘STA’). The members of Informant are acting as an intermediary between the issuer (the entity/company issuing securities) and the depository and, inter alia, providing services such as dematerialisation, initial public offers (IPO) and corporate actions in securities market in India. National Securities Depository Ltd (NSDL/’OP 1’) is the largest depository in India and is engaged in the business of providing depository services like dematerialisation and it handles all securities held and settled in dematerialised form in the National Stock Exchange. NSDL Database Management Limited (NDML/’OP 2’), a wholly owned subsidiary of OP 1, is providing integrated services including information technology, process design, operations and administrative infrastructure etc. relating to securities market. Securities and Exchange Board of India (‘SEBI’/’OP 3’) is a regulator formed to safeguard the interest of the investors and to promote/ develop the securities market in India.

The members of the Informant are operating in the participant...
market as RTI/ STA wherein OP 1 acts as a regulator. Informant has stated that OP 2 has filed an application before OP 3 for being registered as a RTI/ STA i.e., to enter into the participant market. It is the case of the Informant that OP 1, through its wholly owned subsidiary OP 2, is trying to enter into the participant market i.e. RTI/ STA market wherein it acts as a regulator. As per the Informant, OP 1, being a regulator of the participant market, has all the information/ details about the said market and no new entrant in the market could match the infrastructure and muscle power of OP 2. Being a regulator in the participant market, it is apprehended that OP 1 would favour OP 2 as the preferred service provider. Informant has alleged that the said conduct of OPs would create business uncertainties for other players in the participant market and would lead to consolidation in the securities market where the two markets such as depository services market and participant market (as envisaged in the Depositories Act, 1996) would merge, thereby creating a monopoly situation in the market.

Further, it is alleged that the said conduct of OPs is also likely to cause an anti-competitive effect in the market in contravention of the provisions of Sections 3 and 4 of the Act as the proposed entry of OP 2 into RTI/ STA market would discourage competition and hamper innovation in this segment. In view of the above, Informant has, inter alia, prayed before the Commission to institute an inquiry against OPs under Section 26(1) of the Act.

Decision: Case closed.

Reason:
The Commission observes that the Informant has filed this information based on the apprehension that OP 2’s efforts to enter into RTI/ STA market may cause injury to the interest of its members as the parent company of OP 2 i.e., OP 1 is the largest depository in India and is handling all the securities traded on National Stock Exchange. The Commission notes that the allegations made by the Informant are premature as the application of OP 2 is at the preliminary stage of processing before SEBI. It may be noted that an action for an alleged anti-competitive conduct can be initiated by the Commission in terms of the provisions of Sections 3 or 4 of the Act only if the alleged anti-competitive conduct has already taken place. In the instant matter, entry of OP 2 in the participant market is a mere proposal. Since OPs are not operating in the participant market as of now, the alleged anti-competitive conduct of OPs in that market cannot be examined in terms of the provisions of Sections 3 or 4 of the Act at this stage.

It may also be noted that there is nothing binding on OP 1 to not engage in any activities relating to the participant market through its subsidiary OP 2. SEBI in its comments/ views has categorically stated that there are no restrictions on the activities that can be carried out by a subsidiary of a depository. SEBI has stated that RTI/ STA functions are commonly performed by Central Securities Depository (CSD) in a number of countries around the world and there are several jurisdictions where there is a single registrar in the market which is often the CSD. SEBI has also forwarded Thomas Murray Report wherein it is stated that in a number of jurisdictions, the market for registrar services is a competitive market wherein CSDs also compete.

Based on the above analysis, the Commission is of the view that the allegations levelled against OPs do not raise any competition concern in the market at this stage. Thus, the Commission finds that no case of contravention of the provisions of the Act is made out against OPs in the instant matter and the matter is closed in terms of the provisions of Section 26(2) of the Act.

LW: 37:06:2016

CONFEDERATION OF REAL ESTATE BROKERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA v. MAGICBRICKS.COM & ORS [CCI]

Case No. 23 of 2016

Devender Kumar Sikri, S. L. Bunker, Sudhir Mital, Augustine Peter, Dr. M. S. Sahoo & Justice G. P. Mittal. [Decided on 03/05/2016]

Competition Act, 2002- section 4 – abuse of dominance- real estate broking through internet portals- offer of less brokerage commission- whether constitutes abuse of dominance- Held, No.

Brief facts:
The information in the present matter was filed by Confederation of Real Estate Brokers' Association of India ('Informant') under Section 19(1)(a) of the Competition Act, 2002 (the 'Act') against Magicbricks.com ('OP 1'), 99acres.com ('OP 2'), Housing.com ('OP 3'), Commonfloor.com ('OP 4') and Nobroker.in ('OP 5') [collectively, hereinafter 'OPs'] alleging, inter alia, contravention of the provisions of Section 4 of the Act.

The Informant is a confederation of thirty five real estate brokers' association, having combined membership of approximately 20,000 real estate brokers. OPs are various online portals engaged in the activities of real estate listing, property finder solution, etc. OPs run and manage their respective websites and property services division by acting as commission agents in real estate transactions.

The Informant primarily appears to be aggrieved by the conduct of OPs in indulging in BP or charging much less as brokerage fee compared to the traditional brokerage fee of 2% of the sale/ purchase value of a property while undertaking a real estate transaction or public auctioning of properties. It is averred that due to such practice of OPs traditional real estate brokers are getting eliminated from the market. The Informant has alleged that OPs are dominant and have contravened the provisions of Section 4 of the Act.

Decision: Complaint dismissed.

Reason:
The Commission observes that India is one of the fastest growing e-commerce markets. With the growth of e-commerce, the number of online portals engaged in the activities of real estate listing, property finder solution, etc. have been increasing. It
is observed that, besides OPs, there are also many other real estate listing sites which are offering similar services, providing various options to the consumers. Besides the online platforms, real estate brokerage business in India is also undertaken by the traditional brokers in a large scale. Both the online platforms and the off-line traditional brokers are offering similar services to the customers. Accordingly, the Commission is of the view that on-line and off-line services of brokers cannot be distinguished while defining the relevant product market in the instant case. Both are alternative channels of delivering the same service. So, the market for 'the services of real estate brokers/agents' is considered as the relevant product market in the present case.

With regard to the relevant geographic market the Commission observes that the traditional brokers/agents provide services within their respective localities whereas OPs offer their services anywhere in India. The services offered by OPs on the supply side enables real estate properties located anywhere in India to be listed for sale/ purchase/ renting whereas on the demand side OPs through their website enable consumers to purchase/ rent any property in their localities or anywhere in India. Further, OPs provide services regarding details of properties such as value, area, locality etc. to the real estate brokers as well as to the consumers throughout India. Therefore, the relevant geographic market in this case is considered as 'India'.

In view of the relevant product market and the relevant geographic market defined supra, the relevant market in the instant case is delineated as market for 'the services of real estate brokers/agents in India'.

On the issue of dominance it is observed that based on the claims of OPs on their respective websites and in their advertisements, ranking of websites by Alexa.com, and market capitalisation data of OP 2 and OP 3; the Informant has submitted that OPs are dominant real estate portals/websites. However, the Commission has considered the relevant market as 'the services of real estate brokers/agents in India', which is different and broader than the relevant market conceived by the Informant. The Commission observes that in the said market, there are large number of players operating, both through online and off-line channels. It is so because presently, in India, no licence or registration is required to undertake the brokerage business in real estate sector. Thus, the presence of a large number of listing sites and traditional brokers in the said relevant market pose competitive restraint on each other and hence no specific player can act independently of the market forces and affect the consumers or other players in its favour. The Commission has also perused the website ranking figures of Alexa.com as submitted by the Informant and is of the view that based on the said figures it is not possible to gauge the dominance of any of the OPs in the relevant market because the ranking is limited to only the websites/portals and does not include the off-line brokers. Further, the said rankings are based on traffic attracted by the websites which keep on changing regularly based on the page views. Furthermore, it is observed that based on the said ranks none of the websites (i.e., OP 1 to OP 4) has either been able to secure a rank within top 10 or even able to secure a rank within top 100. Also, there exist wide disparities in ranking amongst OP 1 to OP 4. Accordingly, the Commission is of the opinion that none of the OPs are dominant in the relevant market.

In the absence of dominance of any of the OPs in the relevant market, the Commission is of the view that, no case of contravention of the provisions of Section 4 of the Act is made out against any of the OPs in the present case and the information is ordered to be closed forthwith in terms of the provisions contained in Section 26(2) of the Act.

---

**LW: 38:06:2016**

EITZEN BULK A/S v. ASHAPURA MINECHEM LTD & ANR [SC]

Civil Appeal Nos. 5131-5133 of 2016 (Arising out of SLP (C) Nos. 2210-2212 of 2011) with two connected appeals

Fakkir Mohamed Kalifulla & S.A. Bobde, JJ. [Decided on 23/05/2016]

Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996- seat of arbitration was London and governing law of the contract was English law- foreign award- execution thereof in India- whether Indian courts have jurisdiction to entertain the challenge to the execution of foreign award-Held, No.

**Brief facts:**

The dispute in these appeals, arises out of the Contract of Affreightment dated 18.1.2008 (hereinafter referred as 'the Contract'). Eitzen Bulk A/S of Denmark (hereinafter referred to as 'Eitzen') entered into the contract with Ashapura Minechem Limited of Mumbai (hereinafter referred to as 'Ashapura') as charterers for shipment of bauxite from India to China. The Charter party contained an Arbitration Clause under which the seat of arbitration was London and the governing law was English law.

Disputes having arisen between the parties, the matter was referred to Arbitration by a sole Arbitrator. The Arbitration was held in London according to English Law. Ashapura Minechem was held liable and directed to pay a sum of 36,306,104 US$ together with compound interest at the rate of 3.75 % per annum. In addition they were directed to pay 74,135 US$ together with compound interest at the rate of 3.75% per annum and another sum of 90,233.66 Pounds together with compound interest at the rate of 2.5% per annum vide Award of the Sole Arbitrator.
dated 26.5.2009.

When Eitzen sought to enforce the award in India, Ashpura moved Gujarat High Court and Bombay High Court for the stay of the execution of award on the ground that Part I of Indian Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 is not excluded. Gujarat High Court stayed the execution while Bombay High court refused to stay the proceedings holding that Part I of Indian Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 excludes Indian courts to interfere in the execution.

We thus have, on the one hand, the decision of the Gujarat High Court holding that Part I is excluded from operation in respect of a Foreign Award and on the other, a decision of the Bombay High Court holding that Part I is excluded from operation in case of a Foreign Award and thereupon directing enforcement of the Award.

The decisions of the Gujarat High Court are questioned by Eitzen by way of SLP (C) Nos.2210-2212/2011. The decisions of the Bombay High Court are questioned by Ashpura by way of SLP (C) Nos.7562-7563/2016. Interim order dated 05.10.2011 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Notice of Motion No. 3975 of 2009 in Arbitration Petition No. 561 of 2009 is under challenge in appeal arising out of SLP (C) No. 3959 of 2012.

**Decision: Bombay High Court’s decision upheld.**

Reason: Thus, the main question on which contentions were advanced by the learned counsel for the parties is whether Part I of the Arbitration Act is excluded from operation in case of a Foreign Award where the Arbitration is not held in India and is governed by foreign law.

Clause 28, which is the Arbitration Clause in the Contract, clearly stipulates that any dispute under the Contract “is to be settled and referred to Arbitration in London”. It further stipulates that English Law to apply. The parties have thus clearly intended that the Arbitration will be conducted in accordance with English Law and the seat of the Arbitration will be at London.

The question is whether the above stipulations show the intention of the parties to expressly or impliedly exclude the provisions of Part I to the Arbitration, which was to be held outside India, i.e., in London. We think that the clause evinces such an intention by providing that the English Law will apply to the Arbitration. The clause expressly provides that Indian Law or any other law will not apply by positing that English Law will apply. The intention is that English Law will apply to the resolution of any dispute arising under the law. This means that English Law will apply to the conduct of the Arbitration. It must also follow that any objection to the conduct of the Arbitration or the Award will also be governed by English Law. Clearly, this implies that the challenge to the Award must be in accordance with English Law. There is thus an express exclusion of the applicability of Part I to the instant Arbitration by Clause 28. In fact, Clause 28 deals with not only the seat of Arbitration but also provides that there shall be two Arbitrators, one appointed by the charterers and one by the owners and they shall appoint an Umpire, in case there is no agreement. In this context, it may be noted that the Indian Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 makes no provision for Umpires and the intention is clearly to refer to an Umpire contemplated by Section 21 of the English Arbitration Act, 1996. It is thus clear that the intention is that the Arbitration should be conducted under the English law, i.e., the English Arbitration Act, 1996. It may also be noted that Sections 67, 68 and 69 of the English Arbitration Act provide for challenge to an Award on grounds stated therein. The intention is thus clearly to exclude the applicability of Part I to the instant Arbitration proceedings.

This is a case where two factors exclude the operation of Part I of the Arbitration Act. Firstly, the seat of Arbitration which is in London and secondly the clause that English Law will apply. In fact, such a situation has been held to exclude the applicability of Part I in a case where a similar clause governed the Arbitration.

In this clause 28 in the present case must be intended to have a similar effect that is to exclude the applicability of Part I of the Indian Arbitration and Conciliation Act since the parties have chosen London as the seat of Arbitration and further provided that the Arbitration shall be governed by English Law. In this case the losing side has relentlessly resorted to apparent remedies for stalling the execution of the Award and in fact even attempted to prevent Arbitration. This case has become typical of cases where even the fruits of Arbitration are interminably delayed. Even though it has been settled law for quite some time that Part I is excluded where parties choose that the seat of Arbitration is outside India and the Arbitration should be governed by the law of a foreign country.

We are thus of the view that by Clause 28, the parties chose to exclude the application of Part I to the Arbitration proceedings between them by choosing London as the venue for Arbitration and by making English law applicable to Arbitration, as observed earlier. It is too well settled by now that where the parties choose a juridical seat of Arbitration outside India and provide that the law which governs Arbitration will be a law other than Indian law, part I of the Act would not have any application and, therefore, the award debtor would not be entitled to challenge the award by raising objections under Section 34 before a Court in India. A Court in India could not have jurisdiction to entertain such objections under Section 34 in such a case.

**LW: 39:06:2016**

**CELLULAR OPERATORS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA & ORS v. TELECOM REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF INDIA & ORS [SC]**

Civil Appeal No. 5017 of 2016 (Arising out of SLP (C) No.6521 of 2016) with Civil Appeal No. 5018 of 2016 (Arising out of SLP(C) No.6522 of 2016)

Kurian Joseph & Rohinton Fali Nariman, JJ.

[Decided on 11/05/2016]

Brief facts:

This group of appeals were filed by various telecom operators who offer telecommunication services to the public generally. Various writ petitions were filed in the Delhi High Court challenging the validity of the Telecom Consumers Protection (Ninth Amendment) Regulations, 2015 (hereinafter referred to as the “Impugned Regulation”), notified on 16.10.2015, (to take effect from 1.1.2016), by the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India. The aforesaid amendment was made purportedly in the exercise of powers conferred by Section 36 read with Section 11 of the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India Act, 1997. By the aforesaid amendment, every originating service provider who provides cellular mobile telephone services is made liable to credit only the calling consumer (and not the receiving consumer) with one rupee for each call drop (as defined), which takes place within its network, up to a maximum of three call drops per day. Further, the service provider is also to provide details of the amount credited to the calling consumer within four hours of the occurrence of a call drop either through SMS/USSD message. In the case of a post-paid consumer, such details of amount credited in the account of the calling consumer were to be provided in the next bill.

Decision: Appeals allowed.

Reason:

The arguments that were made by the appellants can fall into four neat logical compartments. First and foremost, they argued that the Ninth Amendment to the Telecom Consumers Protection Regulations, 2015, is ultra vires Section 36 read with Section 11 of the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India Act, 1997. They argued that, in any event, these Regulations, being in the nature of subordinate legislation, were manifestly arbitrary and unreasonable, and therefore affected their fundamental rights under Article 14 and Article 19(1) (g) of the Constitution. They further went on to state that there was no power in the TRAI to interfere with their licence conditions which are contract conditions between the licensor and the licensee, and that the said Regulations in seeking to impose a penalty not provided for by the licence should be struck down as such. Fourthly, they argued that Section 11(4) of the said Act requires the Authority to be transparent in its dealings with the various stakeholders, and it has miserably failed in this also.

The learned Attorney General, appearing on behalf of the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India, has countered these submissions and sought to defend the High Court judgment. According to the learned Attorney General, it is first necessary to see the Statement of Objects and Reasons of the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India Act, 1997. Paragraph one of the said statement was referred to in order to emphasize that the National Telecom Policy of 1994 provided for the meeting of customer’s demands at a reasonable price, and the promotion of consumer interest by ensuring fair competition. When read in light of the Statement of Objects and Reasons, it is clear that the Impugned Regulation has been made bearing this object in mind.

No doubt in the facts of the present case, the Authority did hold due consultations with all stakeholders and did allow all stakeholders to make their submissions to the Authority. However, we find no discussion or reasoning dealing with the arguments put forward by the service providers, that call drops take place for a variety of reasons, some of which are beyond the control of the service provider and are because of the consumer himself. Consequently, we find that the conclusion that service providers are alone to blame and are consequently deficient in service when it comes to call drops is not a conclusion which a reasonable person can reasonably arrive at. We are cognizant of the fact that ordinarily legislative functions do not require that natural justice be followed. However, it has been recognised in some of the judgments dealing with this aspect that natural justice need not be followed except where the statute so provides.

In the present case, we find that the High Court judgment is flawed for several reasons. The judgment is not correct when it says that there can be no dispute that the Impugned Regulation has been made to ensure quality of service extended to consumers by service providers. As has been pointed out hereinabove, the Impugned Regulation does not lay down any quality of service – what it does is to penalise service providers even though they conform to the 2% standard laid down by the Quality of Service Regulations, 2009. In holding that the Impugned Regulation therefore conforms to Section 11(1) (b) (v), the judgment is plainly incorrect. Similarly, the finding that notional compensation is given, and that therefore no penalty is imposed, is also wrong and set aside for the reasons given by us hereinabove. The finding that a transparent process was followed by TRAI in making the Impugned Regulation is only partly correct. While it is true that all stakeholders were consulted, but unfortunately nothing is disclosed as to why service providers were incorrect when they said that call drops were due to various reasons, some of which cannot be said to be because of the fault of the service provider. Indeed, the Regulation, in assuming that every call drop is a deficiency of service on the part of the service provider, is plainly incorrect. Further, the High Court judgment, when it speaks of the technical paper of 13.11.2015, seems to have mixed it up with the consultation paper dated 4.9.2015 referred to in the Explanatory Memorandum to the Impugned Regulation. The judgment has entirely missed the fact that the technical paper of 13.11.2015 unequivocally states that the causes for call drops are many and are often beyond the control of service providers and attributable to the extent of 36.9% to the consumers themselves. The judgment is also incorrect when it says that 100% performance is not demanded from service providers when call drops are made. We have already pointed out that the 2% standard has admittedly been met by almost all the service providers, and this being so, even if the very first call drop and all other subsequent call drops are made within the network of a service provider and are within the parameters of 2%, yet the penal consequence of the amended regulation must follow. The judgment is also incorrect in stating that the Impugned Regulation has attempted to balance the interest of service providers by limiting call drops to be compensated to only three and by limiting compensation to only the calling and not the receiving consumer. We have already pointed out that a penalty that is imposed without any reason either as to the number of call drops made being three, and only to the calling consumer, far from balancing the interest of consumers and service providers, is manifestly arbitrary, not being based on any factual data or reason. We also find that when the service provider argued that it was being penalised despite being within the tolerance limit of 2%, the answer given by the High Court is disingenuous, to say the least, when the High Court says that 2% is a quality parameter for the entire
network as opposed to payment of compensation to an individual consumer. We are unable to appreciate the aforesaid reasoning. As has been held by us above, the two sets of Regulations have to be considered together when the Impugned Regulation is being tested on the ground of violation of fundamental rights. Also, the High Court did not advert to a large number of other submissions made by the appellants before them and/or answer them correctly in law. As a result, therefore, we set aside the judgment of the High Court and allow these appeals, declaring that the Impugned Regulation is ultra vires the TRAI Act and violative of the appellant’s fundamental rights under Articles 14 and 19(1)(g) of the Constitution.

LW: 40:06:2016

STATE OF M.P & ORS v. M/S RUCHI PRINTERS [SC]

Civil Appeal No. 4817 of 2016 [Arising out of SLP [C] No.32730 of 2013]

V. Gopala Gowda & Arun Mishra, JJ. [Decided on 05/05/2016]

Indian Contract Act- Non-supply of text books with in the time stipulated in contract- whether the supplier can claim the price of the books supplied beyond the stipulated time- Held, No.

Brief facts:
The State Printing & Writing Articles Department of Madhya Pradesh through its Controller, invited quotations vide letter dated 2.1.2008 for printing Bhu-Adhikar and Rin Pustikas. On 16.1.2008 printing order was placed with M/s. Ruchi Printers for supply of 37,07,726 copies of Bhu-Adhikar and Rin Pustika. At least half of the booklets were to be supplied in the first lot till 8.2.2008 and the rest were to be supplied before 25.2.2008. On 25.2.2008 the Deputy Controller wrote a letter on behalf of the Controller while approving the modified booklet. The printers were asked to ensure the supply after printing the allotted work. On 28.3.2008 another letter was written that the time limit fixed was already over so rest of the work may be completed till 31.3.2008. After 31.3.2008 no booklets shall be accepted. The decision dated 28.3.2008 was questioned by filing writ petitions. Said writ petition filed by M/s. Ruchi Printers had been allowed by Single Bench vide common judgment and order dated 6.11.2008. State was directed to accept the supply of 10.75 lakhs of Rin Pustikas from M/s. Ruchi Printers and to make payment in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract. In another W.P. No.10319/2008 decided by same order, the single Bench asked the petitioner to approach the State Government and the Government to consider the claim in respect of the materials already supplied and to settle the claim if not already settled. Aggrieved by the order passed in the case of Ruchi Printers, State preferred a writ appeal which was heard and decided with writ petitions by impugned common order.

Decision: Appeals allowed.

Reason:

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, we are of the opinion that the order for printing booklets was placed with printers on 16.1.2008. The booklets were to be supplied on time bound basis by 25.2.2008. The respondents were well aware that the time was the essence of the contract and there was requirement of these booklets on time bound basis. Though communication dated 25.2.2008 approving format was issued but the respondents very well knew that the time was the essence of contract and the printing of booklets was to be completed at the earliest. However as supplies were not made as stipulated, even within one month after 25.2.2008, another communication dated 28.3.2008 was issued by the Controller to supply Rin Pustikas before 31.3.2008. In case any work remains incomplete, the work order be treated as cancelled. Thus, in unequivocal terms, it was made clear that no booklets were to be received after 31.3.2008 and whatever booklets were ready they were to be supplied by 31.3.2008. Thus, in our opinion, there was no rhyme or reason for printers to print any booklets after cancellation of order w.e.f. 31.3.2008 till 22.5.2008. Printing of booklets after 31.3.2008 was wholly unauthorized. No doubt about it that on 22.5.2008 the Under Secretary had issued a communication that certain specified number of booklets may be accepted. However, the said communication had been recalled on 30.1.2009. The High Court, in our opinion, was not at all justified in enforcing the communication dated 22.5.2008 which was palpably illegal and there was reason for the printers to print the booklets after 31.3.2008. In view of aforesaid fact, the communication dated 22.5.2008 had been rightly cancelled on 30.1.2009 as these booklets were no more required by State Government due to further change of format of booklets. Even otherwise timely supply was necessary as per order dated 16.1.2008 though the communication dated 25.2.2008 was silent as to the time within which the supply was to be made. The printers were very well aware that booklets were required urgently and time was essence of the contract and time for supply could not have been more than what was originally stipulated. Sufficient time had been given to them to supply the booklets and the booklets supplied by them till 31.3.2008 had been accepted by the appellants and payment has also been made. Thus after the order for printing booklets stood cancelled on failure to supply within the stipulated period, the contract came to an end, there was no reason for the printers to print the booklets. No communication has been placed on record between 31.3.2008 and 22.5.2008 asking printers to print the booklets. No right could be said to have accrued on the basis of palpably illegal communication dated 22.5.2008. The Division Bench of the High Court in the circumstances of the case has erred in directing that the booklets printed till 22.5.2008 be accepted. Booklets printed after 31.3.2008 were without any work order in existence. The communication dated 25.2.2008 did not confer on them a right to print books after 31.3.2008. Whatever booklets they had supplied till 31.3.2008 were accepted. Thus, the High Court has erred in the facts of the case to interfere in contractual matter and by granting the relief. However, we observe that in case payment has not been made to the printers for booklets which were supplied till 31.3.2008, it shall be made forthwith..
C.C.E., RAIGAD v. ISPAT METALLICS INDUSTRIES LTD & ORS [SC]

Civil Appeal No. 2562 of 2008 with Civil Appeal No.8557 of 2015

A.K. Sikri & Rohinton Fali Nariman, JJ. [Decided on 06/05/2016]

Central excise Act- procurement of common raw material under a tripartite agreement- transfer of materials between sister concerns- whether the transaction is a sale liable to duty afresh- Held, No.

Brief facts:

Ispat Industries Limited (hereinafter referred to as the “IIL”) and Ispat Metallics Industries Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as the “IMIL”) are sister concerns having factories adjacent to each other. The principal raw material for manufacture for both these companies is iron ore pellets. The said pellets were purchased from Mandovi Pellets and Essar Steel Limited. These were carried to the factory of IIL. Credit was availed by IIL of the duty paid on the entire quantity so procured. As and when required by the sister company IMIL, pellets were transferred through a conveyor from IIL’s plant to IMIL’s premises under cover of an invoice and on reversing an amount equal to the Cenvat credit availed on inputs that were so transferred. In addition to such invoices, IIL also raised debit notes on IMIL for recovering actual expenditure incurred by it in relation to the procuring of such iron ore pellets, such as bank commission, interest, etc.

The commissioner considered the above transfer as sale and imposed duty while the Tribunal reversed the decision and held that the transaction was a transfer between sister concerns and not a sale.

Revenue appealed to the Supreme Court.

Decision: Appeal dismissed.

Reason:

The Tribunal being the last forum of appreciation of facts has held that transfer of iron ore pellets by IIL to IMIL was not a sale of goods but was only a transfer of raw materials procured under the Tripartite Agreement between the two of them and the supplier of the said pellets. This is a pure finding of fact and the appellant has not been able to dislodge this finding of fact. This being the case, the application of the circular of 1.7.2002 becomes important.

A reading of this circular makes it clear that a distinction is made between inputs on which credit has been taken which are removed on sale, and those which are removed on transfer. If removed on sale, “transaction value” on the application of Section 4(1) (a) of the valuation rules is to be looked at. However, where the goods are entirely transferred to a sister unit, it is reasonable to adopt the value shown in the invoice on the basis of which Cenvat Credit was taken by the assessee i.e. the invoice of the supplier of the pellets to the assessee.

As it is clear that the present is a case of transfer and not sale of pellets, no infirmity can be found with the Tribunal’s judgment, which only follows the circular dated 1.7.2001. In addition, the Tribunal was also correct in holding that post manufacturing expenses cannot be loaded on to the amount equal to the duty of excise leviable on such goods as this amount would, then, cease to be an amount equal to the duty of excise but would be something more. On both these counts therefore, we find that the Tribunal is justified in its finding on law, which is based on its finding of fact that the present is a case of transfer and not sale. This being the case, it is unnecessary to consider any of the other submissions made by the learned counsel including the point of limitation. The appeals are, accordingly, dismissed.

---
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01 Constitution of NCLT

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide F. No. A-45011/14/2016-Ad. IV, S.O. 1933(E)., dated 01.06.2016. Published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary Part-II, Section-3, Sub-section(ii), dated 01.06.2016]

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 410 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013), the Central Government hereby constitutes the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal for hearing appeals against the orders of the National Company Law Tribunal with effect from the 1st day of June, 2016.

Pritam Singh
Additional Secretary

02 Constitution of NCLAT

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide F. No. A-45011/14/2016-Ad. IV, S.O. 1932(E)., dated 01.06.2016. Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part-II, Section-3, Sub-section(ii), dated 01.06.2016]

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 408 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013), the Central Government hereby constitutes the National Company Law Tribunal to exercise and discharge the powers and functions as are, or may be, conferred on it by or under the said Act with effect from the 1st day of June, 2016.

Pritam Singh
Additional Secretary

03 Constitution of NCLT Benches

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide Notification S.O. 1935(E), dated 01.06.2016. Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part – II – Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated 01.06.2016]

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 419 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013), the Central Government hereby constitutes the following Benches of the National Company Law Tribunal mentioned in column (2) of the table below, located at the place mentioned in column (3) and to exercise the jurisdiction over the area mentioned in column (4), namely:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Title of the Bench</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Territorial Jurisdiction of the Bench</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi Bench.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pritam Singh
Additional Secretary
Date of transfer of cases pending before CLB to NCLT

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide F No. 1/30/CLB/2013/CL-V, dated 01.06.2016. To be published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (iii)]

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 434 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013), the Central Government hereby appoints the 01st day of June, 2016, on which all matters or proceedings or cases pending before the Board of Company Law Administration (Company Law Board) shall stand transferred to the National Company Law Tribunal on which all matters or proceedings or cases in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 or the Companies Act, 1956.

Pritam Singh
Additional Secretary

The Companies (Authorised to Register)Amendment Rules, 2016

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide F. No. 01/35/2013-CL-V, dated 31.05.2016. To be published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-Section (i)]

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (l) and (2) of section 469 read with section 366 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013), Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Companies (Authorised to Registered) Rules, 2014, namely:

1. (l) These rules may be called the Companies (Authorised to Register)Amendment Rules, 2016.

2. They shall come into force from the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Companies (Authorised to Registered) Rules, 2014(herein after referred to as the principal rules),

(a) in rule 1, for sub-rule (i), the following sub-rule shall be substituted, namely: -

"(l) Companies (Authorised to Register) Rules, 2014."

(b) in rule 2, in sub-rule (l), after clause (1), the following clause shall be inserted, namely :-

(g) "firm" means a firm as defined in section 4 of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (9 of 1932);

3. In rule 3 of the principal rules, in sub-rule(2),

(i) clause (a),-

(A) in sub-clause (i), for the words "were partners of the Limited Liability Partnership", the words "were partners of the Limited Liability Partnership or firm as the case may be" shall be substituted;

in sub-clause (iv), for the words "addresses of the partners of the Limited Liability Partnership", the words "addresses of the partners of the Limited Liability Partnership or firm as the case may be" shall be substituted;

for sub-clause (v) the following sub-clause shall be substituted namely:-

"(v) in case of a firm, deeds of partnership, bye laws or other instrument constituting or regulating the company and duly verified in the manner provided in sub-rule (4) and in case the deed of partnership was revised at any time in the past copies of the principal and all subsequent deeds including the latest deed, along with the certificate of the registration issued by Registrar of firms, in case the firm is registered".

(D) after sub-clause (viii), the following sub-clauses shall be inserted;

"(ix) an undertaking that the proposed directors shall comply with the requirements of Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899) as applicable;

(x) a statement of assets and liabilities of the Limited Liability Partnership or the firm, as the case may be, duly certified by a chartered accountant in practice made as on a date not earlier than thirty days of the filing of form no.URC-1;

(xi) a copy of latest income tax return of the Limited Liability Partnership or firm as the case may be."

(ii) in clause (b),-

(A) in sub-clause (iv), for the words "addresses of the partners of the Limited Liability Partnership", the words "addresses of the partners of the Limited Liability Partnership or firm as the case may be" shall be substituted;

(B) for sub-clause (v), the following sub-clause shall be substituted, namely:-

"(v) a copy of instrument constituting or regulating the company and duly verified in the manner provided in sub-rule (4) and in case the deed of partnership was revised at any time in the past, copies of principal and all the subsequent deeds including the latest deed, along with the certificate of the registration issued by Registrar of firms if any";

(C) after sub-clause (viii), the following sub-clauses shall be inserted;

"(ix) an undertaking that the proposed directors shall comply with the requirements of Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899);

(x) a statement of assets and liabilities of the Limited Liability Partnership or the firm, as the case may be, duly certified by a chartered accountant in practice which is made as on a date not earlier than thirty days of the filing of form no.URC-1;

(xi) a copy of latest income tax return of the Limited Liability Partnership or firm as the case may be."

4. (i). in rule 3 of the principal rules, for sub-rule (3), the following sub-rule shall be substituted, namely;

“(3) An undertaking, from all the members or partners providing that in the event of registration as a company under Part I of Chapter XXI of the Act, necessary documents or papers shall be submitted to the registering or other authority with which the company was earlier registered, for its dissolution as a firm"

(ii) in sub-rule (4) for the words "designated partners of the Limited Liability Partnership" the words "designated partners of the Limited Liability Partnership or authorised partners of the firm as the case may be" shall be substituted.

5. In rule 4 of the principal rules, in sub-rule (1), for the words "in a newspaper and in English and in the principal vernacular language of the district in which Limited Liability Partnership is in existence and circulated in that district" the words "in a newspaper in English and in any vernacular language, circulating in the district in which Limited Liability Partnership or the firm as the case may be is situate shall be substituted".

6. In rule 5 of the principal rules,-

(A) for clause (i) the following clause shall be substituted;

"(i) where a firm has obtained a certificate of registration under section 367, an intimation to this effect shall be given within fifteen days of such
Relaxation of additional fees and extension of time for filing of e-Forms by the Companies under Companies Act, 2013 and for filing of Annual Return (Form 11) by the LLPs under the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008.

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide General Circular No. 07/2016, dated 30.05.2016.]

In continuation of this Ministry's General Circular No. 03/2016 dated 12.04.2016 and General Circular No. 06/2016 dated 16.05.2016, keeping in view requests received from various stakeholders, it has been decided to extend the period for which the one-time waiver of additional fees is applicable to all e-forms which are due for filing by companies between 25.03.2016 to 30.06.2016 as well as extend the last date for filing such documents and availing the benefit of waiver to 10.07.2016.

2. Further, in view of the requests received from stakeholders, it has been decided to extend the time limit prescribed under the provisions of section 35 of LLP Act, for filing of Form 11 of LLP in respect of Financial Year ending on 31.3.2016 upto 30.06.2016, without additional fees.

3. This issues with the approval of competent authority.

Alok Samantarai
Director

The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016*

[Issued by the Ministry of Law and Justice (Legislative Department), dated 28.05.2016. Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part – II – Section 1 dated 28.05.2016.]

*No. 31 of 2016. Received the assent of the President on the 28th May, 2016. For entire Gazette Notification please log on to ICSI Website www.icsi.edu.
Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Amendment Rules, 2016

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 135 and sub-sections (1) and (2) of section 469 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Rules, 2014, namely:

1. Short title and commencement. -
   (1) These rules may be called the Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Amendment Rules, 2016.
   (2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Rules, 2014, in rule 4, for sub-rule (2), the following sub-rule shall be substituted, namely:—
   "(2) The Board of a company may decide to undertake its CSR activities approved by the CSR Committee, through
   (a) a company established under section 8 of the Act or a registered trust or a registered society, established by the company, either singly or alongwith any other company, or
   (b) a company established under section 8 of the Act or a registered trust or a registered society, established by the Central Government or State Government or any entity established under an Act of Parliament or a State legislature:
   Provided that- if, the Board of a company decides to undertake its CSR activities through a company established under section 8 of the Act or a registered trust or a registered society, other than those specified in this sub-rule, such company or trust or society shall have an established track record of three years in undertaking similar programs or projects; and the company has specified the projects or programs to be undertaken, the modalities of utilisation of funds of such projects and programs and the monitoring and reporting mechanism".

Amardeep Singh Bhatia
Joint Secretary

Date of coming into force of certain sections of the Companies Act, 2013

Designated Special Courts for the purposes of trial of offences punishable under the Companies Act, 2013
From the government

101

From the government

June 2016

12 Relaxation of additional fees and extension of last date of filing of various e-Forms under the Companies Act - reg-

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide General Circular No. 06/2016, dated 16.05.2016.]

In continuation of this Ministry’s General Circular No.03/2016 dated 12.04.2016, keeping in view of requests received from various stakeholders, it has been decided to extend the period for which the one time waiver of additional fees is applicable to all e-forms which are due for filing by companies between the 25th March 2016 upto 31st May 2016 as well as extend the last date for filing such documents and availing the benefit of waiver to 10.06.2016.

2. This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority.

KMS Narayanan
Assistant Director

13 Clarification with regard to provisions of Corporate Social Responsibility under section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide General Circular No.05/2016 No., dated 16.05.2016.]

In continuation to this Ministry’s General Circular 01 of 2016 dated 12.01.2016, it is clarified that companies, while undertaking Corporate Social Responsibility activities under provision of the Companies Act, 2013, shall not contravene any other prevailing laws of the land including Cigarettes and Other Tobacco Products Act (COTPA), 2003.

2. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Seema Rath
Deputy Director

14 Companies (Registration Offices and Fees) Amendment Rules, 2016

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide F.No. 01/16/2013-CL-V (Pt-I), dated 06.05.2016. To be published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-Section (i)]

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 399 read with sub-sections (1) and (2) of section 469 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Companies (Registration Offices and Fees) Rules, 2014, namely:

1. These rules may be called the Companies (Registration Offices and Fees) Amendment Rules, 2016.

2. They shall come into force from the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

3. In the Companies (Registration Offices and Fees) Rules, 2014, (i) For Form No. GNL-1 and Form No. GNL-4, following forms shall respectively be substituted, namely:-

Amardeep Singh Bhatia
Joint Secretary

15 Delegation of Powers to Regional Directors to appoint Inspectors

[Issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide F.No. 3/516/2015-CL-II, dated 29.04.2016. To be published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part – II – Section 3, Sub-Section (ii).]

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 458 of the Companies Act (18 of 2013), the Central Government being satisfied that circumstances warrant, hereby delegates the powers to appoint inspectors for inspection of books and papers of a company under sub-section (5) of section 206, as ordered by Central Government, to the Regional Directors.

Amardeep Singh Bhatia
Joint Secretary

16 Securities and Exchange Board of India (Depositories and Participants) (Third Amendment) Regulations, 2016

[Issued by the Securities and exchange Board of India vide No. SEBI/ LAD-NRO/GN/2016-17/007, dated 27.05.2016. Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part – III – Section 4]

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 (15 of 1992) read with Section 25 of the Depositories Act, 1996 (22 of 1996), the Board hereby makes the following Regulations to further amend the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Depositories and Participants) Regulations, 1996, namely:-

1. These Regulations may be called the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Depositories and Participants) (Third Amendment) Regulations, 2016.

2. They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

3. In the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Depositories and Participants) Regulations, 1996, after regulation 35A the following regulation shall be inserted, namely:-

Wind-down Plan.

35B. Every depository shall devise and maintain a wind-down plan in accordance with guidelines specified by the Board. Explanation.- For the purpose of this regulation, ‘wind-down plan’ means a process or plan of action employed, for transfer of the beneficial owner accounts and other operational powers of the depository to an alternative institution that would take over the operations of the depository in scenarios such as

Amardeep Singh Bhatia
Joint Secretary

* The Forms are not reproduced here for want of space. Readers may log on to mca.gov.in for text of the Notification.
These regulations may be called the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, namely:

1. In regulation 33,
   i. in sub regulation (3), in clause (d),
      a) after the words “listed entity shall submit” and before the words “audited standalone financial results”, the word “annual” shall be inserted;
      b) for the words and symbols “either Form A (for audit report with unmodified opinion) or Form B (“, the words and symbols “Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications (applicable only)” shall be substituted;
      c) in the proviso, for the words and symbols “either Form A (for audit report with unmodified opinion) or Form B (“, the words and symbols “Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications (applicable only)” shall be substituted;
      d) in the proviso, for the symbol “:”, the symbol “.” shall be substituted;
      e) after the proviso, the following new proviso shall be inserted, namely,
         “Provided further that, in case of audit reports with unmodified opinion(s), the listed entity shall furnish a declaration to that effect to the Stock Exchange(s) while publishing the annual audited financial results.”;
   ii. in sub regulation (4),
      a) for the words and symbols “Form A (for audit report with unmodified opinion) & Form B (for audit report with modified opinion)”, the words and symbols “Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications (for audit report with modified opinion)” shall be substituted;
      b) the words “from time to time” shall be deleted;
   iii. in sub regulation (6),
      a) for the words “Form B”, the words and symbols “Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications (for audit report with modified opinion)” shall be substituted;
      b) the words and symbols “and Qualified Audit Report Review Committee in manner as specified in Schedule VIII” shall be deleted;
   iv. sub regulation (7) shall be deleted;
   V. regulation 95 shall be substituted with the following:
95. The recognised stock exchange(s) shall review the Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications and the accompanying annual audit report submitted in terms of clause (d) of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 33 and clause (a) of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 52.”
VI. In Schedule IV, in Part A, in clause B,
   a) after the words and symbols “expressed any modified opinion(s)” and before the words “in respect of audited financial results”, the words and symbols “or other reservation(s)” shall be deleted;
   b) after the words and symbol “earning per share” and before
the words and symbols “or any other financial item(s)”, the words and symbols “total expenditure, total liabilities” shall be inserted;
c) after the words and symbols “such modified opinion(s)” and before the words “and cumulative impact”, the words and symbols “or other reservation(s)” shall be deleted;
d) after the words and symbols “due to modified opinion(s)” and before the words and symbol “while publishing or submitting such results”, the words and symbols “or other reservation(s)” shall be deleted;
e) after clause B, the following new provisions shall be inserted, namely-
“BA. If the auditor has expressed any modified opinion(s), the management of the listed entity has the option to explain its views on the audit qualifications and the same shall be included in the Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications (for audit report with modified opinion).
BB. With respect to audit qualifications where the impact of the qualification is not quantifiable:
i. The management shall make an estimate and the auditor shall review the same and report accordingly; or
ii. If the management is unable to make an estimate, it shall provide the reasons and the auditor shall review the same and report accordingly.
The above shall be included in the statement on impact of audit qualifications (for audit report with modified opinion).”;

VII. Schedule VIII shall be deleted.

U.K. Sinha
Chairman

18 Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue of Capital and Disclosure Requirements) (Third Amendment) Regulations, 2016

[Issued by the Securities and Exchange Board of India vide No. SEBI/ LAD-NRO/GN/2016-17/003, dated 25.05.2016. Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part – III – Section 4]

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 (15 of 1992), the Board hereby makes the following Regulations to further amend the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue of Capital and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2009, namely:-

1. These regulations may be called the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue of Capital and Disclosure Requirements) (Third Amendment) Regulations, 2016.
2. They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.
3. They shall be applicable to issuers filing offer documents with the Registrar of Companies on or after the date of commencement of these regulations.
4. In the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue of Capital and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2009:-
   (I) In regulation 2, in sub-regulation (1),-
(1) in clause (zm), for the symbol “,”, the symbol “;” shall be substituted;
(2) after clause (zm), the following shall be inserted namely, -
   “(zn) “wilful defaulter” means an issuer who is categorized as a wilful defaulter by any bank or financial institution or consortium thereof, in accordance with the guidelines on wilful defaulters issued by the Reserve Bank of India and includes an issuer whose director or promoter is categorized as such.”

(II) In regulation 4,-
   (1) in sub-regulation (2), clause (c) shall be omitted;
   (2) after sub-regulation (4), the following shall be inserted, namely, -
   “(5) No issuer shall make,
   (a) a public issue of equity securities, if the issuer or any of its promoters or directors is a wilful defaulter; or
   (b) a public issue of convertible debt instruments if,
      (i) the issuer or any of its promoters or directors is a wilful defaulter, or
      (ii) it is in default of payment of interest or repayment of principal amount in respect of debt instruments issued by it to the public, if any, for a period of more than six months.

(6) An issuer making a rights issue of specified securities shall make disclosures as specified in Part G of Schedule VIII, in the offer document and abridged letter of offer, if the issuer or any of its promoters or directors is a wilful defaulter.

(7) In case of a rights issue of specified securities referred to in sub-regulation (6) above, the promoters or promoter group of the issuer, shall not renounce their rights except to the extent of renunciation within the promoter group.”

(III) In regulation 73, in sub-regulation (1), after clause (g) the following shall be inserted, namely, -
   “(h) disclosures, similar to disclosures specified in Part G of Schedule VIII, if the issuer or any of its promoters or directors is a wilful defaulter.”

(IV) In regulation 84, in sub-regulation (1), after the words and numbers “Schedule XVIII”, the words, symbols and numbers “and disclosures similar to disclosures specified in Part G of Schedule VIII shall be made, if applicable” shall be inserted;

(V) In Schedule VIII,-
   (1) in Part A, in para (2), in item (XI), in sub-item (E) the words “by Reserve Bank of India or other authorities” shall be omitted;
   (2) in Part E, in para (5), in item (XV), in sub-item (D) the words “by Reserve Bank of India or such other authorities” shall be omitted;
   (3) after Part F, the following shall be inserted, namely, -
   “Part G
DISCLOSURES PERTAINING TO WILLFUL DEFAULTERS

(1) If the issuer or any of its promoters or directors is a wilful defaulter, it shall make the following disclosures:
   (a) Name of the bank declaring the entity as a wilful defaulter;
   (b) The year in which the entity is declared as a wilful defaulter;
   (c) Outstanding amount when the entity is declared as a wilful defaulter;
   (d) Name of the party declared as a wilful defaulter;
   (e) Steps taken, if any, for the removal from the list of wilful defaulters;
   (f) Other disclosures, as deemed fit by the issuer in order to enable investors to take informed decisions;
   (g) Any other disclosure as specified by the Board.

(2) The fact that the issuer or any of its promoters or directors is a wilful defaulter shall be disclosed prominently on the cover page with suitable cross-referencing to the pages.

(3) Disclosures specified herein shall be made in a separate chapter or section distinctly identifiable in the Index / Table of Contents.

(VI) In Schedule XXI, in Part A, in Para (XIV), in item (C), the words "by Reserve Bank of India or such other authorities" shall be substituted with the words "in India or".

U.K. Sinha
Chairman

Securities and Exchange Board
of India (Issue and Listing of
Debt Securities) (Amendment)
Regulations, 2016

[Issued by the Securities and Exchange Board of India vide No. SEBI/ LAD-NRO/GN/2016-17/004, dated 25.05.2016. Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part – III – Section 4]
In exercise of the powers conferred under section 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 (15 of 1992), the Board hereby makes the following Regulations to further amend the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue and Listing of Debt Securities) Regulations, 2008, namely:-

1. These regulations may be called the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue and Listing of Debt Securities) (Amendment) Regulations, 2016.
2. They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.
3. In the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue and Listing of Debt Securities) Regulations, 2008: -
   (I) In regulation 2, in sub-regulation (1),-
      (1) clause (ze) shall be re-numbered as clause (zf);
      (2) after clause (zd) and before clause (zf) the following shall be inserted, namely,-
         "(ze) "wilful defaulter" means any person who is categorized as a wilful defaulter by any bank or financial institution or consortium thereof, in accordance with the guidelines on wilful defaulters issued by the Reserve Bank of India and includes any person whose director, promoter or partner is categorized as such;"
   (II) After regulation 6 and before regulation 7, the following shall be inserted, namely,-
         "6A. Notwithstanding anything contained in these regulations, no person who is a wilful defaulter shall make a public announcement of an open offer for acquiring shares or enter into any transaction that would attract the obligation to make a public announcement of an open offer for acquiring shares under these regulations:
Provided that this regulation shall not prohibit the wilful defaulter from making a competing offer in accordance with regulation 20 of these regulations upon any other person making an open offer for acquiring shares of the target company."

U.K. Sinha
Chairman
In regulation 4, for sub-regulation (1), the following sub-regulation shall be substituted, namely,-
“(1) No issuer shall make any public issue of debt securities if as on the date of filing of draft offer document or final offer document as provided in these regulations:
(a) the issuer or the person in control of the issuer or its promoter or its director is restrained or prohibited or debarred by the Board from accessing the securities market or dealing in securities;
or
(b) the issuer or any of its promoters or directors is a wilful defaulter or it is in default of payment of interest or repayment of principal amount in respect of debt securities issued by it to the public, if any, for a period of more than six months.”

In Schedule I, in paragraph 3, after sub-paragraph B, the following sub-paragraph shall be inserted namely,-
“C. Disclosures pertaining to wilful default
(1) In case of listing of debt securities made on private placement, the following disclosures shall be made:
(a) Name of the bank declaring the entity as a wilful defaulter;
(b) The year in which the entity is declared as a wilful defaulter;
(c) Outstanding amount when the entity is declared as a wilful defaulter;
(d) Name of the entity declared as a wilful defaulter;
(e) Steps taken, if any, for the removal from the list of wilful defaulters;
(f) Other disclosures, as deemed fit by the issuer in order to enable investors to take informed decisions;
(g) Any other disclosure as specified by the Board.

(2) The fact that the issuer or any of its promoters or directors is a wilful defaulter or it is in default of payment of interest or repayment of principal amount in respect of debt securities issued by the Reserve Bank of India and includes an issuer whose director or promoter is categorized as such.”

In Schedule I, after paragraph III, the following paragraph shall be inserted namely,-
“IV. Disclosures pertaining to wilful default
(1) In case of listing of non-convertible redeemable preference shares made on private placement, the following disclosures shall be made:
(a) Name of the bank declaring the entity as a wilful defaulter;
(b) The year in which the entity is declared as a wilful defaulter;
(c) Outstanding amount when the entity is declared as a wilful defaulter;
(d) Name of the entity declared as a wilful defaulter;
(e) Steps taken, if any, for removal from the list of wilful defaulters;
(f) Other disclosures, as deemed fit by the issuer in order to enable investors to take informed decisions;
(g) Any other disclosure as specified by the Board.
The Securities and Exchange Board of India (Intermediaries) (Amendment) Regulations, 2016

[Issued by the Securities and Exchange Board of India vide No.SEBI/ LAD-NRO/GN/2016-17/006, dated 25.05.2016. Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part – III – Section 4]

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 (15 of 1992), the Board hereby makes the following Regulations to further amend the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Intermediaries) Regulations, 2008, namely:–

1. These regulations may be called the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Intermediaries) (Amendment) Regulations, 2016.
2. They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.
3. In the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Intermediaries) Regulations, 2008: –
   (I) In regulation 2, in sub-regulation (1),–
      (1) in clause (m), for the symbol “;”, the symbol “;” shall be substituted;
      (2) after clause (m), the following clause shall be inserted namely, -
         "(n) "wilful defaulter" means any person who is categorized as a wilful defaulter by any bank or financial institution or consortium thereof, in accordance with the guidelines on wilful defaulters issued by the Reserve Bank of India and includes any person whose director, promoter or principal officer is categorized as such."
   (II) In Schedule II,
      a) after the words “principal officer” and before the words “and the key management persons”, the words and symbol “the director, the promoter” shall be inserted;
      b) in clause (c), for the symbol “;”, the symbol “;” shall be substituted;
      c) after clause (c), the following clause shall be inserted namely, -
         "(d) absence of categorization as a wilful defaulter."

U.K. Sinha
Chairman

Clarifications issued on Patents (Amendment) Rules, 2016

[Issued by the Office of the Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks, Mumbai vide CGF/Public Notice/2016, dated 18.05.2016.]

PUBLIC NOTICE

In view of the notification regarding the Patents (Amendment) Rules, 2016 published on 16-05-2016 by the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion) dated 16/05/2016 in Part II, Section 3, Sub-Section (i) of the Gazette Of India, Extraordinary having been made effective from the date of publication, the following clarifications are issued for the General public and all the stakeholders:

1) The documents that are required to be submitted by the Patent Agent in original after filing them electronically as per the provisions of rule 6(1A) of the Patents(Amendments) Rules, 2016, are as under:
   (a) The Authorization of Patent Agent or Power of attorney (under rule 135)
   (b) Proof of the right to make an application (under rule 10)
   (c) Deed of assignment, certificate regarding change in name of the applicant, license agreement, etc. (under rule 91)
   (d) Declaration regarding inventorship (under Rule 13(6))
   Priority document (under section 138 or Rule 21)

2) Rule 24B(6) - Time to put the application in order for grant under section 21 of the Act
   a) The time for putting the applications in order for grant under section 21 of the Act in cases where the First statement of objections has been issued by the Office before 16-05-2016, shall remain 12 months from the date on which the said first statement of objections is issued to comply with all the requirements imposed under the Act and Rules made there under in accordance with the earlier provisions.
   b) The time for putting an application in order for grant in cases where the First statement of objections has been issued by the Office on or after 16-05-2016, shall be 6 months from the date on which the said first statement of objections is issued to applicant to comply with all the requirements imposed under the Act and Rules made there under in accordance with Rule 24B(5) of the Patents (Amendments) Rules, 2016.

Dr K. S. Kardam
Senior Joint Controller of Patents Designs & Trade Marks
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41st Regional Conference of Company Secretaries

September 2 - 3, 2016, (Friday and Saturday) under the auspices of Kochi Chapter

Members are requested to block their dates and make other arrangements.

Detailed brochure with the delegate form will be sent shortly.

11th INTERNATIONAL PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT FELLOWSHIP PROGRAMME - 2016

The Institute of Company Secretaries of India (ICSI) is organizing 11th International Professional Development Fellowship Programme - 2016 from Sunday, the 26th June, 2016 to Monday, the 04th July 2016 at Greece.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Membership Region No.</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FELLOWS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SH. VIKRAM BHARATKUMAR DHANANI</td>
<td>FCS - 8559</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MS. MUKTI AGARWAL</td>
<td>FCS - 8560</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SH. AMIT KUMAR GUPTA</td>
<td>FCS - 8561</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MS. ANCHAL TALWAR</td>
<td>FCS - 8562</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SH. TIRUVADIMARUTHUR VENKATRAMAN VENKATA SUBRAMANYAM</td>
<td>FCS - 8563</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SH. SAI VISWANATH BHATLAPENUMARTHI</td>
<td>FCS - 8564</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MS. PRITI AMOH KAJALE</td>
<td>FCS - 8565</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MR. ANKIT SHARMA</td>
<td>FCS - 8566</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SH. AMIT SHARMA</td>
<td>FCS - 8567</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>MRS. NEHA RAJAT LAHOTY</td>
<td>FCS - 8568</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SH. ANWAR ABDULLA LAMBAY</td>
<td>FCS - 8569</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>MS. RUCHI JOSHI</td>
<td>FCS - 8570</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>MS. KALPANA JAIN</td>
<td>FCS - 8571</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SH. MANOJ PARAKH</td>
<td>FCS - 8572</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>MS. SHREETA GUPTA</td>
<td>FCS - 8573</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>MR. ROHIT</td>
<td>FCS - 8574</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>SH. AMARENDRA KUMAR RAI</td>
<td>FCS - 8575</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>MS. KIRTI YESHUDAS</td>
<td>FCS - 8576</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>MS. SHILPA SOGANI</td>
<td>FCS - 8577</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>SH. ROHAN SUBHASH AHER</td>
<td>FCS - 8578</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>MR. SALIL VINAYAK KARMARKAR</td>
<td>FCS - 8579</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>SH. PRADEEP KUMAR</td>
<td>FCS - 8580</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>SH. JAGADEESWARA RAO MABAGAPU</td>
<td>FCS - 8581</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>SH. R MURALIMOHAN</td>
<td>FCS - 8582</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>MR. KIRAN T</td>
<td>FCS - 8583</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>MS. B YAZHINI</td>
<td>FCS - 8584</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>SH. GOVIND DEORA</td>
<td>FCS - 8585</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>MRS. MONIKA CHHABRA</td>
<td>FCS - 8586</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>SH. VIPIN MEHTA</td>
<td>FCS - 8587</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>SH. RAJKUMAR SINGH KASHYAP</td>
<td>FCS - 8588</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>MS. NIKETA SINHA</td>
<td>FCS - 8589</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>SH. N SRIDHAR</td>
<td>FCS - 8590</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>SH. UDHYASHANKAR RAJAMANICKAM</td>
<td>FCS - 8591</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>SH. AKHILESH GAUTAM</td>
<td>FCS - 8592</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>SH. AKSHAYA KUMAR PANDA</td>
<td>FCS - 8593</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>SH. RAJESH HEGDE</td>
<td>FCS - 8594</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>SH. SUNIL KUMAR SINGH</td>
<td>FCS - 8595</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>MR. DHARAMVEER SINGH</td>
<td>FCS - 8596</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>SH. MAYANK BATHWAL</td>
<td>FCS - 8597</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>SH. VINAY KUMAR PURWAR</td>
<td>FCS - 8598</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>MS. POONAM GUPTA</td>
<td>FCS - 8599</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>SH. NAVINDAS VALIYAPARAMBIL</td>
<td>FCS - 8600</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>MS. REEMA JAIN</td>
<td>FCS - 8601</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>SH. NIMESH PRAKASHKUMAR SHAH</td>
<td>FCS - 8602</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>MRS. DIPTI ARKIT NASGOTI</td>
<td>FCS - 8603</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>SH. RANJAN KUMAR SARANGI</td>
<td>FCS - 8604</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>MRS. SNEHA PASARI</td>
<td>FCS - 8605</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>MR. ARUN GUPTA</td>
<td>FCS - 8606</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>MS. SHRUTI KOCCHAR</td>
<td>FCS - 8607</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>MRS. SHILPA RAO</td>
<td>FCS - 8608</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>MR. ANKIT GUPTA</td>
<td>FCS - 8609</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>SH. K DHANDAPANI</td>
<td>FCS - 8610</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ASSOCIATES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Membership Region No.</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MR. RITESH OJHA</td>
<td>ACS - 44561</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Admitted during the period from 20.04.2016 to 19.05.2016.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institute</th>
<th>Roll No.</th>
<th>Contact Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Mr. Asif Khan</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44633</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Ms. Ayushi Gupta</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Ms. Ashneet Kaur Makkar</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44635</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Mr. Shashi Kant Ranjan</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Mr. Nimesh Kumar Saraswat</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44637</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Mr. Sagar Sarwat</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44638</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Mr. Deepesh Nigamhal</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44639</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Ms. Priyanka Sethi</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Ms. Ankita Kaushik</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44641</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Ms. Ruchiika Upadhay</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Mr. Vinay Verma</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Ms. Sarvagya Goel</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44644</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Mr. Mohit Maheshwari</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44645</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Mr. Harsh Sharma</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44646</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Ms. Kiran Preet Kaur Khushal</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44647</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Ms. Shreya Srivastava</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44648</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Ms. Ajay Sharma</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Ms. Reetu Aggarwal</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Ms. Priyanka Vyas</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44651</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Mr. Mohit Nagpal</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Ms. Harshimran Pahwa</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Mr. Himanshu Yadav</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44654</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Mr. Khushal Joshi</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Mr. Piyush Jain</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Mr. Akash Bansal</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44657</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Ms. Bandaru Geethika</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Ms. Deeksha Dahiya</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44659</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Ms. sneha Hegde</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Ms. Amrithamani K</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44661</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Mr. Krishna Masetti</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44662</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Ms. Asha V K</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Ms. Kanchan Sharma</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Mr. Vikram Raj G A</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44665</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Mr. Rahul Kumar Bangadiya</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44666</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Ms. Sumitra Pradhan</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Ms. Jyoti Chawla</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Ms. sweety Jain</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Ms. Vibha Dharshyra Hegde</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44670</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Mr. Vignesh Kumar S M</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44671</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Mr. Tapas Naresh Ruparelia</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44672</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Mr. Yash Rameshbhai Shah</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Ms. Sarika Ajay Gupta</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44674</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Ms. Gayatri Pramod Kulkarni</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Ms. Purva Harshall Bhekare</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Mr. Vishnu Janghela</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44677</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Ms. Mayura Milind Shinde</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44678</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Ms. Neelam Anandrao Taggaonkar</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44679</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Mr. Rameshwar Patil</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44680</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Mr. Akshay Pradeep Rane</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44681</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Mr. Rakesh Mohanlal Gangwani</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44682</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Mr. DHANANJAY ARVIND THIGALE</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44683</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Ms. Komal Rupchand Binwani</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44684</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Ms. Manali Bhavesh Parekh</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44685</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Ms. Arpita Shashikant Shah</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44686</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Ms. Komal Maheshbhai Shah</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44687</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Mr. Dheeraj Tyagi</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44688</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Mr. Akshay Goyal</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Ms. Prereti Anil Kumar Singh</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44690</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Mr. U Kaula Shashwat</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44691</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Mr. Rajnikant Vasant Sawant</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44692</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Ms. Tani Shrikirishna Naik</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44693</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Ms. Siva Sarayani Devi R</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44694</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Mr. Rahul Ananda Shinde</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44695</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Ms. Dimple Kumawat</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44696</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Ms. Harneet Saluja</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44697</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Mr. Anil Kumar C</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Mr. Akarshak Maheshwari</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44699</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Ms. Gargi Sarkar</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Mr. Mohit Saluja</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44701</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Mr. Rohit Shaw</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44702</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Ms. Susma Shaw</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>ACS - 44703</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chartered Secretary | June 2016**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>IRRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS. DEEPAHNI JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 44916</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. PRabhswirmat Kaur</td>
<td>ACS - 44917</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Rikesh AGARWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 44918</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Vishal SARAWAGI</td>
<td>ACS - 44919</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Meena MENGHANI</td>
<td>ACS - 44920</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Preena GuPTA</td>
<td>ACS - 44921</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Gayanajal MALHOTRA</td>
<td>ACS - 44922</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Archana ADHIKARI</td>
<td>ACS - 44923</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Karishma Dixit</td>
<td>ACS - 44924</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Sahiba ANAND</td>
<td>ACS - 44925</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Devendra SHARMA</td>
<td>ACS - 44926</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Pankaj MOOLRAJANJ</td>
<td>ACS - 44927</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Vinay SINGH</td>
<td>ACS - 44928</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Nea FATEHPURIA</td>
<td>ACS - 44929</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Jyotsna NAGAR</td>
<td>ACS - 44930</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Priyanka SHARMA</td>
<td>ACS - 44931</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Rohini LAXMI LAVANYA</td>
<td>ACS - 44932</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Sanjay PRAJAPAT</td>
<td>ACS - 44933</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Vineet JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 44934</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Ranjeet SINGH</td>
<td>ACS - 44935</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Mahima TULSIAN</td>
<td>ACS - 44936</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Dimple JASWANI</td>
<td>ACS - 44937</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Barkha AGARWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 44938</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Shrutika AGARWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 44939</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Kartik VIJAY</td>
<td>ACS - 44940</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Chandini</td>
<td>ACS - 44941</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Rishi JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 44942</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Soni SAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 44943</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Ritika SINGH</td>
<td>ACS - 44944</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Yogesh SAHU</td>
<td>ACS - 44945</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. R. Shantymugavel</td>
<td>ACS - 44946</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Deepa Joseph KOKKANDATHIL</td>
<td>ACS - 44947</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. R. Soundaria</td>
<td>ACS - 44948</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Rajesh VANKADARA</td>
<td>ACS - 44949</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Nithi BAI SARDHA</td>
<td>ACS - 44950</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. ViJAYA MAHESH RAO</td>
<td>ACS - 44951</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Madhuvbala DAVE</td>
<td>ACS - 44952</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Deyvari ARVINDRA LAVANYA</td>
<td>ACS - 44953</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Rohan Shankar HADWALE</td>
<td>ACS - 44954</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Shriram MADHUKAR JOSHI</td>
<td>ACS - 44955</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Shaulaj JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 44956</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Kusum GARG</td>
<td>ACS - 44957</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Neetu Dubey</td>
<td>ACS - 44958</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Khayti KISHOR PANCHAL</td>
<td>ACS - 44959</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Rahul Venkatesh Kashyap</td>
<td>ACS - 44960</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Raghav PANCHAL</td>
<td>ACS - 44961</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Bageshree Sanjiv Kelkar</td>
<td>ACS - 44962</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Sukhvir Kaur PABLA</td>
<td>ACS - 44963</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Vipul Vasant BHAY</td>
<td>ACS - 44964</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Bhayya Arvind SHAH</td>
<td>ACS - 44965</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Jay Ajay Surti</td>
<td>ACS - 44966</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Jayakumari KRISHNA KANHAIYA RANGA</td>
<td>ACS - 44967</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Milin Jagdikumar Ramani</td>
<td>ACS - 44968</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Priyanka Tekchandani</td>
<td>ACS - 44969</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Himanshu Keshubhai Togadiya</td>
<td>ACS - 44970</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Disa Narendrakumar Patel</td>
<td>ACS - 44971</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Rahul Patidar</td>
<td>ACS - 44972</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Shweta Shivdhar Singh</td>
<td>ACS - 44973</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Devi JAIN ARVINDRA LKHMBHATI</td>
<td>ACS - 44974</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Namrata NARESH KUMAR JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 44975</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Iris Gustuv</td>
<td>ACS - 44976</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Sharvil BHARAT KUMAR SUTHAR</td>
<td>ACS - 44977</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Dilip CHANDWANI</td>
<td>ACS - 44978</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Sakina BOHRA</td>
<td>ACS - 44979</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Simoni Sagar SHROFF</td>
<td>ACS - 44980</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS. Manisha GAURAV KUDTARKAR</td>
<td>ACS - 44981</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Sanket Shikwant SHARMA</td>
<td>ACS - 44982</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Trusha Piyush KUMAR THAKKAR</td>
<td>ACS - 44983</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. Ravi Prakalya SINGH</td>
<td>ACS - 44984</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Divya JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 44985</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Garima JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 44986</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. Divya JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 44987</td>
<td>IRRC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SLNo.** | **ACS/FCS No.** | **Name** | **Region**
--- | --- | --- | ---
1 | 35380 | Anu SHARMA | IRC
2 | 5806 | UPENDRA GOEL | NIRC
3 | 12473 | RAJENDRA A PAWAR | WIRC
4 | 13488 | SHARAD AGRAWAL | IRC
5 | 27255 | KIRTI TEJKARAN KOTHARI | WIRC
6 | 30928 | ARCHIT AGARWAL | IRC
7 | 23429 | AKSHAYA KUMAR PANDA | EIRC
8 | 15624 | NATARAJAN HARITEERTHAM | WIRC
9 | 26379 | B THAMIZH SELVAN | SIRC
10 | 6532 | M N PALEKAR | WIRC
11 | 17077 | KISHOR KUMAR KAPOOR | NIRC
12 | 16256 | AARTI ARORA | NIRC
13 | 6012 | MOHAN LAL NAGDA | NIRC
14 | 11857 | SANJAY KUMAR GUPTA | NIRC
15 | 22459 | MANISH KUMAR TIWARI | EIRC
16 | 9722 | K B V NARASIMHAM | SIRC
17 | 22725 | GURPREET SINGH | NIRC
18 | 11112 | INDRAJIYOTI BOSI | EIRC
19 | 24646 | SUMANT KHEDEKAR | WIRC
20 | 38327 | AYUSHI SHARMA | NIRC
21 | 25150 | SAURABH MISRA | NIRC
22 | 22818 | SWAPNA APURVA RANADE | WIRC
23 | 7214 | RAKESH KUMAR PRUSTI | NIRC
24 | 15760 | GARIMA GOEL | SIRC
25 | 10790 | KALYAN GHOSH | SIRC
26 | 33478 | NIDHI BANSAL | NIRC

*Restored from 01.04.2016 to 30.04.2016.*
## Certificate of Practice

**Issued during the month of April, 2016.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL. No.</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>MEMB NO</th>
<th>COP NO.</th>
<th>REGION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MS. RAMADEVI R IYER</td>
<td>FCS - 4592</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MR. MUFFADDAL ASGARALI</td>
<td>ACS - 30840</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MS. KIRAN KUMARI</td>
<td>ACS - 32855</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MS. PRACHI SANJAYBHAI SHAH</td>
<td>ACS - 39300</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MR. NAKKA VENKATESHWAR RAO</td>
<td>ACS - 40884</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MS. ABHILASHA MUKESH RAJANI</td>
<td>ACS - 41898</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MR. HASNAIN SAJJADHUSSAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 43446</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MS. SHIKHA AGGARWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 43574</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>MS. KHUSHI BOO BANSAL</td>
<td>ACS - 43610</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>MS. ATIKA AGARWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 43670</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>MS. MANISHA JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 43864</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>MS. SHIKHA HITENDRA PATEL</td>
<td>ACS - 43955</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>MR. NITESH GOEL</td>
<td>ACS - 43971</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>MR. RAJAT KASLIWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 44052</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>MS. MONIKA BHANDARI</td>
<td>ACS - 44166</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>MR. SATISH UPPALAPATI</td>
<td>ACS - 44306</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>16205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>MR. ROHIT RAVIKIRAN KULKARNI</td>
<td>ACS - 33568</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>MS. RACHANA JAYANTILAL MARU</td>
<td>ACS - 41825</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>SH. RAMESH YEDLURI</td>
<td>ACS - 12464</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>16208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>MR. RAKESH KHATRI</td>
<td>ACS - 31439</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>MR. ROHIT PRAKASH PRIT</td>
<td>ACS - 33602</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>16210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>MS. DEEPA MALIK</td>
<td>ACS - 35664</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>MS. NEHA OJHA</td>
<td>ACS - 36822</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>MS. POOJA JAIN</td>
<td>ACS - 38495</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>16216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>MR. HARPREET SINGH MUCHAL</td>
<td>ACS - 41052</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>MS. CHANDNI AGARWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 42292</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>16218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>MR. ASHISH MAHAWAR</td>
<td>ACS - 44147</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>16219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>MR. RAJEEV RANJAN</td>
<td>ACS - 42840</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>16220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>MS. ANU MALHOTRA</td>
<td>ACS - 39971</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>SH. PAWAN KUMAR MISHRA</td>
<td>FCS - 4305</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>MS. DEEPIKA AGGARWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 19588</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>MS. SWATI KHATTER</td>
<td>ACS - 40594</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>MS. IYAPPAN KANNAN</td>
<td>ACS - 40603</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>16226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>MR. HARIKRISHNAN MUNDANCHERY</td>
<td>ACS - 42281</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>16227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>MS. DIVIYA JAYA</td>
<td>ACS - 42795</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>MR. ANKIT RANA</td>
<td>ACS - 43144</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>MS. PRIYANKA HARSHADBHAI VALAND</td>
<td>ACS - 43191</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>MS. AFTAB FATEMA</td>
<td>ACS - 43815</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>MR. SIDHARTHY YADAV</td>
<td>ACS - 35095</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>SH. E S SRESHTA</td>
<td>ACS - 4517</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>16233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>SH. NAUBAHAAR SINGH</td>
<td>ACS - 18202</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>SH. SHAILESH KUMAR SINGH</td>
<td>ACS - 21211</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>MRS. DIVYA JALLA</td>
<td>ACS - 29631</td>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>16236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>MR. PARUL GUPTA</td>
<td>ACS - 29939</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>MS. ADITI PRATIK SHAH</td>
<td>ACS - 32209</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>16238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>MR. PRAKASH KUMAR SHAW</td>
<td>ACS - 32895</td>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>16239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>MS. SAILENDRA KUMAR JHA</td>
<td>ACS - 35394</td>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>16240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>MS. HEENABEN DEVENDRABHAI PATELACS</td>
<td>ACS - 40323</td>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>16241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**news from the institute**
News From the Institute

June 2016

110 MR. AKSHIT KUMAR JANGID ACS - 44537 NIRC 16300
111 MS. ARPITA DHAR ACS - 38304 EIRC 16301
112 MS. RANU MAHESHWRI ACS - 22740 SIRC 16302
113 MS. SHRUTHI NANDAKUMAR ACS - 34721 SIRC 16303
114 MS. APPURVA AGRAWAL ACS - 40352 NIRC 16304
115 MS. JYOTI LEKHWANI ACS - 43571 NIRC 16305
116 MR. ABHIJIT GANGALI ROKADE ACS - 44239 WIRC 16306
117 MS. POOJA SHARMA ACS - 44233 NIRC 16307
118 MS. NISHITHA GUPTA ACS - 40058 NIRC 16308
119 SH. BABU RAM DHIMAN FCS - 437 NIRC 16309
120 SH. RAMAKRISHNAN RAMACHANDRAN FCS - 1381 SIRC 16310
121 SH. ANUJ SRIVASTAVA ACS - 7760 NIRC 16311
122 MS. VIDHI DINESH SHAMBWANI ACS - 27315 WIRC 16312
123 MR. AKHIL AGARWAL ACS - 35073 EIRC 16313
124 MS. NANDHINI B ACS - 38777 SIRC 16314
125 MS. HARSHA VIJAY SHARMA ACS - 41016 WIRC 16315
126 MS. AMUDHA S. ACS - 41075 SIRC 16316
127 MS. PRACHI PRAKASH SAWANT ACS - 41210 WIRC 16317
128 MS. SHRUTI NITIN BHANUSHALI ACS - 43684 WIRC 16318
129 MS. SRISHTHI MATHUR ACS - 44220 NIRC 16319
130 MR. ABHISHEK DEEPAK SUPEKAR ACS - 43798 WIRC 16320
131 MR. SANTOSH HARISHCHANDRA ACS - 43840 WIRC 16321
132 MS. ANKSHA GUPTA ACS - 43943 NIRC 16322
133 MR. VIVEK SINGH ARORA ACS - 44047 WIRC 16323
134 MS. TEJASRI KORLEPARA ACS - 44175 SIRC 16324
135 MS. GURUPIYA SABHARWAL ACS - 39700 NIRC 16325
136 MR. HITESH BHANSLALI ACS - 38561 EIRC 16326
137 MR. SACHIN VIJAY TORASKAR ACS - 39994 WIRC 16327
138 MS. MRS. PARUL GUPTA ACS - 33042 NIRC 16328
139 MR. ANKUR GUPTA ACS - 37030 NIRC 16329
140 MR. NEERAV NAVINDAS NAGAR ACS - 34133 WIRC 16330
141 MR. ROHIT JAIN ACS - 44371 SIRC 16331
142 MS. ANKITA JAIN ACS - 44411 NIRC 16332
143 MS. MONIKA BHATNAGAR ACS - 44116 NIRC 16333
144 MR. RAVIYAN SRIAVARACHACS - 38621 NIRC 16334
145 MS. GITIKA KOHLI ACS - 41286 NIRC 16335
146 MS. AAYUSH MITTAL ACS - 42295 EIRC 16336
147 MR. YOG VIVEK PATHAK ACS - 42658 WIRC 16337
148 MS. ANKSHA SOHANRAJ JAIN ACS - 42891 WIRC 16338
149 MS. AASTHA RAWAL ACS - 43712 NIRC 16339
150 MS. KUMUD PRASAD KHONDPAI ACS - 44148 NIRC 16340
151 MS. MANISHA H THAKUR ACS - 10855 WIRC 16341
152 SH. KALYANASAUBRAMANIACS - 11400 SIRC 16342
153 MRS. VINEETA GOLCHHA ACS - 22084 WIRC 16343
154 MS. SHWETA AGRAWAL ACS - 23368 WIRC 16344
155 MS. SUNITA GAUR ACS - 42376 WIRC 16345
156 MS. SOHAN SHIVAJI GAONKAR ACS - 35854 WIRC 16346
157 MS. GUNJAN GOEL ACS - 38137 NIRC 16347
158 MS. RADIKA RAJAS BORWANKAR ACS - 39274 WIRC 16348
159 MR. HIMESH PANDYA ACS - 40991 WIRC 16349
160 MS. MOHINI SHARMA ACS - 41386 NIRC 16350
161 MS. DEEPAKSHI SINDHWANI ACS - 41773 NIRC 16351
162 MR. PARITOSH CHAUHAN ACS - 42603 NIRC 16352

CANCLED**

SL. No. NAME MEMB NO COP NO REGION
1 MR. HEMENDRA NATH BARNE JEE FCS 508 12941 EIRC
2 MR. V S SRINIVASAN ACS 3252 15114 SIRC
3 MS. PRIYANKA GORI ACS 8346 7519 SIRC
4 MS. NEETU SHARMA ACS 23794 13479 NIRC
5 MS. GARIMA GULATI ACS 39008 13529 NIRC
6 MR. PUNEET MAHESHWARI ACS 35920 14364 NIRC
7 MR. B L SACHDEVA FCS 2773 14553 NIRC
8 MS. R L SHENOY ACS 1688 13721 WIRC
9 MS. ANSHU SINGH ACS 37015 13857 NIRC
10 MS. MEENAKSHI SHARMA ACS 39268 14765 WIRC
11 MR. NANDANIDKAR SHANBHAG ACS 37360 14325 SIRC
12 MR. ANOOP KUMAR ACS 41380 15465 NIRC
13 MS. VAISHALI RAMDAS NAIR ACS 36363 13595 WIRC
14 MRS. KIRTI PACHISIA ACS 2758 13563 EIRC
15 MR. R S KHOLKAR ACS 6679 14248 WIRC
16 MS. RITIKA KHATTAN ACS 31515 13821 NIRC
17 MS. MEGHA SHARMA ACS 41529 15559 NIRC
18 MS. ANITA ANILKUMAR JOSHI FCS 6142 8723 WIRC
19 AARTI MAHAJAN ACS 38396 15160 NIRC
20 MS. MADHURI UNDRAJAVARAPU ACS 41327 15714 SIRC
21 MS. ANKITA AGARWAL ACS 35732 13114 EIRC
22 MS. JENY VINOD KUMAR GOWADIA ACS 41542 15573 WIRC
23 MR. ANAND MISHRA ACS 37922 15255 EIRC
24 MR. KAPIL SINGH DANGI ACS 37835 14111 NIRC
25 MS. SHWETA EKNATH CHAVAN ACS 3312 12296 WIRC
26 MS. BHAVYA TANEJA ACS 41567 15688 NIRC
27 MS. SRUTHI SURESH ACS 33264 13872 SIRC
28 MS. PRATHAP KUMAR S ACS 22453 12379 SIRC
29 MS. ANIKT KHATTAR ACS 28455 10264 NIRC
30 MS. PREETI SHARMA ACS 32847 12135 NIRC
31 MS. BINDHU KILARI ACS 29174 10643 SIRC
32 MS. MANISH DHINGRA ACS 6892 6198 NIRC
33 MS. GEETIKA JAIN ACS 40265 15378 NIRC
34 MR. RAJU PATRO ACS 37271 14999 EIRC
35 MR. M RAMAMOORTHY ACS 4814 16186 SIRC
36 MR. KELAM SUBRAHMANYAM ACS 32524 11921 SIRC
37 MR. ANAND CHOEBY ACS 36378 14575 NIRC
38 MR. BINAL GIRISH KUMAR SHAH ACS 22655 14030 WIRC
39 MS. SAI VISWANATH BHATLAPENI-MARTHI ACS 8564 16140 SIRC
40 MR. LALBHADUR SINGH ACS 6742 9153 NIRC
41 MRS. SUJANA NANDULA ACS 31300 11497 SIRC
42 MR. PANKAJ KUMAR AGARWAL ACS 35173 13035 EIRC
43 MR. SUBRATA NITYOGI ACS 9752 5292 EIRC

LICENTIATE ICSI**

SL. No. L. NAME Region
1 6842 MS. JIJILEE JAYANT GHADGE WIRC
2 6843 MR. SATYENDRA SINGH EIRC
3 6844 MR. GAURAV MATHUR NIRC
4 6845 MR. TOM P THOMAS SIRC

*Cancelled during the month of April, 2016.
**Admitted during the month of April, 2016.
### Members Enrolled Regionwise as Life Members of the Company Secretaries Benevolent Fund*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>LM No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Membership No.</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EIRC</td>
<td>11284</td>
<td>MR. RAJU PATRO</td>
<td>ACS - 37271</td>
<td>JAMSHEDPUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11308</td>
<td>SH. NEERAJ KUMAR PANDEY</td>
<td>ACS - 18031</td>
<td>KOLKATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11320</td>
<td>SH. SAMARENDRA MAHAPATRA</td>
<td>ACS - 14354</td>
<td>KOLKATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIRC</td>
<td>11270</td>
<td>MR. SANJEEV SHARMA</td>
<td>ACS - 38027</td>
<td>MOHALI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11271</td>
<td>SH. SUMIT SINGH</td>
<td>ACS - 18004</td>
<td>LUCKNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11277</td>
<td>MR. NAYAN GUPTA</td>
<td>ACS - 38481</td>
<td>ALIGARH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11285</td>
<td>MS. PRERNI GUPTA</td>
<td>ACS - 44621</td>
<td>NEW DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11293</td>
<td>SH. VIVEK KUMAR</td>
<td>ACS - 21265</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11295</td>
<td>MS. MANISHA DHANIWALA</td>
<td>ACS - 41533</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11296</td>
<td>MS. MANISHA SURANA</td>
<td>ACS - 41158</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11297</td>
<td>MR. SHRISTI GUPTA</td>
<td>ACS - 37728</td>
<td>GHAZIABAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11298</td>
<td>MS. AKANSHA GOEL</td>
<td>ACS - 38343</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11299</td>
<td>MR. ASHISHEK</td>
<td>ACS - 36677</td>
<td>GHAZIABAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11300</td>
<td>MR. LAXAH GUPTA</td>
<td>ACS - 36583</td>
<td>NEW DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11301</td>
<td>MR. SHASHIKANT TIWARI</td>
<td>ACS - 28994</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11302</td>
<td>MR. RAVI KUMAR MISHRA</td>
<td>ACS - 43076</td>
<td>FARIDABAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11304</td>
<td>MR. PAVAN KUMAR MISHRA</td>
<td>ACS - 40301</td>
<td>KASGANJ DISTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11305</td>
<td>MR. HITESH KUMAR DROLIA</td>
<td>ACS - 33286</td>
<td>JHJAJAR DISTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11310</td>
<td>MR. SAJU PHILIP MANALEL</td>
<td>FCS - 7712</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11312</td>
<td>MR. VAIBHAV GUPTA</td>
<td>ACS - 30490</td>
<td>KANPUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11314</td>
<td>MR. DINESH AGGARWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 28513</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11315</td>
<td>MR. RINKI AGARWAL</td>
<td>ACS - 26423</td>
<td>NEW DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11316</td>
<td>MS. RUPALI KURJEKA</td>
<td>ACS - 44154</td>
<td>SONEPAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11318</td>
<td>MR. AKSHAT GARG</td>
<td>ACS - 22637</td>
<td>GHAZIABAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11319</td>
<td>MR. VIKAS GANDHI</td>
<td>ACS - 35924</td>
<td>SONEPAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11321</td>
<td>MS. TINA SAMUEL</td>
<td>ACS - 36415</td>
<td>NEW DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11325</td>
<td>SH. MANISH TULLY</td>
<td>FCS - 8117</td>
<td>NEW DELHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIRC</td>
<td>11272</td>
<td>MR. GOPAL RUKIYA KADAWAT</td>
<td>ACS - 32687</td>
<td>PUNE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11275</td>
<td>MS. MEENAKSHI SHARMA</td>
<td>ACS - 33826</td>
<td>BHOPAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11279</td>
<td>MR. HIMANSHI NAVINCHANDRA</td>
<td>ACS - 32574</td>
<td>VADODARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIRC</td>
<td>11268</td>
<td>MR. V RAMRAJ VITTAL</td>
<td>ACS - 28300</td>
<td>COMBATORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11269</td>
<td>MS. SHILPA VEERESH H M</td>
<td>ACS - 30611</td>
<td>BANGALORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11273</td>
<td>SH. JASMINDER SINGH SARDAR</td>
<td>ACS - 20640</td>
<td>HYDERABAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11274</td>
<td>MR. RAGHAVENDRA RAO DOGOPARTHI</td>
<td>ACS - 31363</td>
<td>HYDERABAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11276</td>
<td>MR. K CHAKRAVARTHI CHALLAGALI</td>
<td>ACS - 38026</td>
<td>HYDERABAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11278</td>
<td>MR. DINIL T B</td>
<td>ACS - 27674</td>
<td>ERNAKULAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11280</td>
<td>MR. RAJU NETHAVATH</td>
<td>ACS - 37315</td>
<td>WARANGAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11281</td>
<td>DR. M CHANDRASEKARAN</td>
<td>ACS - 44832</td>
<td>CHENNAI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11283</td>
<td>MR. RAJAPANDIAN S</td>
<td>ACS - 44502</td>
<td>DINDUGAL DISTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11286</td>
<td>MS. KORINI LAXMI LAVANYA</td>
<td>ACS - 44953</td>
<td>HYDERABAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11287</td>
<td>MR. S SHANMUGAVEL</td>
<td>ACS - 44946</td>
<td>CHENNAI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11288</td>
<td>MS. D ANISHA</td>
<td>ACS - 44882</td>
<td>HYDERABAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11290</td>
<td>MR. MANU POTHAN</td>
<td>ACS - 34611</td>
<td>PALAKKAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11291</td>
<td>MR. AMIT AJIT CHOGULE</td>
<td>ACS - 38165</td>
<td>BELGAUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11306</td>
<td>MR. SANTHOSHKUMAR REDDY MEDA</td>
<td>ACS - 45045</td>
<td>MANCHERIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11307</td>
<td>MR. PHANI Datta D N</td>
<td>ACS - 37212</td>
<td>MYSORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11311</td>
<td>MS. ANTHA CHINNATI</td>
<td>ACS - 32953</td>
<td>CHENNAI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11313</td>
<td>MR. SANTOSH DATTATRAYA Hegde</td>
<td>ACS - 38251</td>
<td>UTTARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11317</td>
<td>MR. PRASANNAKUMAR BHAGAWANT</td>
<td>ACS - 45210</td>
<td>BANGALORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11326</td>
<td>MR. RAJESH N</td>
<td>ACS - 26442</td>
<td>BEDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11327</td>
<td>MR. BIBIN M CHERIAN</td>
<td>ACS - 32866</td>
<td>KOTTAYAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11328</td>
<td>MR. GOPAL RUKIYA KADAWAT</td>
<td>ACS - 32687</td>
<td>PUNE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11329</td>
<td>MS. MEENAKSHI SHARMA</td>
<td>ACS - 33826</td>
<td>BHOPAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11330</td>
<td>MR. HIMANSHI NAVINCHANDRA</td>
<td>ACS - 32574</td>
<td>VADODARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11322</td>
<td>MR. ASHISH MAHENDRAKUMAR SHAH</td>
<td>ACS - 45074</td>
<td>BHAVYANDER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Enrolled during the period from 21/04/2016 to 20/05/2016.
**FORM – D**
**APPLICATION FOR THE ISSUE/RENEWAL/RESTORATION OF CERTIFICATE OF PRACTICE**

See Reg. 10, 13 & 14

To
The Secretary to the Council of
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India
‘ICSI HOUSE’, 22, Institutional Area, Lodi Road, New Delhi -110 003

Sir,

I furnish below my particulars:

(i) **Membership Number** FCS/ACS:

(ii) **Name in full** (in block letters) Surname Middle Name Name

(iii) **Date of Birth:**

(iv) **Professional Address:**

(v) **Phone Nos. (Resi.) (Off.)**

(vi) **Mobile No Email id**

(vii) Website of the member, if any

(viii) Additions to or change in qualifications, if any

Submitted for (tick whichever is applicable):

(a) Issue _____________ (b) Renewal ________________(c) Restoration _______________

(a) Particulars of Certificate of Practice issued / surrendered/ Cancelled earlier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Certificate of Practice No.</th>
<th>Date of issue of CP</th>
<th>Date of surrender / Cancellation of CP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) **Unique Code Number**

(i) Individual/Proprietorship concern (ii) Partnership firm

3. **Area of Practice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Area of Practice</th>
<th>Please tick (If Applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. i. I state that I am/shall be engaged in the profession of Company Secretary only on whole-time basis and not in any other profession, business, occupation or employment. I am not enrolled as an Advocate on the rolls of any Bar Council and do not hold certificate of practice from any professional body including ICAI and the ICWAI.

ii. I state that as and when I cease to be in practice, I shall duly inform the Council and shall surrender forthwith the certificate of practice as required by the Company Secretaries Act, 1980, and the regulations made thereunder, as amended from time to time.

iii a. I hereby undertake that, I shall adhere to the mandatory ceiling as regards issuing of Secretarial Audit Report (pursuant to Section 204 of the Companies Act, 2013) and certification/ signing of Annual Return (pursuant to Section 92 of the Companies Act, 2013) in terms of the GUIDELINES FOR ISSUING SECRETARIAL AUDIT REPORT, SIGNING AND CERTIFICATION OF ANNUAL RETURN respectively issued by the Institute from time to time.

iii b. Accordingly, I state that I have issued_________ Secretarial

---

8. **Income Tax Practice** (Filling of returns, Handling assessment, appearing before the appellate authority)

9. **Company Law Practice** (Filling of returns, Handling assessment, appearing before the appellate authority)

10. **Foreign Exchange Management** (Specify the areas being handled i.e. filling of various forms/returns, appearing before RBI etc)

11. **Foreign Collaborations & Joint Ventures**

12. **Intellectual Property Rights** (Specify the areas being handled)

13. **Depositories**

14. **Monopolies/Restrictive Trade Practices/Competition Law**

15. **Consumer Protection Laws**

16. **Arbitration and Conciliation**

17. **Import and Export Policy & Procedure**

18. **Environment Laws** (Specify the areas)

19. **Labour & Industrial Laws** (Specify the areas)

20. **Societies/Trusts/Co-operative Societies & NCTs (Non Co-operative Trust Societies)**

21. **Financial Consultancy**

22. **Other Economic Laws**

23. **SEBI / Securities Appellate Tribunal**

24. **Banking and Insurance**

25. **Any Other Service** (Please specify)
Audit Report and certified ______________ Annual Returns during the financial year 2015-16*.

iv. I state that I have issued / did not issue __________ advertisements during the year 20__ in accordance with the Guidelines for Advertisement by Company Secretary in Practice issued by the Institute*.

v. I state that I issued __________ Corporate Governance compliance certificates under Clause 49 of the Listing agreement during the year 20__ ... *

vi. I state that I have / have not undertaken ________ Audits under Section 55A of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Depositories and Participants) Regulations, 1996 during the year 20... - ... *

vii. I state that I have / have not maintained a register of attestation/certification services rendered by me/my firm in accordance with the Guidelines for Requirement of Maintenance of a Register of Attestation/Certification Services Rendered by Practising Company Secretary/ Firm of Practising Company Secretaries issued by the Institute*. 

viii. I hereby declare that I have complied with KYC norms issued by the Council of the ICSI.

ix. I undertake to subject myself to peer review as and when directed by the Peer Review Board.

5. I send herewith Bank draft drawn on ________________ Bank ____________Branch bearing No.___________ ________________ dated _______________/ online payment vide acknowledgement No.__________________________ dated _______________/ Cash payment at ROs/Chapters vide Acknowledgement No. ____________ dated ________________ for Rs._______ towards annual certificate of practice fee for the year ending 31st March ________.

6. I hereby declare that I attended the following professional development programmes held during the financial year ________:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Name of Programme</th>
<th>Organised by</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>No. of Program Credit Hours Secured**</th>
<th>Details of Certificate for Program Credit Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* Please specify whether full day/half day/number of hour 
** Extra sheet can be attached.... 
*** The extracts from ICSI portal about the Credit hours with self certification

7. I further declare that the particulars furnished above are true and correct.

Yours faithfully,

(Signature)

Place: 
Date :

***Encl.

* Applicable in case renewal or restoration of Certificate of Practice

** Rs. 1000/- Annual Certificate of Practice Fee (Rs. 500/- if applied during October-March)

***

• Copy of the relieving letter in case earlier in employment.
• Copy of Form DIR 12 regarding cessation of employment in case working earlier as Company Secretary.
• Copy of letter of cancellation of Certificate of Practice of other professional bodies if applicable.

---

Attention Members -
Online donation to CSBF on a click now

For making donations to CSBF online, please click www.icsi.in/ICSIDonation You may also visit ICSI website www.icsi.edu and click at “For donations to CSBF – click here”.

For queries if any, you may write or call-(Mr. Saurabh Bansal) Executive, CSBF cell ICSI House, 22 Institutional Area Lodi Road, New Delhi – 110003 Phone: 011-45341088, Fax: 011-24626727 Email: saurabh.bansal@icsi.edu csbf@icsi.edu

Note: Donation to the CSBF qualifies for the deduction under section 80G of the Income Tax Act, 1961. The online receipt serves this purpose as well.

---

PAYMENT OF ANNUAL LICENTiate SUBSCRIPTION FOR THE YEAR 2016-2017

The annual Licentiate subscription for the year 2016-2017 will become due for payment w.e.f 1st April, 2016. The last date for payment of same is 30th June, 2016. The annual Licentiate subscription payable is Rs.1,000/- per year.

You are requested to remit at the Institute’s Headquarters or Regional/ Chapter offices a sum of Rs.1000/- (Rupees One thousand only) by way of Demand Draft payable at New Delhi or cheque at par drawn in favour of “The Institute of Company Secretaries of India” indicating your name and Licentiate number on the reverse of the Demand Draft/ Cheque and the details of remittance may please be intimated at email id licentiate@icsi.edu .
List of Practising Members Registered For The Purpose of Imparting Training During The Month of April, 2016

ABHIJIT VISHWANATH GINIMAV
# 535, GROUND FLOOR, 64TH CROSS, 5TH BLOCK, RAJAJINAGAR Pincode:560010, BANGALORE

AEJAZ AHMED
# 10/1, 1ST FLOOR, 1ST MAIN, MUNISWAMAPPA LAYOUT, KAVALBYRASANDRA, R T NAGAR POST Pincode:560032, BANGALORE

ANKIT RANA
24-KA-1, JYOTI NAGAR, Pincode:302004, JAIPUR

ANKITHA SUBRAMANIAN IYER
OFFICE NO. 121, THE SUMMIT BUSINESS, BAY, ANDHERI KURLA ROAD, ANDHERI EAST Pincode: 400093, MUMBAI

ASHISH KHANDELWAL
8, RAMDASPURI, , PANKHA, KALWAR ROAD, , JHOTWARA, Pincode:302012, JAIPUR

ASHWANI KUMAR DHIMAN
B-4, 1ST FLOOR, ANAND TOWER, 33 HIRALAL MARG, RISHIKESH Pincode:249201, DEHRADUN DISTT

AVANI KIRTI VISARIYA
A/1106, O2 COMMERCIAL COMPLEX, PLOT NO.23&24, OPP. ASHA NAGAR, MULUND (WEST) Pincode:400080, MUMBAI

DEEPAK SHARMA
HOUSE NO. 275, , ST. NO. 6, SHIVPURI, VIJAY NAGAR, SECTOR -9 Pincode:201009, GHAZIABAD

DOLLY GAUR
H.NO.1112, SECTOR-4, , Pincode:134112, PANCHKULA

GULSHAN KUMAR
HOUSE NO. 1104, `B `BLOCK, JAHANGIR PURI Pincode:110033, DELHI

HARISH CHAWLA
1ST FLOOR, C-12, HOTEL MALIK CONTINENTAL, VASANT KUNJ Pincode:110070, NEW DELHI

HARITA ISHWARBHAI SHAH
G-5, CHIR TRUPTI APPT, BEHIND OLD HIGH COURT, NAVRANGPURA Pincode:380009, AHMEDABAD

JAANVI PARTH JOSHI
A/5, OM SHREE LABH APARTMENT, TULSI BAUG NEAR VEERSAVARKAR, GARDEN OFF.L.T.ROAD BORIVALI (W) Pincode:400092, MUMBAI

JAY KIRITBHAI MEHTA
409, JIMMY TOWER, OPP. SWAMI NARAYAN GURUKUL, GONDAL ROAD Pincode:360004, RAJKOT

JITENDRA JANGID
46, KUMAWAT COLONY, AJMER ROAD, SODALA Pincode:302006, JAIPUR

K M PRAVEEN KUMAR
SHANKER MUTT ROAD, RAGHAVENDRA COLONY, MADHUGIRI, TUMKUR (D) Pincode:572132, BANGALORE

KAWAL KISHORE KHURANA
A-5 CHOTTEY LAL PARK, 1ST FLOOR, KIRTI NAGAR, (OPP. METRO PILLAR NO. 340) Pincode:110015, NEW DELHI

KIRAN PRAFULKUMAR DOSHI
C/003, SONI PARK BUILDING, CHIKUWADI, BORIVALI (WEST) Pincode:400092, MUMBAI

LALCHAND KUMAWAT
B-230, MALVIYA NAGAR, , Pincode:302017, JAIPUR

MANISH JAIN
PLOT NO. HB-5A, GROUND FLOOR, RAM NAGAR -H, NEW SANGANER ROAD, SODALA Pincode:302019, JAIPUR

MANJU BALARAM BATHAM
D-48/FLAT NO. 001, NIRMAL BUILDING, SECTOR 5, SHANTINAGAR, MIRA ROAD (E) Pincode:401107, THANE DISTT

MANSI SAMDANI
40, VARDHMAN COLONY, 2ND FLOOR STREET, Pincode:311001, BHILWARA

MD ISHTIYAQUE ANSARI
2ND FLOOR, MARYAM MANZIL, LALA COMPOUND MOHAMMED SHAH LANE, DARIYAPUR Pincode:800004, PATNA

NAMRATA SHARMA
5786/6, NEW CHANDRAWAL, NEAR KAMLA NAGAR Pincode:110007, DELHI

POOJA M KOHLI
H NO 655 STREET NO 4, PREET NAGAR, DUGRI Pincode:141002, LUDHIANA

PREETI JAIN
E-21/286-287, SECTOR 3, ROHINI Pincode:110085, DELHI

PRIYA WASON
D 6/1, FIRST FLOOR, ARDEF CITY, SECTOR 52, Pincode:122001, GURGAON
List of Companies Registered for Imparting Training during the month of April, 2016

ADITYA BIRLA HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY LTD
A-4 ADITYA BIRLA CENTRE, S K AHIRE MARG, WORLI MUMBAI - 400 030

AGARWAL PACKERS AND MOVERS LIMITED
49, GROUND FLOOR, EASTERN CHAMBER, 128/A, POONA STREET, DANA BUNDAR, MUMBAI

AMALGAMATED PLANTATIONS PRIVATE LIMITED
1 BISHOP LEFROY ROAD, KOLKATA

AMBUJA NEOTIA HOLDINGS PRIVATE LIMITED
216 AJC BOSE ROAD, KOLKATA

ARDEE FINVEST PVT LTD
DR GOPAL DAS BHAWAN, 16 TH FLOOR, 28 BARAKHAMBA ROAD, NEW DELHI-110001

BHPOL E-GOVERNANCE LIMITED
3RD FLOOR, AMBIENCE CORPORATE TOWER, AMBIENCE MALL AMBIENCE ISLAND, NATIONAL HIGHWAY NO. 8 GURGAON

BOTHRA SHIPPING SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED
2, CLIVE GHAT STREET, SAGAR ESTATE, 2ND FLOOR, ROOM NO 10, KOLKATA

BRILLIANT ESTATES LIMITED
BRILLIANT SOLITAIRE PLOT NO 6-A, SCHEME NO 78 PART II, INDORE

CREST PROMOTERS PRIVATE LIMITED
PLOT NO. 01B, SECTOR 126, NOIDA-201303, (UP)

DAAJ HOTELS AND RESORTS PRIVATE LIMITED
8-2-409, ROAD NO.6, BANJARA HILLS, HYDERABAD-500034

DREAM INDIA SOLUTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED
B-401, LOTUS CORPORATE PARK, OFFW.E.HIGHWAY, JAYCOACH, MUMBAI

EATON POWER QUALITY PRIVATE LIMITED
2 EVR STREET, SEDARAPET, PUDUCHERRY

ELECTRONICA FINANCE LIMITED
128/A, PLOT NO. 3, KAILASHCHANDRA APARTMENTS, PAUD ROAD, KOTHrud, PUNE

EQUITAS FINANCE LIMITED
SPENCER PLAZA, 4TH FLOOR, PHASE II, NO. 769, ANNA SALAI, CHENNAI

GARHA GEARS LIMITED
16 RACE COURSE ROAD, INDORE

HINDUSTHAN URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE LTD
KANCHENJUNGA BLDG 7TH FLOOR, 18 BARAKHAMBA ROAD, DELHI

INGRAM MICRO INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED
5TH FLOOR, BLOCK B, GODREJ IT PARK, PIROJSHANAGAR, LBS MARG, VIKHROLI WEST, MUMBAI

JHARKHAND URJA SANCHARAN NIGAM LIMITED
ENGINEERING BUILDING, H.E.C, DHURWA, RANCHI

JITF URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED
JINDAL ITF CENTRE, 28, SHIVAJI MARG, NEW DELHI

MADHYA PRADESH POORV KSHETRA VIDYUT VITARAN COMPANY LIMITED
BLOCK NO.7, SHAKTI BHAWAN, RAMPUR, JABALPUR

MARYA DAY AGRO FOODS PRIVATE LIMITED
CALIFORNIA CAMPUS, OPP. RANANDEEP COMPLEX, 97 CIVIL LINES, BAREILLY

NOVEX COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISES LIMITED
S F 4 DEVPRIYA COMPLEX 2, OPP VISHAL RESIDENCY, NR ANANDNAGAR CROSS ROAD, SATELLITE, AHMEDABAD - 380015

ODISHA STATE POLICE HOUSING & WELFARE CORPORATION LTD.
JANAPATH, BHOINAGAR, BHUBANESWAR

OPPO MOBILES (RAJASTHAN) PRIVATE LIMITED
503, 5TH FLOOR, MANGALAM JAIPUR ELECTRONIC MARKET, MANSAROVER LINK ROAD, GOPALPURA BYPASS, JAIPUR

PALKI FASHION PRIVATE LIMITED
35, SUBURBAN SCHOOL ROAD, BHOWANIPUR, KOLKATA

PAN INDIA INFRAPROJECTS PRIVATE LIMITED
135, CONTINENTAL BUILDING, DR. ANNIE BESANT ROAD, WORLI, MUMBAI – 40018

PURE BROKING PRIVATE LIMITED
4TH FLOOR, OFFICE-402, MILESTONE LEONE, ATHWAGATE, NEAR JOLLY PLAZA, SURAT

RCJ INVESTMENT TRUST PRIVATE LIMITED
505, PRAGATI DEEP BUILDING, DISTRICT CENTRE, LAXMI NAGAR, DELHI
Chartered Secretary greets and congratulates Shri Bhim Sain Bassi, an Indian civil servant and law enforcement officer and also a Member of the ICSI on his appointment as a Member of the Union Public Service Commission (UPSC) w.e.f. 31.5.2016. Earlier he was Commissioner of Police, Delhi.
NEWS FROM THE REGIONS

EASTERN INDIA REGIONAL COUNCIL

Programme | QR Code/Web link
--- | ---
EIRC’s Joint programme with its Chapters - Half Day Workshop at Deoghar on 22.05.2016. | 
Study Circle Meeting on “Voting at General Meeting” held on 14.5.2016 at ICSI-EIRC House, Kolkata | 
Campus Placement organised on 7.5.2016 at ICSI-EIRC House, Kolkata | 
Half Day Workshop on 7.5.2016 at ICSI-EIRC House, Kolkata | 
National Seminar on NCLT and NCLAT held on 23.4.2016 at Kolkata. | 
Earth Day Celebrations at ICSI-EIRC House, Kolkata on 22.4.2016. | 
Blood Donation Camp organised on 6.4.2016 at ICSI-EIRC House, Kolkata | 
Programme on Compliance Management held on 2.4.2016 at Kolkata. | 

BHUBANESWAR CHAPTER

Programme | QR Code/Web link
--- | ---
Interactive session with President, the ICSI held on 8.5.2016 at Bhubaneswar | 
Webinar on Central Excise – Basic Concepts held on 13.5.2016 | 
Monthly webcast titled Precious You on 18.5.2016 | 

HOOGHLY CHAPTER

Programme | QR Code/Web link
--- | ---
STUDY CIRCLE MEETINGS held on 10.4.2016 at Chapter premises on Practical Aspects with reference to New MCA Portal and MCA Filings and Discussion on Condonation and Compounding. | 
FULL-DAY WORKSHOP ON Changes in The Companies (Amendment) Bill, 2016 with Special Focus on Issue of Securities, Related Party Transaction, Sec.185 & 186, Deposits and Other Amendments Thereon held at Chapter premises on 8.5.2016 | 
FULL-DAY WORKSHOP held on 8.5.2016 covering topics “Role of Company Secretaries in Emerging Securitization and Asset Reconstruction” and “Mergers & Amalgamation”. | 

NORTH EASTERN CHAPTER

Programme | QR Code/Web link
--- | ---
Seminar on “SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015” held on 21.5.2016 at Guwahati | 
Seminar on Companies Amendment Bill 2016 and Critical Issues in Companies Act 2013 held on 21.5.2016 at Guwahati | 

NORTHERN INDIA REGIONAL COUNCIL

Programme | QR Code/Web link
--- | ---
Special Session on Striking the Balance Between Work and Life held on 02.05.2016 | 
Campus Placement for 236th & 237th MSOP participants held on 06.05.2016 | 
One Day Workshop on Labour Laws - Compliances & Procedures held on 07.05.2016 | 
Seminar on “Securities Law - Changing Landscape, Opportunities Galore” held on 14.05.2016 | 
Regional Residential Conference (Host: Bareilly Chapter) on “CS - Precision & Performance” held on 20-21.05.2016 | 

ALWAR CHAPTER

New Address of the Chapter Office
Alwar Chapter of NIRC of ICSI has since been shifted and the new office address is as under:

Alwar Chapter of NIRC of ICSI
2nd Floor, Rajat Tower, Main Road, Ashok Vihar, Near Cross Point Mall, Alwar Bhawani National Highway, Alwar(Raj)- 301001.
(Contact Nos. will, however, remain the same.)

BIKANER CHAPTER

Programme | QR Code/Web link
--- | ---
Ascentia 2016 (special drive to register more companies, PCS & other entities for imparting training to CS students) | [cid:image001.png@01D19A59.3AA761F0] | 

FARIDABAD CHAPTER

Programme | QR Code/Web link
--- | ---
EARTH DAY celebration ON 22.4.2016 AT ICSI HOUSE, FARIDABAD | NA | 

JAIPUR CHAPTER

Programme | QR Code/Web link
--- | ---
National Seminar on Entrepreneurship, skill Development and Governance in MSMEs Held on 28.5.2016 at Jaipur. | 

https://www.icsi.edu/jaipur/Home.aspx
**LUCKNOW CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Day Seminar of Company Secretaries organised on 29.5.2016.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LUDHIANA CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Days e-Governance Program held from 15.05.2016 to 17.05.2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/12/3%20Days%20e-Governance_15.05.2016.pdf">http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/12/3%20Days%20e-Governance_15.05.2016.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Circle Meeting on New Amendments of Companies Act, 2013 held on 07.05.2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/12/SCM-07-05-2016.pdf">http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/12/SCM-07-05-2016.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MODINAGAR CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seminar on NCLT and Recent Developments in the Companies Act, 2013 held on 1.5.2016.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOUTHERN INDIA REGIONAL COUNCIL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Half Day Seminar on “Goods and Services Tax &amp; Updates on VAT” held on 14.5.2016 at ICSI-SIRC House, Chennai.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/25/Presentations/Write%20up%20from%2021.05.2016%20to%31.05.2016.pdf">http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/25/Presentations/Write%20up%20from%2021.05.2016%20to%31.05.2016.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to CM Relief Fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AMRAVATI CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full day Seminar on Companies Act, 2013 &amp; NCLT held on 07.05.2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/153/sem%2007.05.2016.pdf">http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/153/sem%2007.05.2016.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BENGALURU CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**COIMBATORE CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation in Mega Events - 4 Education Expos in three districts- Coimbatore, Erode &amp; Tirupur</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/coimbatore/RecentProgrammes.aspx">http://www.icsi.edu/coimbatore/RecentProgrammes.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HYDERABAD CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Half-a-day Seminar on Modern Company Secretary in the Tech World held on 6.5.2016 at the chapter premises.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/portals/2/ARMAY2016.pdf">http://www.icsi.edu/portals/2/ARMAY2016.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ICSI- Hyderabad Chapter has organized Embarking Upon the Voyage of Research Jointly with CCGRT Date: 19 – 21.5.2016 at NI-MSME, Yusufguda, Hyderabad.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KochI CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study Circle meeting on One Person Company &amp; Section 8 Company held on 11.5.2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/kochi/NewsEvents.aspx">http://www.icsi.edu/kochi/NewsEvents.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Circle meeting on Charges held on 18.5.2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Circle meeting on Nidhi Companies held on 26.5.2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/kochi/NewsEvents.aspx">http://www.icsi.edu/kochi/NewsEvents.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AHMEDABAD CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study Circle Meeting on Producer Companies under Companies Act, 1956 vis a vis Companies Act, 2013 held on 21.5.2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/25/Presentations/Write%20Up%20from%2021.05.2016%20to%2031.05.2016.pdf">http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/25/Presentations/Write%20Up%20from%2021.05.2016%20to%2031.05.2016.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SURAT CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campus Placement, 2016 held on 25.5.2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/25/Presentations/Write%20Up%20from%2021.05.2016">http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/25/Presentations/Write%20Up%20from%2021.05.2016</a> to%2031.05.2016.pdf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THANE CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seminar on Company Law Tribunal held on 28.5.2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/thane/NewsEvents.aspx">http://www.icsi.edu/thane/NewsEvents.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Fair at Mumbra held on 28.5.2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/32/CHARTeReD_SeCReTARY_21_31_05_2016.pdf">http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/32/CHARTeReD_SeCReTARY_21_31_05_2016.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICGC Day program held on 16.4. 2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/thane/NewsEvents.aspx">http://www.icsi.edu/thane/NewsEvents.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BHAYANDAR CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full day seminar held on 14.05.2016 on Appearance Before NCLT &amp; Companies Amendment Bill 2016.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/bhayander/NewsEvents.aspx">http://www.icsi.edu/bhayander/NewsEvents.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PUNE CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swatchhta Pakhswada from 16th May, 2016 to 31st May, 2016</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/32/CHARTeReD_SECRETARY_21_31_05_2016.pdf">http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/32/CHARTeReD_SECRETARY_21_31_05_2016.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Fair – Times Education Boutique</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VADODARA CHAPTER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seminar held on 28.05.2016 on “A Glance over Advocacy Skills before NCLT/NCLAT, Recent amendments in the FEMA provisions and Techniques for effective drafting of Arbitration Clause in Commercial Agreements”.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/37/Write-up_28.05.2016.pdf">http://www.icsi.edu/Portals/37/Write-up_28.05.2016.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ICSI – CCGRT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>QR Code/Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signing of MOU on 3.6.2016 between The ICSI and National Institute of Securities Markets (NISM) for jointly conducting various workshops/conferences/seminars and carrying out research activities focusing on Corporate Laws; Capital Market &amp; Securities Laws; Recent Developments in Indian and Foreign Capital Markets; Financial Services; Mercantile Laws etc. The MOU was signed between Dr. Rajesh Agrawal, Director, ICSI-CCGRT and Dr. Sandip Ghose, Director, NISM in the presence of CS Kaushik Jhaveri, Member, ICSI-CCGRT Management Committee and Practicing Company Secretary, Mumbai and Jitendra Kumar, Member of Faculty, NISM (On deputation from SEBI). The MOU will go a long way in fostering academics and research between the two institutes, thereby benefitting the Company Secretaries both in practice and employment, Industry experts, professionals from other fields and students pursuing company secretary and other professional courses.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.icsi.edu/Portals/86/Signing%20of%20MOU%20between%20ICSI%20&amp;%20NISM.pdf">https://www.icsi.edu/Portals/86/Signing%20of%20MOU%20between%20ICSI%20&amp;%20NISM.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MISCELLANEOUS CORNER

- Ethics & Code of Conduct Corner
- Ethics and Sustainability Corner
- CG Corner
- NCLT Corner
- 17th National Conference of Practising Company Secretaries
- Replies to Brain Teasers
COMPANY SECRETARIES BENEVOLENT FUND

The Company Secretaries Benevolent Fund (CSBF) provides safety net to Company Secretaries who are members of the Fund and their family members in distress.

CSBF
- Registered under the Societies Registration Act, 1860
- Recognised under Section 12A of the Income Tax Act, 1961
- Subscription / Contribution to Fund qualifies for the deduction under section 80G of the Income Tax Act, 1961
- Has a membership of about 11,000

Eligibility
A member of the Institute of Company Secretaries of India is eligible for the membership of the CSBF.

How to join
- By making an application in Form A (available at www.icsi.edu/csbf) along with one time subscription of ₹ 7,500/-. 
- One can submit Form A and also the subscription amount of ₹ 7500 ONLINE through Institute’s web portal: www.icsi.edu. Alternatively, he can submit Form A, along with a Demand Draft or Cheque for ₹ 7500 drawn in favour of ‘Company Secretaries Benevolent Fund’, at any of the Offices of the Institute/Regional Offices/Chapters.

Benefits
- ₹ 5,00,000 in the event of death of a member under the age of 60 years
- Upto ₹ 2,00,000 in the event of death of a member above the age of 60 years
- Upto ₹ 40,000 per child (upto two children) for education of minor children of a deceased member in deserving cases
- Upto ₹ 60,000 for medical expenses in deserving cases
- Limited benefits for Company Secretaries who are not members of the CSBF

Contact
For further information/clarification, please write at email id csbf@icsi.edu or contact Mr. Saurabh Bansal, Executive on telephone no.011-45341088.

For more details please visit www.icsi.edu/csbf
First and Second Schedule to the Company Secretaries Act, 1980 contains professional and other misconduct in relation to Company Secretaries. The expression “professional and other misconduct” is defined in Section 22 of the Act.

First Schedule is divided into four parts and Second Schedule is divided into three parts.

Part I of the First Schedule which contains 11 items and Part I of the Second Schedule which contains 10 items are applicable to Company Secretaries in Practice.

Part II of the First Schedule which contains 2 items is applicable to members of the Institute in service.

Part III of the First Schedule which contains 3 items and Part II of the Second Schedule which contains 4 items are applicable to members of the Institute in generally.

Part IV of First Schedule and Part III of the Second Schedule deal with other misconduct in relation to members of the Institute generally.

This write-up elaborates Professional misconduct in relation to members of the Institute in service

**Part II of the First Schedule**

A member of the Institute (other than a member in practice) shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he, being an employee of any company, firm or person—

“(1) pays or allows or agrees to pay, directly or indirectly, to any person any share in the emoluments of the employment undertaken by him;”

This item restricts a member in employment from sharing emoluments of the employment with any other person not even a member. Both direct and indirect sharing of the emoluments is prohibited.

This item is analogous to clause (2) of Part I of the First Schedule in some respect. A member in employment shall not share emoluments of the employment with any other person, not even a member. Both direct and indirect sharing of the emoluments is prohibited. However, it may be noted that under Part I of the First Schedule, a member in practice can share the fee, commission or brokerage or profits with any other member of the Institute who is his partner.

“(2) accepts or agrees to accept any part of fees, profits or gains from a lawyer, a Company Secretary or broker engaged by such company, firm or person or agent or customer of such company, firm or person by way of commission or gratification.”

This item restricts a member of the Institute in service from accepting any secret benefit from the employment such as commission from its clients, customer, agent, broker; or any professional engaged by the employer. Employer can be a company, firm or person. It will maintain trust and confidence of the employer.

In addition to Part II of the First Schedule as above, Part III and Part IV of the First Schedule, and of Part II and Part III of the Second Schedule, are applicable to the members of the Institute in service, as under, -

A) Part III of the First Schedule which deals with Professional misconduct in relation to members of the Institute generally

A member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he –

“(1) not being a Fellow of the Institute, acts as a Fellow of the Institute;”

This clause prohibits a member to act as a Fellow of the Institute while in fact he is not a Fellow member. A person is entitled to have his name entered in the Register as a Fellow as per regulation 4 (2) of the Company Secretaries Regulations, 1982 which requires standing of five years as an Associate and a certain level of experience.

“(2) does not supply the information called for, or does not comply with the requirements asked for, by the Institute, Council or any of its Committees, Director (Discipline), Board of Discipline, Disciplinary Committee, Quality Review Board or the Appellate Authority;”

It is a duty of a member to supply information called for or to supply the requirements as asked for by the Council or any of its Committee and other authorities such as Director (Discipline), Board of Discipline, Disciplinary Committee, Quality Review Board or the Appellate Authority. Non-compliance with this clause would tantamount to breach of code of conduct.

“(3) while inviting professional work from another Company Secretary or while responding to tenders or enquiries or while advertising through a write up, or anything as provided for in items (6) and (7) of Part I of this Schedule, gives information knowing it to be false.”
A member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be guilty of professional misconduct if he gives any information which he knows it to be false, while inviting professional work from another Company Secretary or while responding to tenders or enquiries or while advertising through a write up, or anything as provided for in items (6) and (7) of Part I of the First Schedule.

B) Part IV of the First Schedule which deals with Other misconduct in relation to members of the Institute in generally

A member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of other misconduct under Part IV of the First Schedule, if:

“(1) he is held guilty by any civil or criminal court for an offence which is punishable with imprisonment for a term not exceeding six months;”

A member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of other misconduct, under Part IV of the First Schedule, if he is held guilty by any civil or criminal court for an offence which is punishable with imprisonment for a term not exceeding six months.

“(2) in the opinion of the Council, he brings disrepute to the profession or the institute as a result of his action whether or not related to his professional work.”

If a member of the Institute in the opinion of the Council brings disrepute to the profession or the institute as a result of his act/omission whether the same relates to his professional work or not or such act/omission does not fall under any of the items of the First and Second Schedule of the Act, the member shall be deemed to be guilty of other misconduct. However, such cases are to be decided by the Council keeping in view the facts and circumstances of each case.

C) Part II of the Second Schedule which deals with Professional misconduct in relation to members of the Institute generally

A member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he—

“(1) contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council;”

Every member of the Institute is expected to pay due obedience to the Act, the Regulations made thereunder and any Guidelines issued by the Council of the Institute from time to time. For example: acquiring requisite Programme Credit Hours (PCH).

“(2) being an employee of any company, firm or person, discloses confidential information acquired in the course of his employment, except as and when required by any law for the time being in force or except as permitted by the employer;”

A member in employment is expected to maintain confidentiality of any information which may cause harm to the employer if disclosed to any undesirable person/outside. This clause emphasized on building a relationship of trust and confidence amongst a member of the Institute and its employer.

“(3) includes in any information, statement, return or form to be submitted to the Institute, Council or any of its Committees, Director (Discipline), Board of Discipline, Disciplinary Committee, Quality Review Board or the Appellate Authority any particulars knowing them to be false;”

This clause prohibits any member of the Institute, to include any particulars which he knows it to be false, in any information, statement, return or form to be submitted to the Institute, Council or any of its Committees, Director (Discipline), Board of Discipline, Disciplinary Committee, Quality Review Board or the Appellate Authority.

“(4) defalcates or embezzles moneys received in his professional capacity.”

This clause covers defalcation or embezzlement of moneys received by a member of the Institute in his professional capacity, whether in practice or not. Misappropriation of funds received in his professional capacity by a member of the Institute, would amounts to professional misconduct under this clause.

D) Part III of the Second Schedule which deals with Other misconduct in relation to members of the Institute in generally

“A member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of other misconduct, under Part III of the Second Schedule, if he is held guilty by any civil or criminal court for an offence which is punishable with imprisonment for a term exceeding six months.”

This write-up has been prepared and published for the reference of the members of the Institute. These views may be subject to judicial interpretation.

---

CONGRATULATIONS

Rajesh Kapadia, ACS on conferment of CMA Achievers Awards 2015 under Public Sector-Manufacturing-Medium-Male category by the Institute of Cost Accountants of India.
INTEGRATING INTEGRITY WITH SUCCESS FOR SUSTAINABILITY

(Contributed by Brahma Kumaris, Om Shanti Retreat Centre, Gurgaon)

“By the right choice and true application of thought, man ascends to the Divine Perfection; by the abuse and wrong application of thought, he descends below the level of a beast. Between these two extremes are all the grades of character; and man is their maker and master.”

Since time immemorial, man has tried to master his skills, become the maker of his fate and achieve success in all his endeavors. Everything invented, designed, refined and practised by man in this world are for simplifying life by making things easy and creating things of comfort, which is commonly mis-perceived as attaining success. In this process of making things and life easy, we have simplified processes but has life become simple in true sense?

We are working under stress while creating easiness and hardly resting even while sleeping with the aid of pills on comfortable mattresses. One of the reasons for this ‘global phenomenon’ is a very common feeling experienced by every ‘common’ and ‘uncommon’ man– Fear. It is familiar because perhaps no one presently seems to be untouched by it. Moreover, this feeling is often used as a tool or a weapon to get our work done from others. But when this feeling bounces back at us, it hits really hard. The most common fear of all is the fear of loss (referred to as insecurity) and the fear of being exposed in front of others. In order to fight back the fear of being exposed in front of others, we grant ourselves a license to pretend, hide our feelings, put up masks and be the Hercules at all situations.

To safeguard ourselves from the fear of loss of identity or possessions, we take the support of multiple roles and worldly materials as backups-naming there acquisition as success, till the fear of their protection takes a toll over us. This also increases competition of acquiring more while adding on to the fear of the loss of these identities and possessions, entangling us in a vicious circle of fear and competition with few spurts of sense of being successful. This un-natural, fluctuating and un-sustainable success triggers our happy hormones with few spurts of sense of being successful. This un-natural, fluctuating and un-sustainable success triggers our happy hormones for a few instants, leaving us at the mercy of adrenaline and cortisols for the rest of the day.

Attainment of success and maintaining it is a continuous process. But while working for, or in some cases, looking for success, seldom do we ask ourselves- “What is success?” Is attaining name, fame, money and the satisfaction of attaining all of these, success? If yes, we may not be considerate about the means to attain it. This transforms success from a journey to a destination and hands over the liberty to man to take any ‘way’ to get to this destination. Success may come and go but what is lost forever in this gain of success is the integral part of our identity- INTEGRITY.

Integrity is commonly defined as:

- The state of being whole and undivided.
- The quality of being uncompromisingly honest and having strong moral principles and always supporting what is right, whether or not others are supporting it.

Let’s look at the role of integrity with respect to these definitions and appreciate its contribution to our success.

1. The state of being whole and undivided.

- Often, we feel ‘unauthentic’ about ourselves. Or more often, we don’t even understand that what we are feeling about ourselves is un-authentic. When we go to a glass museum and stand in front of a convex - concave mirror, to watch a distorted image of the self, we do not shout or blame the mirror for showing the distortion in image nor do we cry at what is visible in the mirror. We enjoy looking at the mirror because we have the realization that whatever is visible in the mirror is not ‘me’ but ‘my image’, which is distorted due to the mirror properties and not due to any distortion of my true identity. Similarly, when people tell me their misconceptions about myself, do I need to tag myself with those ‘reflections’ from their mental mirrors which have different properties than mine? No one can make me feel inferior without my permission. But when I evaluate myself with the labels put on me by others, I give up my state of being whole or true and lose my integrity. Thus I land in the no-man’s land where I am hunting for my self-worth and finding ‘ways’ to win back my original ‘image’… anyhow!

- To be able to safeguard my integrity and also my originality, we need to make a shift from that no-man’s land, to the silent chambers of the soul- where I introspect in solitude and find answers. There, I see myself in the mirror of truth. When I find my innate, integral, unique and imperishable identity, I come out stronger, equipped with enough courage to face challenging situations and tough people. Thus integrity is strength and then I no longer have to rely on others to grade me neither do I have to ask for any favors to attain success. Let me understand that my success is not dependent on what others think of me (because most of the times they are pitiable). My success wholly and solely relies on what I think and do with myself and others.

2. The quality of being uncompromisingly honest and having strong moral principles.

- We all feel and complain about the world going in a wrong direction and system or government being inefficient or corrupt. From newspapers and media channels to local discussions on bus stands or canteens/ cafeterias or family get-togethers, everyone is engaged in shifting fingers from one corner to another, blaming everyone for the current pitiable state of the moral structure of society and the world. Furthermore, it is believed that in the current global scenario, no one around us is trust-worthy. This reminds me of a child who looking out through the window of the room refuses to go to school because of the dusty and foggy weather outside. The mother then opens the window, only to find that the weather outside was perfectly alright and pleasant while
the child’s spectacles were dusty. And as I do not suddenly wake up one morning to notice the dust accumulated on my spectacles, I don’t convert suddenly into a ‘bad person’. It happens by a thousand tiny surrenders of self-respect to self-interest. So if I don’t clean my spectacles every day and allow dust to accumulate on it, I don’t even realize or admit that my spectacles have a problem. Consequently, I continue to feel- why should I be so honest and upright in moral principles when no one around me is? Otherwise, I will be no more than a doormat, used by everyone to go up the stairs on the path of success. Then why should I go up the stream when flowing with the river is easier and seems ‘natural’? I even justify my dishonest behavior projecting that it is right to be wrong with those who are practising wrong. However, I fail to appreciate that there can never be a wrong way of doing a right thing. And this basic misconception kills the mother of honesty- the very need for integrity.

Until I clear my consciousness and make it more positive and healthy, the world will always appear colored. But how does my thinking of the world to become better make it better? Simply hoping and praying or chanting that ‘all is well’ doesn’t make anything well, as I will again look for someone else to set things straight. Honesty doesn’t mean to scream at the top of the voice and preach others to be just and honest. Neither does it mean to simply follow the rules and refrain from engaging in some form of corruption or breaking someone’s trust. Then what is honesty? How do we claim ourselves to be honest?

To understand this, let’s explore on an inner subtle mechanism-

One fine day, while walking across the corridor from lift lobby to my cabin in the office, I was thinking about someone-mentally fighting/ competing with my thought-so-competitor, or cursing my boss/ subordinate for not so good code of conduct. To my surprise, the same person happens to appear from the other end of the corridor. Being a common place, I feel awkward as I can neither turn back to take a different route to my seat nor do I want to start my day showcasing my feelings for the ‘gentleman’. Moreover, I don’t want to make the other person aware of my feelings. As we come closer, I make up my mind and prepare myself for a casual and friendly greeting. Garnishing my face with a warm and amiable smile, I look at the person pleasantly. Crossing by, I think saying a gentle “Hello, how are you doing?” would make his day. Garnishing my face with a warm and amiable smile, make up my mind and prepare myself for a casual and friendly greeting. As we come closer, I think saying a gentle “Hello, how are you doing?” would make his day.

This inner call for integrity in order to achieve success in personal, societal and professional spheres is heard and answered when a person connects with the self. Spirituality, the science of knowing and connecting to the authentic self enables us explore the deep rooted instincts and channelize them to make way for success. When we don’t know the difference between the true and acquired self, a confused self emerges which fluctuates between these two. Due to this fluctuation in the state of mind of the self, success, peace, happiness also fluctuates. Because of this disconnection, we start establishing that being true, authentic and working through integrity doesn’t work and is good as long as read in books. Spirituality practised through Rajyoga Meditation is the key to unlock and experience the true integral self and make use of our inner powers to attain constant and sustainable success.
DEVELOPMENTS – APRIL 2016

Financial Reporting Council has issued final draft updates to the UK Corporate Governance Code and Guidance for Audit Committees

In October 2015 Financial reporting Council has issued consultation paper seeking views on its proposed amendments to auditing standards, ethical standards for auditors, the UK Corporate Governance Code and its Guidance for Audit Committees.

The FRC has issued final draft of the UK Corporate Governance Code and the associated Guidance on Audit Committees on 27th April 2016.

Following major changes are made to Section C of the Code on Accountability in the context of audit committees and auditors-

- the amendment proposed in the consultation paper that at least one audit committee member should have competence in auditing and accounting is not being made and the existing requirement for recent and relevant financial experience is being retained.
- wording has been added to C.3.1 to provide that the audit committee as a whole is required to have competence relevant to the sector in which the company operates.
- the requirement for FTSE 350 companies to put the external audit contract out to tender at least every 10 years has been deleted as this is now covered by the EU’s Regulation on the statutory audit of PIES which takes direct effect from 17 June 2016
- the requirement that that the audit committee has primary responsibility for making the recommendation on the appointment, reappointment and removal of external auditors has been retained.
- the proposal that advance notice of retendering for the audit be included in the annual report has been adopted but qualified to say ‘any retendering’ to ensure reporting is only required when it is thought relevant.

Changes are also made to the Guidance on Audit Committees published in 2012 to take account of the changes to the Code. It has also been amended to reduce duplication with elements of the Code and should now be read in conjunction with Section C.3 of the Code.

The FRC has also stated that from 2017, the names of companies whose audits have been the subject of review by the FRC’s Corporate Reporting Review and Audit Quality Review teams will be published. The Conduct Committee’s operating procedures will need to be amended to allow this to happen.

The revised Code and Guidance on Audit Committees are likely to be effective for financial periods beginning on or after 17 June 2016.

The draft UK Corporate Governance Code is available at https://frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Corporate-Governance/Final-Draft-UK-Corporate-Governance-Code-2016.pdf


Dubai - Family Business Council-Gulf (FBCG) launches first GCC family business governance code

The Family Business Council-Gulf (FBCG), the regional association of Family Business Network International (FBN), launched the first-ever GCC Family Business Governance Code which will serve as voluntary guide on how to organise the family and business together. The bilingual code will help family businesses set governance structures in the form of rules, policies, and procedures, which is one of the key solutions to manage the growing complexity of family and business dynamics in the GCC.

FBCG in association with McKinsey & Company conducted a study and concluded that family businesses in GCC have made significant progress in putting corporate governance structures in place, but are still lagging in strict implementation, which could eventually challenge the very existence of these entities. The study last year showed low adoption of governance policies to support next generation development integration. It stated that only 44 per cent of businesses had an employment policy in place, while 32 per cent had clarity on roles and responsibilities. Around 22 per cent had effective training programs while 17 per cent had effective assessment methods for next generation succession.

While many governments around the world have developed and issued governance principles and codes focused on corporate governance, only a small number of countries around the world, mainly in the Americas and Europe and to less extent in the Mena, have established governance codes specific for family businesses.

The code addresses a wide range of family business governance areas, it covers five governance areas:

- Family governance,
- ownership governance,
- corporate governance,
- wealth governance, and
- public engagement.

The code provides practical advice on relevant areas such as developing the next generation and succession planning. It also includes a checklist, which can be used as a simple framework to assess and guide the family governance development journey. The code is a comprehensive guide and reference for GCC families.


Remember!!
1 June Global Day of Parents
5 June World Environment Day
8 June World Oceans Day
12 June World Day against Child Labour
14 June World Blood Donor Day
21 June International Day of Yoga

FEEDBACK & SUGGESTIONS

Readers may give their feedback and suggestions on this page to Ms. Banu Dandona, Joint Director, ICSI (banu.dandona@icsi.edu)

Disclaimer:
The contents under ‘Corporate Governance Corner’ have been collated from different sources. Readers are advised to cross check from original sources.
CONSTITUTION OF NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL AND NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL.

The Ministry of Corporate Affairs has issued notification for constitution of the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) and National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT) with effect from 1st June, 2016 vide notification no. S.O. 1935(E) dated 1st June, 2016.

According to Press Information Bureau, Govt. of India, Hon’ble Mr. Justice S. J. Mukhopadhaya, Judge (Retd.), Supreme Court of India has joined as the Chairperson of the NCLAT and Hon’ble Mr. Justice M. M. Kumar, Judge (Retd.), Chief Justice, J&K High Court has joined as the President of the NCLT.

With the constitution of the NCLT, the Company Law Board constituted under the Companies Act, 1956 stands dissolved.

Initially, NCLT will have eleven Benches, two at New Delhi and one each at Ahmedabad, Allahabad, Bengaluru, Chandigarh, Chennai, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Kolkata and Mumbai.

Notification of provisions under Companies Act 2013.

The Ministry has vide its notification no S.O.1934 (E) dated June 01, 2016 notified the following provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO.</th>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>PARTICULARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Sub-section(7) of section 7[except clause (c) and (d)]</td>
<td>Power of Tribunal to pass orders etc. where company has been incorporated by furnishing any false or incorrect information or representation etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Second proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14</td>
<td>Provisions relating to conversion of public company into private company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Section 14(2)</td>
<td>To approve issue of further redeemable preference shares when a company is unable to redeem its existing unredeemed preference shares or to pay dividend thereon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Section 55(3)</td>
<td>To approve consolidation or division of share capital resulting in change in voting percentage of shareholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Proviso to clause(b) of section 61(1)</td>
<td>Order of government for conversion of loans/debentures into shares in public interest and Where the terms of conversion of debentures into shares of a company ordered by the Government are not acceptable to the company, the company may appeal to the Tribunal for making such order as it may deem fit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Section 71(9) to (11)</td>
<td>Where the assets of a company are insufficient to discharge the debentures, the debenture trustee may apply to the NCLT. NCLT to order redemption of debentures forthwith by payment of principal and interest due thereon Penalties for not complying with the order of the tribunal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Section 75</td>
<td>Damages for fraud with respect to failure to repay deposits and interest thereon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Section 97</td>
<td>Power of Tribunal to call annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Section 98</td>
<td>Power of Tribunal to call meetings of members, etc.i.e in case it is impracticable to call a meeting, the Tribunal may either suomoto, or on application of a director or member of the company who is entitled to vote at the meeting, order to call meeting i.e extra ordinary general meetings and give such directions as may be necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Section 99</td>
<td>Punishment for default in complying with provisions of sections 96 to 98(i.e provisions relating to Annual General Meetings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Section 119(4)</td>
<td>Inspection of minute-books of general meeting: Power of tribunal to order, direct an immediate inspection of the minute-books or direct that the copy required shall forthwith be sent to the person requiring it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Section 130</td>
<td>Re-opening of accounts on court's or Tribunal's orders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Section 131</td>
<td>Voluntary revision of financial statements or Board's report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Section 132</td>
<td>The provisions inter-alia includes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To restrict copies of representation of the auditor to be removed to be sent out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Tribunal may, on the application of the company or any aggrieved person, order that copy of representation by the Auditor need not be sent to members nor read at the meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Where NCLT is satisfied that the Auditor has acted in a fraudulent manner, it may order that the Auditor may be changed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Section 169(4)</td>
<td>This section inter-alia includes provisions conferring powersto tribunal to order that representation from the director need not be sent to the members and nor read at the meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Section 213</td>
<td>Investigation into company’s affairs in other cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Section 216(2)</td>
<td>Investigation of ownership of company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Section 218</td>
<td>Protection of employees during investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Section 221</td>
<td>Freezing of assets of company on inquiry and investigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Section 222</td>
<td>Imposition of restrictions upon securities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Section 224(5)</td>
<td>Actions to be taken in pursuance of inspector’s report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Section 241</td>
<td>Application to Tribunal for relief in cases of oppression, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Section 242</td>
<td>Certain powers of tribunals notified except for certain High Court matters such as reduction of capital etc.,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Section 243</td>
<td>Consequence of termination or modification of certain agreements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Section 244</td>
<td>Right to apply under section 241 i.e application to tribunal in case of oppression etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Section 245</td>
<td>Class Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Reference of word “Tribunal” in section 399(2)</td>
<td>Leave of the Tribunal required for issuance of certain documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Section 415 to 433 (both inclusive)</td>
<td>Provisions relating to Constitution of National Company Law Tribunal and National Company Law Appellate Tribunal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Section 434 (1) (a) and (b)</td>
<td>Transfer of powers from Company Law Board to National Company Law Tribunal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Section 434(2)</td>
<td>Powers of Central Government to make rules relating to transfer of cases from Company Law Board to National Company Law Tribunal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Section 441</td>
<td>Compounding of certain offences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Section 466</td>
<td>Dissolution of Company Law Board and consequential provisions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition the Ministry has already notified Section 2(41), 58, 59, 73, 74 under which the powers were exercised by Company Law Board and stood transferred to National Company Law Tribunal.
17th National Conference of Practising Company Secretaries
PCS @ Startup – Accelerate – Outpace

Days & Dates: Friday & Saturday, August 12-13, 2016
Venue: Welcome Heritage Glenview Resort, Kasauli, Himachal Pradesh

Coverage
1. Startup India – Professional Opportunities for PCS covering
   - Insolvency Laws
   - Goods and Services Tax
   - Arbitration Law
   - Real Estate Act
2. National Company Law Tribunal, Companies (Amendment) Bill, 2016, Competition Law
3. Spiritual Wellbeing / Self Motivation
4. Ease of Doing Business in India – Facilitations and Obstructions

Key Takeaways
- Explore new opportunities in the areas of practice
- Share knowledge amongst the peer group
- Interact with experienced and expert faculty
- Update and sharpen technical and professional skills /
- Build Professional Networking
- Enjoy the scenic beauty of Kasauli and rejuvenate

Speakers
- Eminent speakers and experts with comprehensive exposure to the practical aspects of the topics will address and interact with the participants.

Participants
- Company Secretaries and other Professionals in Secretarial, Legal and Management disciplines would be benefited by participating in the Conference. All are requested to participate in the National Conference in large numbers and make it a huge success.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHAIRMAN, PCS COMMITTEE</th>
<th>PROGRAMME DIRECTOR</th>
<th>PROGRAMME COORDINATOR</th>
<th>PROGRAMME FACILITATOR</th>
<th>PROGRAMME CO-FACILITATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS Ashish Garg Council Member, ICSI</td>
<td>CS Vineet K Chaudhary Council Member, ICSI</td>
<td>CS Manish Gupta Chairman, NIRC of ICSI</td>
<td>CS G S Sarin Chairman, Chandigarh Chapter of ICSI</td>
<td>CS Smriti Sud Chairperson, Shimla Chapter of ICSI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Tentative Programme Schedule**

**Day-1: Friday, August 12, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11:00 am to 1:00 pm</td>
<td>Delegate Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 pm to 2:00 pm</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 pm to 3:30 pm</td>
<td>Inaugural Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 pm to 4:00 pm</td>
<td>Tea / Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:00 pm to 05:30 pm</td>
<td>Session 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panel Discussion: Start Up India– Professional Opportunities for PCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Insolvency Laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Goods and Services Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Arbitration Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Real Estate Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05:30 pm to 07:00 pm</td>
<td>Session 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Companies (Amendment) Bill, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Company Law Tribunal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competition Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:00 pm onwards</td>
<td>Cultural Evening &amp; Networking Dinner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Day-2: Saturday, August 13, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 am to 10:00 am</td>
<td>Interactive Session (for Members of ICSI only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 am to 11:15 am</td>
<td>Session 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spiritual Wellbeing / Self Motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 am to 11:30 am</td>
<td>Tea / Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 am to 1:00 pm</td>
<td>Session 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panel Discussion: Ease of Doing Business in India- Faciliations and Obstructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:00 pm to 02:00 pm</td>
<td>Networking Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:00 pm to 03:00 pm</td>
<td>Closing Plenary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Articles for Souvenir-cum-Backgrounder**

A Souvenir-cum-Backgrounder containing theme articles and other relevant information will be brought out to mark the occasion. Members who wish to contribute papers for publication in the Souvenir-cum-Backgrounder are requested to send the same on or before July 15, 2016 through email to CS Saurabh Jain, Deputy Director, The Institute of Company Secretaries of India, ICSI HOUSE, 22, Institutional Area, Lodi Road, New Delhi–110003 at saurabh.jain@icsi.edu and devender.kapoor@icsi.edu.

The paper / article should not normally exceed 15 typed pages. Members whose papers/articles are published in the Souvenir-cum-Backgrounder of the Conference shall be entitled to grant of FOUR Programme Credit Hours and an honorarium of Rs. 2,500/-. The decision of the Institute shall be final in all respects. Members are also requested to mention their income tax PAN while submitting the articles, in order to enable us to expedite the payment of honourarium.

**DELEGATE REGISTRATION FEE AND REGISTRATION PROCEDURE**

Delegate Registration Fees (Incl. of Service Tax)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delegate Category</th>
<th>Early Bird payment upto July 15, 2016</th>
<th>Early Bird payment upto July 31, 2016</th>
<th>Payment August 01, 2016 Onwards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Members</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accompanying Spouse/Children above 12 years</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students/CSBF Members/ Senior Members (60 years and above)/ Partners of Peer Reviewed Practice Units (Subject to the Presentation of Peer Review Certificate)</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Registration fee is inclusive of service tax and covers Lunch (2), Dinner (1), Morning /Evening Tea/ Coffee with Cookies, Conference Kit & Backgrounder.

**Accommodation**

Accommodation on ‘first come first served basis’ has been arranged at the conference venue, i.e., Welcome Heritage Glenview Resort, Kasauli, Himachal Pradesh for outstation delegates.
Room Tariff (per delegate)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room Occupancy basis</th>
<th>Accommodation charges for one night</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Occupancy</td>
<td>Rs. 6000 (incl. of Taxes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Occupancy / Twin Sharing (Delegates with Spouse or any other delegate)</td>
<td>Rs. 3500 (incl. of Taxes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Occupancy (Three delegates in one room)</td>
<td>Rs. 3200 (incl. of Taxes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alternative Accommodation arrangements

In addition to the accommodation arrangements at Welcome Heritage Glenview Resort, special arrangements have also been made at Kasauli Resorts, Kasauli, Himachal Pradesh for stay of delegates during August 12-13, 2016.

Room Tariff (per delegate)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room Occupancy basis</th>
<th>Accommodation charges for one night</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Occupancy</td>
<td>Rs. 5500 (incl. of Taxes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Occupancy / Twin Sharing (Delegates with Spouse or any other delegate)</td>
<td>Rs. 2750 (incl. of Taxes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Occupancy (Three delegates in one room)</td>
<td>Rs. 2333 (incl. of Taxes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Important Instructions:

- Standard Check in: 12th August, 2016 (12:00 Noon) / Standard Check out: 13th August, 2016 (12:00 Noon).
- Limited rooms are available.
- Any extra stay will be charged separately, subject to availability of rooms and receipt of reservation charges in advance.
- Delegates with chauffer driven cars will have to pay extra charges for accommodation and food arrangements for driver during the Conference. These charges have to be paid immediately on arrival.
- Any extra facilities availed by the delegate during the stay have to be paid directly to Hotel.
- The accommodation is to be booked directly by the delegates by filling in the accommodation request form available at the link www.icsi.edu/17NationalConferenceofICS.aspx.

How to reach Kasauli:

- **By Air** - The most convenient option by air is to reach Chandigarh, 65 km away from Kasauli. The connecting flights to Chandigarh are available from Delhi, Mumbai, Hyderabad, Bengaluru, Srinagar, Kolkata and Indore.
- **By Train** - Kalka is the nearest railhead situated 40 km away. There are rail links available from cities like Amritsar, Delhi, Kalka and Mumbai upto Kalka.
- **By Road** - Kasauli is well connected to Delhi and Chandigarh by road. Chandigarh is an hour’s drive from Kasauli while Delhi can be reached in five and a half hours.

Pickup and drop at Chandigarh / Kalka

Special arrangements are being made for the group pickup and dropping of delegates and their family members from the Chandigarh Airport and railway stations at Chandigarh junction and Kalka. The details about the same will be hosted on the ICSI website.

Delegate Registration Procedure

Delegate Registration only through Online Mode: Delegates are requested to register for the Conference through Online Mode only. Please note that payments are not accepted through demand draft, cheque, cash, electronic transfer, etc. The entire fee is payable in advance and is not refundable once the nomination is accepted. For registration, please follow the link available at www.icsi.edu/17NationalConferenceofICS.aspx.

Programme Credit Hours

Members of the Institute attending the National Conference on both days will be entitled to grant of 8 (Eight) Programme Credit Hours. Students attending the National Conference will be entitled to 16 (Sixteen) hours of Professional Development Programme.

Advertisement in Souvenir-cum-Backgrounder

The Souvenir-cum-Backgrounder containing important information, programmes, lists, etc. would be widely circulated to professionals, corporate and regulatory authorities. Advertisement released in the Souvenir would receive wide publicity for Products, Services and Corporate Announcements. Members/Organisations are requested to release advertisements. Advertisement material/requests for stalls/sponsorship requests along with the cheque/demand draft drawn in favour of ‘The Institute of Company Secretaries of India’ may be sent to Ms. Preeti Kaushik Banerjee, Director, The Institute of Company Secretaries of India, ICSI HOUSE, 22, Institutional Area, Lodi Road, New Delhi – 110003, Tel: 011-45341077 and email: preeti.banerjee@icsi.edu on or before August 05, 2016.
Advertisement Tariff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color Ad</th>
<th>Rate (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Black &amp; White Ad</th>
<th>Rate (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Back Cover</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>18 cm x 24 cm</td>
<td>Full Page</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>18 cm x 24 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside Cover (Front/Back)</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>18 cm x 24 cm</td>
<td>Half Page</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>18 cm x 12 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Page</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>18 cm x 24 cm</td>
<td>Quarter Page</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>9 cm x 12 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Privilege rates for advertisement in souvenir by firms of PCS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B/W Advertisement</th>
<th>Rate (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Page</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>18 x 24 cm</td>
<td>Delegate fee (Non Residential) exemption for 2 delegates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half Page</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>18 x 12 cm</td>
<td>Delegate fee (Non Residential) exemption for 1 delegate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stalls
Stalls for display of products Sponsorships Rs. 25,000 per stall (maximum size 6’ x 6’)

Sponsorships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Principal Sponsor</th>
<th>Rs. 5,00,000 (One)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Gold Sponsor</td>
<td>Rs. 3,00,000 (One)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Silver Sponsor</td>
<td>Rs. 2,00,000 (Two)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Lunch Sponsor</td>
<td>Rs. 2,50,000 (Two)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dinner Sponsor</td>
<td>Rs. 3,50,000 (One)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. High Tea Sponsor</td>
<td>Rs. 1,00,000 (Three)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Cultural Programme Sponsor</td>
<td>Rs. 1,00,000 (One)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Sponsorship for Conference Kit</td>
<td>Rs. 1,25,000 (One)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. PCS Firm</td>
<td>Rs. 1,00,000@</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Service Tax Extra, if the sponsorship is from a body corporate / partnership firm, service tax would be deposited by the sponsor under the Reverse Charge Mechanism. Logo of all organizations providing sponsorships of Rs. 1,00,000/- and more will be put on the conference backdrop.
* Co-sponsors may be considered

@ Delegate fee (Non Residential) exemption for Twelve delegates.

For clarification or queries please contact the following:
- Submission of articles for souvenir-cum-backgrounder & programme details
  - CS Saurabh Jain, Deputy Director – Tel: 011 – 45341035; email: saurabh.jain@icsi.edu
- Advertisement material/requests for stalls/sponsorship requests
  - Ms. Preeti Kaushik Banerjee, Director – Tel: 011 – 45341077; email: Preeti.banerjee@icsi.edu
- Delegate Registration and Accommodation
  - Mr. Devender Kapoor, Assistant Director – Tel: 011 -45341029; email: devender.kapoor@icsi.edu

SPECIAL ISSUES
OF CHARTERED SECRETARY

It is proposed to bring out the special issues of Chartered Secretary on the following topics:
1. NCLT (July 2016 issue)
2. LODR (September, 2016 issue)
3. Competition Law (November 2016 issue) and

Members and others having expertise on the aforesaid subjects are welcome to contribute articles for consideration by the Editorial Advisory Board for publication in the said special issues.

The articles may kindly be forwarded to:
The Director(Operations), the ICSI, 22, Institutional Area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi – 110003.
e-mail: ak.sil@icsi.edu

OBITUARIES

“Chartered Secretary” deeply regrets to record the sad demise of the following Members:

CS Hemant Hashukhlal Kashiparekh (08.12.1941 – 26.01.2016), an Associate Member of the Institute from Ahmedabad.

CS Rajinder Pal Jolly (30.01.1938-23.01.2016), an Associate Member of the Institute from Jalandhar City.

May the almighty give sufficient fortitude to the bereaved family members to withstand the irreparable loss.
May the Departed souls rest in peace.
PAYMENT OF ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP AND CERTIFICATE OF PRACTICE FEE FOR THE YEAR 2016-2017

The annual membership fee and certificate of practice fee for the year 2016-2017 has become due for payment w.e.f 1st April, 2016. The last date for the payment of fee is 30th June, 2016.

The membership and certificate of practice fee payable is as follows:

1. Annual Associate Membership fee Rs.1125/- (*)
2. Annual Fellow Membership fee Rs.1500/- (*)
3. Annual Certificate of Practice fee Rs.1000/- (**) 

* A member who is of the age of sixty years or above and is not in any gainful employment or practice can claim 50% concession in the payment of Associate/Fellow Annual Membership fee and a member who is of the age of seventy years or above and is not in any gainful employment or practice can claim 75% concession in the payment of Associate/Fellow Annual Membership fee subject to the furnishing of declaration to that effect.

**The certificate of practice fee must be accompanied by a declaration in form D duly completed in all respects and signed. The requisite form ‘D’ is available on the website of Institute www.icsi.edu.

MODE OF REMITTANCE OF FEE

The fee can be remitted by way of:

(i) Online (through payment gateway of the Institute’s website (www.icsi.edu)

(ii) Cash/Cheque at par/Demand draft/Pay order payable at New Delhi (indicating on the reverse name and membership number) drawn in favour of ‘The Institute of Company Secretaries of India’ at the Institute’s Headquarter or Regional/Chapter offices.

For queries, if any, the members may please write to Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Executive Assistant at email id jitendra.kumar@icsi.edu

ATTENTION!

MEMBERS HOLDING CERTIFICATE OF PRACTICE

The Institute has brought out a CD containing List of Members holding Certificate of Practice of the Institute as on 31st March 2016. The CDs are available at the headquarters of the Institute and will be supplied free of cost to the members holding Certificate of Practice on receipt of request.

Request may please be sent to the Directorate of Membership at e-mail id: rajeshwar.singh@icsi.edu

BRAIN – TEASERS!

(Win Prizes)

To win prizes, a person has to send replies to both (i.e. Legal Puzzle & Case Study). Three prizes – a first, a second and a third carrying Rs. 2000, Rs. 1500 and Rs. 1000 respectively will be awarded to the best entries in order of merit. The decision of the Institute will be final and binding and no query/clarification whatsoever will be entertained. The names of the winners will be published in one of the future issues of the Journal. Please send your replies to ak.sil@icsi.edu latest by 25th of June 2016 highlighting Replies to June 2016 Brain Teasers Column.

Brain Teasers June 2016

Legal Puzzle

Leading Lawyers

Across
1. Leading Constitutional expert
2. Father of a Judge
3. SG

Down
1. ASG
2. BLP Finance Minister
3. AG
4. Sr. AP lawyer

CASE STUDY


Discuss how an action under the Act can be brought by a Delhite against the likes of Uber / Ola.... Aggregators. Is he a consumer, who will take action (consumer / tort) against the service provider or the Taxi driver (keeping aside any criminal liability), or will it be a common law remedy under Contract law / agency law: Critically comment.
Lord Buckmaster dissented on following grounds:

(a) He stated the case of Blacker v. Lake Elliot, Ld. wherein it was held by Lord Sumner that - "The breach of the defendant's contract with A. to use care and skill in and about the manufacture or repair of an article does not of itself give any cause of action to B. when he is injured by reason of the article proving to be defective." Lord Buckmaster therefore stated that from this general rule there are two well known exceptions: (1.) In the case of an article dangerous in itself, and (2.) where the article not in itself dangerous is in fact dangerous, by reason of some defect or for any other reason, and this is known to the manufacturer. As to (1.), in the case of things dangerous in themselves, there is, "a peculiar duty to take precaution imposed upon those who send forth or install such articles when it is necessarily the case that other parties will come within their proximity". And as to (2.), this depends on the fact that the knowledge of the danger creates the obligation to warn, and its concealment is in the nature of fraud. In this case no one can suggest that ginger-beer was an article dangerous in itself.

(b) He cited the case of Mullen v. Barr Co. wherein it was stated by Lord Anderson "In a case like the present, where the goods of the defendants are widely distributed throughout Scotland, it would seem little short of outrageous to make them responsible to members of the public for the condition of the contents of every bottle which issues from their works. It is obvious that, if such responsibility attached to the defendants, they might be called on to meet claims of damages which they could not possibly investigate or answer."

Lord Tomlin dissented on following grounds:

(a) He stated "If the appellant is to succeed it must be upon the proposition that every manufacturer or repairer of any article is under a duty to every one who may thereafter legitimately use the article to exercise due care in the manufacture or repair, and it is logically impossible to stop short of this point. There can be no distinction between food and any other article. Moreover, the fact that an article of food is sent out in a sealed container may render it easier to bring negligence home to the manufacturer."

In today's competitive world, a businessman can win and capture big share in the market only if he is able to satisfy the needs of the customers. Hence the views taken above cannot survive in today's market as also the laws are quite strict and therefore the Government shall intervene into the working of the businessman.
REVISED GUIDELINES FOR NAME OF A PROPRIETORSHIP CONCERN / FIRM / TRADE


1. A trade or firm or concern name shall be restricted to the name(s) of the proprietor/partners or a name which is already in use.

2. A trade/firm name may include the name(s) of the member(s) as it/they appear in the Register of Members in the following manner:

   (i) For Sole proprietorship concern:
      (a) Name comprising first name and/or middle name and/or surname of the member, in any order, with or without commonly used suffix or prefix
      (b) Initials of the first name and/or middle name and/or surname, in whichever order
      (c) Combination of (a) and (b) above, in any order
      (d) Parts of or prevalent abbreviations of or acronyms of commonly used names alongwith any combination referred to in (c) above

   (ii) For Partnership firm:
      (a) Full surnames of two or more partners
      (b) Full first names of two or more partners
      (c) Combination of first names and / or middle names and/or surnames of two or more partners with or without commonly used suffix or prefix
      (d) Combination of initials of first names and/or middle names and/or surnames of the two or more partners
      (e) Combination of (c) and (d) above, in any order

3. General

   (i) A trade or firm name shall not be approved if the same or similar or nearly similar name is already used by a Company Secretary in practice or which resembles the name of Company Secretary in practice or firm of such Company Secretaries and has been entered in the Register of office of firms.

   (ii) A trade/firm name shall not contravene the provisions of The Names and Emblems (Prevention of Improper Use) Act, 1950 or any modification/re-enactment thereof.

   (iii) The trade or firm name may be suffixed by the suffixes “& Co.”, “& Company” or “& Associates”. However, any suffixes that may be considered undesirable by the Council shall not be allowed.

   (iv) The word “and”/“&” could be used in between the first name/middle name/surname including initials thereof, of the partners of the firm.

   (v) A firm name may also be allowed without the use of the suffixes “& Co.”, “& Company” or “& Associates” provided full first names and/or full middle names and/or full surnames of the partners are used. Also, in such cases, the word “&”?and” is compulsorily to be used either in between the first full names and/or full middle names and/or full surnames of the partners before the last full first name/full middle name/full surname of the partners.

   (vi) The name of a sole proprietorship concern shall not be allowed without the use of suffixes “& Co.”/”and Company”/”& Associates”.

   (vii) A trade/firm name, which has no relationship with the name of member(s) as above, shall not be allowed.

   (viii) Descriptive trade/firm names viz. Fire, Smash, Leader, Champion, Mastermind, Super, Supreme etc.shall not be allowed.

   (ix) Trade/firm names denoting publicity shall not be allowed. Any trade/firm name, regardless of reason or logic, using the initials, acronyms or full forms of any profession whether used individually and/or collectively and/or in any order, shall not be allowed. The use, therefore, of CA, CS, CMA, MBA, CACMA, CACS, CSCA, CSCMA, CMACS, CMACA, Secretary, Accountant, Management, Chartered Accountant, Cost Accountant, Chartered Secretary etc., shall not be allowed. However, trade/firm names matching with the group name/theme shall be allowed, if the same is not in contradiction with any other criteria.

   (x) The name, middle name and surname of the member shall conform to the name, middle name and surname as they appear in the register of members.

   (xi) In case any change in the status of the firm from individual firm to partnership firm or vice-versa, the firm name already been in use by any of the partners or individual could be approved provided there is no objection by any of the partners or individual.

   (xii) A trade/firm name which was in use by a proprietor or partners shall not be allowed to any other member or members for a period of three years of the closure of firm. The name may be re-allotted to the same member or members’ upto a period of three years of the closer of the firm. In the event of removal of name of a practising member, after the expiry of the period of three years, the said trade/firm may be allowed to any member or members who are eligible for allotment of such name under the guidelines.

   (xiii) After various permutations and combinations under guidelines 2(i) and (ii) have been exhausted and the member is not able to get approval of firm/trade name in accordance with the same, he may be permitted to adopt or coin a firm/trade name out of the names of his/her family members provided that such name was not already registered by some other members. The terms “family” for this purpose means husband, wife, father, mother, son and daughter.

   (xiv) Any reconstitution of the firm with the same firm name shall not have effect except with the prior approval of the Council pursuant to Regulation 170.

   (xv) Approval accorded by the ICSI for any trade/firm name shall not tantamount to any protection by the ICSI in case of any dispute arises affecting to Intellectual Property Rights between any trade/firm with any other brand, entity, business etc., outside the profession and in relation to the name in dispute. The responsibility and liability in such cases shall solely be of the concerned trade/firm and at its own risk and costs and not of the ICSI. The ICSI shall not be any party to any kind of dispute that may arise in this regard.

   ****
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The World’s Most Successful Board Portal

With 120,000+ users globally, Diligent Boards™ is the Most Used Board Communications and Collaboration Tool in the World

Combining pioneering features, unparalleled technology and remarkable performance, Diligent Boards enables users to:

- Digitally compile, distribute and access board materials via simple and intuitive interface
- Work online or offline from any global location
- Benefit from world-class, market leading security features
- Utilize award-winning 24/7 service from Diligent experts

SCHEDULE A DEMO:
- +91 (11) 7127 9038
- info@diligent.com
- diligent.com/ICSI

Diligent is a trademark of Diligent Corporation, registered in the United States. All third-party trademarks are the property of their respective owners. ©2016 Diligent Corporation. All rights reserved.
Boardvantage

Going paperless with your board has never been easier

Organisations around the globe are experiencing the benefits of the Boardvantage board portal called MeetX. You can too.

Automate the board meeting process
With dedicated workflows and support for last-minute updates, MeetX automates boardbook creation and distribution. Board members view the particulars of the current meeting or quickly reference relevant items from previous meetings. Any updates are flagged with visual cues.

Go beyond boardbook access
When it comes to eSigning consents, voting on resolutions, or filling out self-assessments, MeetX makes all board process paperless.

Make online-to-offline transparent
MeetX auto-syncs its content so board members have ready access to their documents, private notes, approvals, and surveys, whether online or offline. Even annotations made offline sync back to the server when the board member is back online.

Cut cost, time and paper
With MeetX, you no longer have to print, ship and track board materials, and no one has to lug them around.

In 50 countries and half the Fortune 500
Request a free demo at boardvantage.com/demo.