ARTICLE

Articles Part - I

Business Restructuring and Finding The Right Valuation

This article throws light on valuation in business restructuring. Business restructuring is described by the author as a value re-engineering process. The fundamental objective of business restructuring is to reorganize a company's legal, operational, or financial framework in order to enhance performance, diminish risk, or release value. At the global level, valuation is an important element that aligns restructuring decisions with economic and regulatory aspects.

CS R. S. Gowdhaman, ACS
Company Secretary
M/s. Bhuvaneswari Cotspin India Private Limited
Tirupur, Coimbatore
gowdhamfca@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Business restructuring and valuation are closely connected. The restructuring objective (tax efficiency, fundraising, exit, insolvency, group consolidation, etc.) directly affects the valuation method and assumptions. Business restructuring is not merely a legal reorganisation exercise; it is fundamentally a value re-engineering process. At the global level, restructuring decisions are driven by capital efficiency, strategic repositioning, regulatory compliance, financial distress management, cross-border integration, and shareholder value maximisation. In every such scenario, valuation becomes the central pillar that aligns economic substance with legal form. The basic purpose of business restructuring means reorganising a company's legal, operational, or financial structure to improve performance, reduce risk, or unlock value.

Valuation in restructuring is not absolute—it is purpose-driven. The same enterprise may carry different values depending on whether the objective is merger integration, insolvency resolution, capital raising, minority buyout, or tax compliance. Therefore, understanding the interrelationship between restructuring intent and valuation methodology is essential for professionals operating in multinational environments.

Valuation serves multiple critical functions:

- Determination of share exchange ratios in mergers.
- Pricing in slump sales or business transfers.
- Fairness opinions for minority shareholders.
- Issue pricing for capital infusion.
- Computation of taxable gains.
- Determination of liquidation versus fair value in insolvency.
- Compliance with foreign investment pricing guidelines (for NRI Transactions).

Inadequate valuation may trigger litigation, tax reassessment, regulatory penalties, shareholder disputes, or cross-border compliance failures. Internationally, valuation also affects financial reporting, goodwill recognition, impairment testing, and purchase price allocation.

Under Rule 11UA and global standards (Discounted Cash Flow/Valuation Standards), three main approaches are applied:

Approach	When Used	Key Basis
Income Approach (DCF)	Growth companies, Startups	Future cash flows
Market Approach	Listed peers, Comparable deals	Market multiples
Asset Approach (NAV)	Asset-heavy companies	Net assets

However, restructuring introduces additional layers:

- Control transfer effects
- Synergy realisation
- Distress discount
- Tax shield valuation
- Regulatory constraints
- Minority protection considerations

Thus, restructuring valuation is a hybrid exercise that blends financial economics with institutional realities. Thus, valuation in restructuring must satisfy essentially four pillars:

1. Economic rationality
2. Legal defensibility
3. Regulatory compliance
4. Audit sustainability

If the above criteria are not met, the restructuring will lack significance, and the whole process may ultimately prove to be a futile endeavour. In India, corporate restructuring is primarily governed by the Companies Act, 2013, while tax neutrality and capital gains implications are addressed under the Income Tax Act, 1961. Financial distress situations are resolved through the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. International transactions may also invoke transfer pricing and foreign exchange regulations.

Globally, similar restructuring mechanisms are influenced by IFRS frameworks, cross-border merger directives, and jurisdiction-specific insolvency codes. However, regardless of geography, valuation remains the analytical anchor.

Common Types

Type	Relevant Law	Purpose
Merger / Amalgamation	The Companies Act, 2013	Consolidation
Demerger	The Income Tax Act, 1961 [Sec 2(19AA)]	Business separation
Slump Sale	The Income Tax Act, 1961 (Sec 50B)	Transfer of undertaking
Capital Reduction	The Companies Act, 2013 (Sec 66)	Clean up losses
Buyback	The Companies Act, 2013 (Sec 68)	Shareholder exit
IBC Resolution	The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016	Distress restructuring, Fair Value, and Liquidation Value
Conversion (Firm → Company / LLP)	Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008	Limited liability
Shares to Non-Residents	FEMA	RBI Pricing Guidelines

VALUATION APPROACHES IN RESTRUCTURING

International valuation standards recognise three primary approaches: Income, Market, and Asset-based approaches. The choice depends on the nature of the business, availability of data, and restructuring objective.

A. Income Approach (Discounted Cash Flow – DCF)

The Discounted Cash Flow method estimates enterprise value based on projected future cash flows discounted at an appropriate risk-adjusted rate (WACC). This approach is particularly suitable for growth-oriented enterprises, technology businesses, and going-concern mergers.

In cross-border restructurings, DCF becomes dominant because it captures synergies, projected integration benefits, and long-term economic potential. However, its reliability depends heavily on forecast integrity, discount rate calibration, and terminal value assumptions. Sensitivity analysis is indispensable and is best suited for Start-up companies, Tech companies, and High growth entities.

B. Market Approach

The Market Multiple method benchmarks value against comparable listed entities or recent transaction multiples (e.g., EV/EBITDA, P/E, EV/Sales). This method is preferred where active capital markets provide reliable data that is best suited for mature businesses and listed comparable companies. In international contexts, selecting truly comparable companies across jurisdictions requires adjustments for geography, regulatory environment, size, liquidity, and accounting standards.

C. Asset-Based Approach (Net Asset Value)

The Asset Approach values a business based on the fair value of its assets minus liabilities. It is commonly used for investment holding entities, real estate companies, and distressed businesses where liquidation value becomes relevant and is best suited for Real estate companies, Investment holding companies and Liquidation cases.

Under insolvency regimes such as the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, both "fair value" and "liquidation value" are computed to guide resolution plans. These values may significantly diverge from going-concern DCF valuations.

FLAWS IN RESTRUCTURING VALUATION

The following may be the essential flaws while valuing under reconstruction:

- Unrealistic projections
- Inflated terminal growth rate
- Negative net worth ignored
- Related party bias
- No sensitivity analysis
- Inconsistent assumptions between tax & financial reporting

ADVISORY FOR GOOD STRATEGICAL FRAMEWORK FOR VALUATION

Step 1: Understand Objective - Tax saving? Fund raising? Exit? Insolvency?

Step 2: Identify Applicable Law - Companies Act / Income Tax / FEMA / IBC.

Step 3: **Choose Appropriate Valuation Base** - Fair Value / FMV / Liquidation Value.

Step 4: **Stress Test Assumptions** - Prepare sensitivity table.

Step 5: **Documentation** - Working papers, Management representation, Valuation memo and Board note.

CORE PRINCIPLE - CHOOSING SPECIFIC PURPOSE OF VALUATION

A critical principle for international professionals is that valuation is purpose-specific. The same company may yield a higher value under DCF for merger integration, a conservative NAV under tax computation, a liquidation value under insolvency, and a market-driven price in capital raising. Therefore, valuation cannot be viewed as a static number. It is a structured conclusion drawn within a defined legal and economic context.

SITUATION	PREFERRED METHOD
Merger (Going Concern)	DCF + Market
Demerger	DCF
Slump Sale	DCF / NAV
Distressed Sale	NAV / Liquidation
Startup Fundraising	DCF
Family Settlement	NAV (conservative)
IBC Case	Liquidation value + Fair value

REGULATORY INTERFACE IN INDIA AND GLOBAL ALIGNMENT

In India, restructuring transactions are analysed under the Income Tax Act, 1961 to determine tax neutrality, capital gains implications, and anti-avoidance exposure (including GAAR principles).

1. In India the Companies Act, 2013 mandates valuation by a Registered Valuer for specified transactions.
2. The Income Tax Act, 1961 prescribes fair market value rules (including Rule 11UA) for taxation purposes.
3. The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 requires determination of fair and liquidation values.
4. Internationally, restructuring valuations must align with IFRS (particularly IFRS 3 for business combinations and IAS 36 for impairment), OECD transfer pricing guidelines, and local corporate laws.
5. Professionals handling cross-border mergers must reconcile accounting valuation, tax valuation, and regulatory pricing norms—often resulting in multiple defensible value conclusions.

STRATEGIC CHALLENGES IN RESTRUCTURING VALUATION

At an international level, several complexities may arise:

- Forecast uncertainty in volatile markets;
- Cross-currency discount rate calibration;
- Transfer pricing implications;
- Minority discount and control premium assessment;
- Synergy allocation between merging entities;
- Goodwill impairment risk post-merger;
- Political and regulatory risk in emerging markets.

Robust documentation and transparent assumption frameworks become essential to withstand audit and regulatory scrutiny.

RISK AREAS IN VALUATION DECISIONS

Professionals must remain vigilant regarding the valuation to avoid the following issues:

- Over-optimistic cash flow projections.
- Inconsistent assumptions between valuation and financial reporting.
- Unsupported terminal growth rates.
- Failure to reconcile enterprise value with equity value.
- Ignoring contingent liabilities.
- Regulatory non-alignment.

Sensitivity matrices, scenario analysis, and independent expert review strengthen defensibility. To elevate the discussion beyond conventional valuation theory, it is important to integrate strategic finance, cross-border regulation, capital market behaviour, and behavioural economics into restructuring analysis. At the international level, restructuring is not merely transactional—it is transformational and often geopolitical.

TAX STRUCTURING IMPACT ON VALUATION

Tax efficiency directly influences enterprise value. Restructuring may unlock value through:

- Loss carry-forward utilisation;
- Step-up in asset base;
- Group consolidation benefits;
- Debt push-down strategies;
- Treaty optimisation in cross-border mergers.

In India, restructuring transactions are analysed under the Income Tax Act, 1961 to determine tax neutrality, capital gains implications, and anti-avoidance exposure (including GAAR principles). Internationally, BEPS considerations and substance-over-form doctrines influence valuation sustainability. A transaction that appears value accretive pre-tax may be value destructive post-tax.

ACCOUNTING IMPACT AND POST-RESTRUCTURING VALUATION

Under global reporting frameworks (IFRS/Ind AS), restructuring affects:

- Goodwill recognition
- Purchase price allocation (PPA)
- Intangible asset identification
- Impairment testing
- Deferred tax recognition

For example, goodwill created in a merger must later withstand impairment testing under IAS 36. Overvaluation at acquisition stage may result in future write-offs, affecting market credibility. Thus, valuation must be sustainable beyond transaction date.

CROSS-BORDER AND CURRENCY CONSIDERATIONS

Global restructurings require alignment of:

- Currency of cash flows
- Currency of discount rate
- Country risk premium
- Political and regulatory risk

Discount rates in emerging economies incorporate Sovereign risk spreads, Inflation differential and Exchange volatility. Inconsistent currency assumptions can materially distort valuation outcomes.

The summary of risk associated with valuation with all the aspects to be considered for valuation is given in the below table:

MAPPING OF CONSOLIDATED RISK AND VALUATION

Risk Category	Key Risk Areas	Impact on Valuation	Primary Approach	Risk Adjustment Method	Cross-Check Method	Documentation Focus
Strategic / Business Risk	Industry cyclicality, disruption, competition, customer concentration	Cash flow volatility, uncertain terminal growth	DCF (Income Approach)	Scenario analysis, probability-weighted DCF, terminal growth moderation	Market multiples	Industry outlook disclosure, sensitivity tables
Start-up / Innovation Risk	Unproven model, technology obsolescence	Forecast uncertainty	Venture Capital Method	Exit multiple calibration, high discount rate	Comparable funding rounds	Assumptions on exit year & IRR
Financial Risk	High leverage, weak DSCR, WC stress	Higher WACC, equity erosion	FCFF DCF	WACC adjustment, debt beta, credit spread inclusion	EBITDA multiples	Debt structure & solvency analysis
Distress / Insolvency Risk	Negative net worth, cash burn	Going concern doubt	Asset-Based / Liquidation	Fair value of assets, recovery rate	Net asset value	Going concern justification
Regulatory / Legal Risk	Tax disputes, contingent liabilities, compliance gaps	Provision adjustments, uncertainty discount	Scenario-based DCF	Probability weighting of litigation outcomes	Market comps with similar exposure	Legal representation letters
Market Risk	Interest rate fluctuation, FX exposure, commodity volatility	Discount rate fluctuation	Income + Market Approach	CAPM adjustment, Country Risk Premium, FX sensitivity	Comparable companies	Beta & risk premium computation
Country / Emerging Market Risk	Political instability, regulatory unpredictability	Elevated discount rate	Multi-currency DCF	Country Risk Premium addition	Regional peer comparison	Macro-economic justification
Operational Risk	Supply chain dependency, production bottlenecks	Margin instability	Income Approach	EBITDA sensitivity, capex stress testing	Industry margin benchmarking	Operational KPIs disclosure

Risk Category	Key Risk Areas	Impact on Valuation	Primary Approach	Risk Adjustment Method	Cross-Check Method	Documentation Focus
Governance Risk	Weak controls, RPT exposure, promoter dominance	Governance discount, minority discount	Income + Market	Corporate governance discount (5–25%)	Control premium study	RPT and board structure analysis
Minority Shareholder Risk	Lack of control, dividend restriction	Minority discount	Market Approach	DLOM / Minority discount	Transaction comparables	Basis of discount explanation
ESG / Sustainability Risk	Environmental liabilities, carbon exposure	Increased cost of capital	Income Approach	ESG risk premium, capex provisioning	ESG-rated comparables	Sustainability risk disclosure
Technology Obsolescence Risk	Rapid innovation cycle	Shortened projection period	DCF with shorter terminal value	Growth moderation	Tech peer benchmarking	Useful life assumptions
Commodity Exposure Risk	Raw material price volatility	Earnings sensitivity	Income Approach	Sensitivity & scenario modelling	Industry cycle multiples	Commodity price assumption basis
M&A / Synergy Risk	Integration uncertainty	Synergy overvaluation	APV / Synergy DCF	Separate base & synergy valuation	Pre-acquisition standalone value	Synergy realization timeline
Key Personnel Risk	Dependence on promoters / founders	Continuity risk	Income Approach	Key person discount	Market peer comparison	Succession planning note
Litigation / Claim Risk	Ongoing court cases	Cash flow uncertainty	Probability DCF	Expected value modelling	Legal risk-adjusted multiples	Legal opinion reliance statement

Globalization has significantly increased cross-border mergers, acquisitions, insolvencies, and corporate reorganizations. This has created the need for internationally harmonized valuation standards and restructuring frameworks. While no single global statute governs valuation and restructuring, an interconnected framework of international standards, accounting regulations, insolvency laws, and model laws shapes global practice. However, we have to consider the legal, regulatory, and conceptual foundations governing valuation and restructuring across jurisdictions and analyse the interaction between accounting standards, insolvency law, and valuation principles in cross-border transactions.

International regulation operates through valuation standards, accounting standards, insolvency statutes, cross-border model laws and judicial interpretation. However, International Valuation Standard provides globally accepted guidance on Market Value, Fair Value, Investment Value, Synergistic Value and Liquidation Value and widely adopted or referenced by regulators, courts, and professional bodies worldwide.

KEY PROFESSIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR VALUATION

Always apply multi-method valuation, perform legal, financial and tax matters and ensure all compliance with Ind AS / IFRS / International Valuation Standards (IVS). A sophisticated restructuring valuation integrates Strategic Intent. The following are the essential elements to be present while undertaking valuation and restructuring process:

- Financial Modelling
- Regulatory Compliance
- Tax Optimisation
- Accounting Sustainability
- Risk Analysis and Governance Transparency

Only when these dimensions converge can a restructuring truly create sustainable value.

ARTICLE

BUSINESS RESTRUCTURING & VALUATION – INDIA VS. INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVE

Sl. No	Area	Key Considerations	India Framework	International Framework	Major Risks	Professional Approach
1	Strategic Restructuring	Business alignment, synergy, sustainability	Companies Act, 2013	Jurisdiction-specific corporate laws	Strategic misfit	Strategic due diligence & synergy modelling
2	Insolvency Framework	Distress resolution	IBC, 2016	Chapter 11 (US), UK Insolvency Act, 1986	Liquidation risk	Going concern valuation & liquidation comparison
3	Accounting Standards	Financial reporting & consolidation	Ind AS	IFRS	Misstatement risk	Compliance with Ind AS / IFRS
4	Valuation Standards	Valuation methodology compliance	ICAI Valuation Standards	International Valuation Standards Council (IVS)	Method selection bias	Multi-method cross-checking
5	Fair Value Measurement	Exit price concept	Ind AS 113	IFRS 13	Level 3 estimation risk	Hierarchy-based valuation review
6	Consolidation Risk	Control, NCI, goodwill	Ind AS 110	IFRS 10	Improper eliminations	Technical consolidation review
7	Transfer Pricing	Arm's Length valuation	Income Tax Act + Rules	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development TP Guidelines	Double taxation	Comparable benchmarking & documentation
8	Tax Structuring	Capital gains, GAAR	Income Tax Act, 1961	Anti-avoidance regimes	Unexpected tax exposure	Tax-efficient structuring models
9	Exchange Control	Cross-border pricing	FEMA	Country-specific FX laws	Currency & compliance risk	Registered valuer certification & hedging
10	Valuation Approaches	DCF, Market, Cost	Accepted	Globally accepted	Projection bias	Sensitivity & scenario analysis
11	Cash Flow Forecast	Revenue growth, margin stability	Subject to audit	Subject to audit	Over-optimism	Stress testing models
12	Discount Rate	WACC, risk premium	India risk premium	Country risk premium	Under/over discounting	Risk-adjusted WACC calculation
13	Capital Structure	Debt-equity mix	RBI & company law norms	Market-driven norms	Financial distress	Capital restructuring plan
14	ESG Compliance	Sustainability reporting	BRSR	EU / Global ESG reporting	Reputation risk	ESG-adjusted valuation multiples
15	Legal Approvals	Tribunal approvals	NCLT	Court-based approvals	Delay & litigation	Regulatory mapping checklist
16	Stakeholder Impact	Shareholders, creditors	Structured voting	Court-supervised voting	Resistance & disputes	Transparent communication
17	Documentation	Valuation report format	Registered Valuer Rules	IVS-compliant reports	Regulatory rejection	Independent review & working papers
18	Post-Merger Integration	Operational alignment	Business-specific	Business-specific	Synergy failure	Structured PMI roadmap
19	Litigation Exposure	Tax & minority disputes	Increasing scrutiny	Mature enforcement systems	Legal costs	Risk provisioning
20	Governance	Board oversight	SEBI norms	Global governance codes	Agency risk	Governance due diligence

CONCLUSION

Business restructuring and valuation are based on Interdisciplinary, Risk-sensitive, Regulation-driven and Judgment-intensive aspects. Success depends on integration of strategy, finance, law, and compliance. Valuation is purpose specific, not absolute and restructuring valuation is no longer a numerical exercise. It is a multidimensional strategic evaluation shaped by economics, law, tax, accounting, governance, market behaviour, and geopolitical risk. The ultimate objective is not merely to determine "what a business is worth," but to determine **w**hat it is worth, to whom, under what structure, and within which regulatory ecosystem. Valuation conclusions should disclose key value drivers and risk variables. Ethical valuation enhances stakeholder confidence and capital market integrity. The restructuring valuation is a hybrid exercise that blends financial economics with institutional realities. Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations increasingly influence valuation. Carbon-intensive assets may attract valuation discounts, while sustainable portfolios command premium multiples.

REFERENCES:

i. *Corporate Restructuring - Stuart Gilson*

ii. *M & A and Corporate Restructuring - Patrick A Gaughan*

❐