ARTICLE

The Trust Architecture: FEMA, FTP and the Governance behind India's Borders

The Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA) governs how money enters and leaves the country and the Foreign Trade Policy (FTP) governs how goods and services move but behind these frameworks lies something deeper. India's trust depends on how responsibly companies handle this movement.

CS Madhavan M. K., FCS
Practicing Company Secretary
Chennai
mkm@mkmadhavan.com

INTRODUCTION

How credibility shapes India's cross-border story.

India's engagement with the world is growing rapidly, and with that growth comes a quiet expectation: every cross-border transaction must reflect discipline. Money moves faster today, exports reach new markets, and digital filings leave little room for assumptions. The Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA) governs how money enters and leaves the country and the Foreign Trade Policy (FTP) governs how goods and services move but behind these frameworks lies something deeper. India's trust depends on how responsibly companies handle this movement.

As India moves toward its $5-trillion economy vision, the focus has shifted from merely "following the rules" to demonstrating the consistency that ultimately builds trust in every cross-border transaction. Authorised Dealer Banks (AD Banks), regulators and overseas partners look for signals of reliability long before they ask for numbers.

Inside most organisations, the person who quietly ensures this reliability is the Company Secretary. The work may not always be visible, but they shape how confidently the company and, in many ways, India participates in global business.

THE QUIET TRUST BEHIND INDIA'S CONFIDENCE

Trust grows quietly, long before it is measured.

India's approach to cross-border regulation has always mirrored the mood of the economy. When foreign exchange was scarce, the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act (**FERA**) operated under strict controls and heavy paperwork requirements. As the economy opened and matured, the FEMA replaced it with a more balanced, management-oriented framework. India began moving from permissions to principles and from fear-based regulation to a more confident, trust-based engagement with the world.

Inside companies, this shift created a different kind of responsibility. Compliance stopped being just a statutory checkbox and slowly became part of the organisation's culture. Company Secretaries began playing a larger, quieter role, assessing whether each remittance, invoice, or authorisation met the discipline regulators expect. Much of this work does not show up on a dashboard. No one pays attention to it until a mistake suddenly appears.

The Company Secretary ensures consistency not on the frontlines of the deal, but in the background, where trust is built.

TWO ENGINES, ONE DIRECTION

Where capital and commerce pull in the same line.

FEMA and FTP may sit in different corners of the rulebook, but inside a company, they rarely stay apart. FEMA deals with money coming in and going out, investments, remittances, pricing rules, and all the checks an AD Bank expects before touching a foreign currency. FTP, on the other hand, governs how goods and services leave India, what documents support them, and how those benefits or obligations get tied up later.

On paper, these documents seem like two different conversations. But anyone who handles cross-border work knows they eventually meet each other midway. A share subscription under FEMA might later show up as an export commitment under FTP. An export invoice that begins its journey in the logistics department may have to be realised under FEMA timelines.

With everything moving to digital systems—FIRMS, DGFT Online, ICEGATE, AD Banks portals—the walls between these frameworks have become even thinner. A mistake in one place often travels quickly to the other. That is why a Company Secretary cannot look at FEMA and FTP as two different checklists. The two engines may operate separately, but for the company to move smoothly, they must run in the same direction with clean data and disciplined documentation connecting them.

THE CONVERGENCE OF CAPITAL AND COMMERCE

Transactions rarely stay in a single regulatory lane.

An inward remittance may look simple at first glance, but it passes through FEMA pricing rules, KYC at AD Banks, DGFT documentation if the remittance links to an export, and finally, the realisation timelines that sit under both frameworks. Likewise, a technology invoice raised to a foreign client might appear routine, but it cannot be closed until FEMA's invoicing rules align with the documents expected under FTP and AD Bank's validations.

This is where governance becomes the deciding factor. When contracts are unclear, shipping documents are incomplete, or finance and logistics work on different versions of the same data, both FEMA and FTP begin to wobble. A small mismatch in values or timelines can surface in the most unexpected places, sometimes weeks later and sometimes during an audit.

But when internal processes are steady and teams share information early, the entire chain becomes easier to manage. Capital and commerce stop pulling in different directions. Instead, they meet on common ground, clean data, proper documentation and a workflow that the Company Secretary quietly keeps stitched together.

> Company Secretaries began playing a larger, quieter role, assessing whether each remittance, invoice, or authorisation met the discipline regulators expect. The Company Secretary ensures consistency not on the frontlines of the deal, but in the background, where trust is built.

FEMA THROUGH THE CORPORATE LENS

Compliance becomes clarity when details meet discipline.

Anyone who has handled FEMA work inside a company knows that the forms are only the last step. The real effort lies in everything that happens before a single FC-GPR or FC-TRS is uploaded. FEMA looks like a rulebook from the outside: valuation norms, pricing guidelines, reporting windows, and sector caps. Still, inside the organisation, it is more about keeping every part of a transaction clean and defensible.

A foreign investment or share transfer might start as a negotiation between the company and an investor, but once you prepare the documents, the complexity becomes apparent. Agreements must match the pricing rules; valuations must follow the guidelines; board minutes must reflect exactly what has been decided; and AD Bank will not move ahead until its KYC and purpose codes line up with what the company is declaring. Even a minor inconsistency can slow down the process or raise questions later.

This is where the Company Secretary becomes the anchor. FEMA touches several departments: finance looks at the flow of funds, the treasury team handles remittances, HR steps in when ESOPs involve non-residents, and legal ensures the agreements follow the right structure. Someone has to check that these moving parts align, and most of the time that responsibility naturally falls to the Company Secretary.

Inside a company, FEMA is not simply a compliance requirement. It is a discipline. When handled well, it creates confidence not just within the organisation, but also in the eyes of AD Banks, investors, and regulators.

FTP IN EVERYDAY BUSINESS

Exports succeed when documentation speaks the same language.

Many people inside a company assume that the Foreign Trade Policy matters are concerned only with the export department. However while working closely with FTP, it is realised that it quietly influences far more than just the movement of goods. The moment a business obtains an IEC, it steps into a framework where every export, whether physical or digital, carries expectations around documentation, timelines and accuracy.

A typical export seems straightforward until it touches multiple systems. Shipping bills go through Customs; invoices and packing lists sit with logistics; e-BRCs come from AD Bank; and Certificates of Origin or scheme-based authorisations flow through DGFT systems. Each piece moves through a different portal, and all of them expect the numbers to match. A small difference in value or an overlooked document can delay a benefit, block an authorisation closure, or trigger a query months later.

FTP is not just a logistics story. It needs coordination across departments that don't always interact; finance checks realisation timelines, operations track obligations under EPCG or Advance Authorisation, logistics ensures documents match, and the Company Secretary brings consistency and clarity, especially when an exporter connects back to FEMA requirements or when documents must be reviewed before filing.

When FTP processes run with discipline, clean files, consistent data, timely closures the entire export chain becomes predictable. When they do not, even a simple shipment can turn into a long list of queries. Good FTP practice is not loud, but it protects the organisation's credibility every single time, its goods or services cross a border.

WHY FEMA–FTP ALIGNMENT MATTERS

Separate laws, but never separate responsibilities.

Inside most organisations, FEMA and the Foreign Trade Policy tend to sit with different teams. Finance looks after the flow of money, while logistics and operations handle exports and the paperwork around them. But in an actual cross-border transaction from start to finish, it is quickly realised that these two frameworks lean on each other. One wrong entry in a shipping document can affect a FEMA realisation; a delay in reporting under FEMA can create issues with DGFT closures. They are separate laws, yes, but the company experiences them together.

Most problems arise because internal teams treat these workflows as isolated tasks. Finance may close a remittance based on its own data while logistics works with a different set of numbers. AD Bank sees one version, Customs another, and DGFT expects everything to line up. The slightest mismatch even a small difference in an invoice value can trigger a query weeks or months later.

This is where the Company Secretary often steps in. Someone has to bring these pieces together, check whether the paperwork matches the declarations, and ensure the timelines make sense when viewed across both frameworks. FEMA and FTP alignment is not an academic concept; it is a practical need for any company that wants clean records and fewer surprises.

When the two sides move in sync, complaints drop, queries reduce, and compliance becomes predictable. When they do not, even simple transactions can create unnecessary complexity.

MISTAKES THAT COST COMPANIES THE MOST

Small slips create the loudest regulatory echoes.

When Company Secretaries work on FEMA and FTP for a long period, they start noticing that most problems do not come from complicated provisions. They come from simple things that slipped through the cracks. A value typed wrongly in a shipping bill, an e-BRC that shows a number slightly different from the invoice, or a purpose code selected in a hurry at AD Bank, these things look small at the time, but they tend to surface later. And usually when you least expect them.

Timelines are another area where companies get into trouble. FEMA reporting windows are firm, and DGFT's export-obligation closures do not wait for internal coordination. But inside many companies, tasks are divided between teams, and everyone assumes someone else is watching the deadlines. By the time the delay is noticed, the company is already answering queries or putting together extra paperwork to justify what should have been filed earlier.

Documents such as a Certificate of Origin that were never collected, a valuation report not matching the board resolution, an unsigned agreement sitting quietly in a file, all of these look harmless until an AD Bank, auditor or regulator asks for them. Then the scramble begins. This is where the Company Secretary quietly prevents a lot of damage. Most of these issues can be avoided if someone checks the documents early, ensures the numbers match across departments and sets a rhythm for internal reviews. Good governance does not draw attention to itself, but it definitely shows its value when things stay smooth.

TECHNOLOGY, TRANSPARENCY AND TOMORROW

Systems reveal what organisations once overlooked.

Technology has changed the way cross-border work is handled. Earlier, filings went into files and were pulled out only when someone asked for them. Today, almost every transaction leaves a digital mark. These footprints are visible across multiple systems. FIRMS captures investment reporting, DGFT's portal reflects export documents, ICEGATE mirrors Customs data and AD Banks rely heavily on automated checks rather than manual judgment. This shift has brought much-needed transparency, but it has also raised the bar on accuracy.

Regulators too are simplifying and restructuring their frameworks. The Reserve Bank's move to consolidate thousands of circulars into a smaller set of Master Directions is a good example of reducing confusion but also leaves less room for interpretation. DGFT continues to automate Certificates of Origin, risk flags and e-BRC matching, meaning errors and mismatches now surface almost instantly. A small mistake that might have gone unnoticed years ago can now be highlighted by the system within minutes.

For companies, this means the margin for error has become narrower. A wrong drop-down selection, an attachment uploaded in a hurry or numbers that do not match across platforms can lead to queries long after the transaction is closed. Filing on time is no longer enough; what the company files across these connected systems must also match.

The Company Secretary becomes the steady hand, checking documents, aligning teams and spotting small mistakes before they turn into real compliance issues. Technology can make compliance smoother, but it needs strong governance to stay reliable.

THE ROAD TO REPUTATION

Reputation is built in quiet, repeated actions.

Reputation in cross-border business rarely comes from a single big action. It builds slowly, in the background, through the way a company handles ordinary things a FEMA filing done on time, an export document appropriately completed, or numbers that match across all portals without anyone having to fix them later. Regulators, AD Banks, export councils and even overseas customers notice these patterns quietly. They may not comment on it, but they recognise when an organisation treats compliance with respect.

A clean FEMA track record says something about a company long before an investor meeting happens. The same is true with FTP work: when export obligations are closed on time and the supporting documents are in order, it gives confidence that the business runs with discipline. On the other hand, one mismatched value in an e-BRC or a delayed FC-GPR can raise questions that go beyond that single transaction. In a digital environment, inconsistencies don't stay hidden for long.

Companies preparing for IPOs experience this more sharply than most. Long before the DRHP is drafted, investors and advisors look at the company's internal habits, whether documentation is clean, whether filings show patterns of delay, and whether cross-border records are easy to verify. These small details shape the organisation's image far more than a presentation ever can.

The Company Secretary builds a reputation in simple ways by reviewing documents, spotting mismatches early, reminding teams about what is due and keeping old records usable. These may look like routine actions, but they are exactly what strengthens a company's image over time. None of this is glamorous, but together, these habits slowly create the reputation that companies carry into the world.

THE REPUTATION REVOLUTION

Governance is the new currency of trust.

A quiet shift is happening in India's cross-border landscape. A few years ago, companies were more focused on meeting the basic requirements of filing forms, closing obligations and keeping records in order. Today, the expectations have changed. Regulators, investors and overseas partners look far deeper. They are not just checking whether a company followed the rule; they are trying to understand how the company behaves. Consistency, discipline and transparency have become just as important as the law itself.

FEMA and FTP are part of this larger change. They no longer function only as compliance frameworks. They reflect how responsibly a business handles money, goods, services and data that cross India's borders. A company that shows clean FEMA filings, timely realisation, proper documentation and well-maintained export records automatically builds trust — even without saying a word. A company that treats compliance casually risks being seen as unpredictable, even if the business itself is strong.

This is where the Company Secretary's role has evolved the most. It is not just about filing a form or preparing a checklist. It is about shaping the company's behaviour, helping teams set up better processes, encouraging documentation discipline, and ensuring that numbers match wherever they appear. Much of this work is invisible inside the organisation, but the effect becomes evident outside.

The real change is this: reputation is no longer built by marketing. It is built by governance. And the Company Secretary stands right at that intersection, holding together the small details that ultimately create confidence in the company.

CONCLUSION

Where consistency becomes confidence — and confidence becomes trust.

Cross-border activity will only grow from here, and with it, the expectations placed on companies. Laws, portals and systems help, but they cannot replace the steady discipline that holds a transaction together. FEMA and FTP may look like two separate frameworks, yet within a company, they are linked through every remittance, export invoice, and compliance file. What keeps these moving parts aligned is not just knowledge of the law, but a way of working that values clarity over shortcuts.

This is where the Company Secretary quietly shapes the organisation's behaviour. By guiding teams early, reviewing documents before they become filings and keeping an eye on the small details that are easy to miss, many larger issues never surface. It is steady work, not glamorous, but it builds something every company needs today — trust.

As India deepens its engagement with the world, this kind of governance will matter even more. And in most companies, the person who keeps that trust architecture strong is the Company Secretary.

REFERENCES:

i. *Authorised Dealer (AD) Bank Guidelines – RBI.*

ii. *DGFT Trade Notices and Public Notices.*

iii. *FIRMS Portal – Foreign Investment Reporting and Management System.*

iv. *Foreign Exchange Management (Non-Debt Instruments) Rules, 2019.*

v. *Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA).*

vi. *Foreign Trade Policy (FTP) 2023 –DGFT.*

vii. *Go IPO: 11 Principles to Get Listed on the Stock Exchange. Self-authored.*

viii. *Handbook of Procedures (HBP) 2023 – DGFT.*

ix. *ICEGATE Customs EDI System.*

x. *Reserve Bank of India – Master Directions and Circulars (including the October 2025 consolidation initiative).*

xi. *SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 (LODR) – SEBI.*

xii. *The Companies Act, 2013–MCA.*