4

SOCIAL IMPACT REVIEW

- Connecting Capital with Impact: A Global Perspective on Social Stock Exchanges

Connecting Capital with Impact: A Global Perspective on Social Stock Exchanges

The emergence of socially responsible investing marked an important shift. Investors began considering ethical and social factors alongside financial returns. Over time, this evolved into impact investing, where investors actively seek measurable social and environmental outcomes in addition to financial performance.

CS Ketan Madia, FCS
Practising Company Secretary
Kolkata
ketanmadia1969@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The convergence of finance and social development has accelerated significantly during the past two decades. Governments, philanthropic institutions and development agencies increasingly recognise that traditional funding sources alone are insufficient to address pressing challenges relating to poverty, healthcare, education, environmental sustainability and social inclusion. This has encouraged the search for innovative financing mechanisms capable of directing private capital towards measurable social outcomes.

Social Stock Exchanges have emerged within this context. Unlike conventional stock exchanges, which primarily facilitate capital raising for commercial enterprises, SSEs seek to channel funds towards organisations pursuing social objectives. Their role extends beyond fundraising to encompass transparency, governance, impact measurement and stakeholder engagement.

While the concept has gained prominence in recent years, its roots lie in the broader evolution of social finance, impact investing and sustainable development. Different countries have adopted different approaches, offering valuable insights into the opportunities and challenges associated with building effective social-finance ecosystems.

EVOLUTION OF SOCIAL FINANCE

The development of SSEs is closely linked to the broader evolution of social finance. Traditionally, social initiatives relied heavily on government funding and philanthropy. While these sources continue to play a critical role, they have often proved inadequate relative to growing developmental needs.

The emergence of socially responsible investing marked an important shift. Investors began considering ethical and social factors alongside financial returns. Over time, this evolved into impact investing, where investors actively seek measurable social and environmental outcomes in addition to financial performance.

The adoption of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) further accelerated interest in impact-oriented investing. Investors increasingly recognised that long-term value creation is linked to environmental sustainability, social inclusion and sound governance practices.

As social enterprises expanded and investor interest grew, the need arose for institutional mechanisms capable of connecting capital providers with impact-driven organisations. SSEs emerged as one such mechanism, combining fundraising, disclosure and accountability within a structured framework.

INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL STOCK EXCHANGE MODELS

a) **United Kingdom**

The United Kingdom is often regarded as the pioneer of the Social Stock Exchange concept. Rather than functioning as a conventional trading platform, the UK model focused on providing visibility to organisations capable of demonstrating measurable social impact. The initiative helped bring impact reporting into mainstream investment discussions and highlighted the importance of transparency in attracting socially conscious investors.

b) **Canada**

Canada's Social Venture Connexion (SVX) adopted a marketplace model that connected impact investors with social ventures. The emphasis was not on creating a traditional exchange but on building an ecosystem that encouraged investment, accountability and impact measurement. Investor education and stakeholder engagement became central features of the model.

c) Portugal

Portugal's Bolsa de Valores Sociais adopted a project-focused approach aimed at mobilising resources for socially beneficial initiatives. The model demonstrated that social exchanges could support fundraising objectives even without replicating the structure of conventional securities markets.

d) Singapore

Singapore has integrated social finance into its broader sustainable-finance framework. Through supportive regulation, impact funds and sustainability-linked financing mechanisms, it has created an environment that promotes impact-oriented investment without necessarily relying on a dedicated SSE platform.

e) South Africa

South Africa's social-finance initiatives have focused on addressing development priorities through impact-oriented funding mechanisms. The emphasis has been on using finance as a tool for social inclusion, employment generation and community development.

f) United States

The United States has developed one of the world's largest impact-investment ecosystems through benefit corporations, community development finance institutions, impact funds and innovative financing mechanisms. Although it does not operate a national SSE in the traditional sense, its ecosystem performs many of the same functions associated with social finance markets.

Comparative Overview

Country	Model	Key Feature	Key Lesson
UK	Disclosure Platform	Impact visibility	Transparency drives investor interest
Canada	Impact Marketplace	Investor-social venture linkage	Ecosystem building is crucial
Portugal	Social Funding Platform	Project financing	Flexibility of structure
Singapore	Sustainable Finance Ecosystem	Regulatory support	Ecosystem matters more than platform
South Africa	Development Finance Model	Social inclusion focus	Alignment with local priorities
USA	Impact Investing Ecosystem	Diverse financing mechanisms	Innovation attracts capital

LESSONS FROM INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE

a) Importance of Impact Measurement

One of the most significant challenges facing SSEs globally is the measurement of social impact. Unlike financial performance, social outcomes are often difficult to quantify and compare. Various frameworks, including IRIS+, Social Return on Investment (SROI), Theory of Change and SDG-linked reporting, have sought to improve consistency.

However, the absence of universally accepted standards continues to create challenges. Credible impact measurement remains essential for investor confidence and market integrity.

b) Transparency and Disclosure

Investors require reliable information regarding governance practices, financial sustainability and social outcomes. Transparent disclosures therefore represent a cornerstone of successful SSE ecosystems.

Organisations that provide clear and consistent reporting are generally better positioned to attract long-term support from investors and stakeholders.

c) Governance as a Trust Builder

International experience consistently demonstrates that governance quality significantly influences investor confidence. Effective boards, strong internal controls, transparent decision-making processes and accountability mechanisms contribute to institutional credibility.

In many respects, governance serves as the bridge between social purpose and investor trust.

d) Role of Regulation

Supportive regulation plays a vital role in fostering confidence while encouraging innovation. Excessive regulation may create barriers to participation, whereas inadequate oversight can undermine market credibility. Successful jurisdictions have generally adopted balanced approaches that protect stakeholders while enabling growth.

e) Ecosystem Development

Perhaps the most important lesson is that SSE success depends on ecosystem development rather than exchange architecture alone. Investors, regulators, stock exchanges, development institutions, philanthropic organisations and social enterprises must work collaboratively to create sustainable markets.

The strongest social-finance ecosystems are characterised by trust, capacity building and stakeholder engagement.

INDIA'S SOCIAL STOCK EXCHANGE IN GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE

India's Social Stock Exchange represents one of the most comprehensive regulatory approaches to social finance globally. Established under the oversight of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), it seeks to mobilise capital for both non-profit organisations and for-profit social enterprises.

A distinctive feature of the Indian framework is its broad scope. Unlike many international models that focus primarily on either impact investing or disclosure, India accommodates multiple organisational forms within a regulated structure.

The introduction of Zero Coupon Zero Principal instruments for eligible non-profit organisations represents a particularly innovative feature. These instruments enable fundraising while maintaining transparency and regulatory oversight.

India's SSE also reflects several lessons emerging from international experience. Its emphasis on governance, disclosures, impact reporting and accountability aligns closely with global best practices.

A comparison with leading international models highlights both the uniqueness and the strengths of India's approach. The United Kingdom's Social Stock Exchange primarily focused on providing visibility and disclosure mechanisms for impact-oriented enterprises already listed on recognised exchanges. While it succeeded in promoting impact reporting, its role in capital mobilisation remained relatively limited. Canada's Social Venture Connexion adopted a marketplace model that emphasised investor engagement and ecosystem development rather than operating as a conventional exchange. Singapore, on the other hand, integrated social finance into a broader sustainable-finance framework supported by strong regulatory and institutional backing.

> Social Stock Exchanges represent an important milestone in the continuing evolution of social finance. They reflect a growing recognition that capital markets can contribute meaningfully to social and developmental objectives when supported by appropriate governance and accountability frameworks.

India's model incorporates elements from each of these approaches while introducing several innovations of its own. Like the UK model, it places significant emphasis on transparency and disclosures. Similar to Canada, it seeks to create a structured ecosystem connecting impact-oriented organisations with potential investors and donors. At the same time, India has adopted a regulatory framework that is more formal and comprehensive than many international counterparts.

A particularly distinctive aspect is the inclusion of both non-profit organisations and for-profit social enterprises within the same framework. In several jurisdictions, social-finance initiatives have focused predominantly on either charitable organisations or impact-investment ventures. India's broader approach recognises that social value can be created through multiple organisational forms and financing structures.

Another important strength lies in the integration of the SSE within India's mainstream capital-market regulatory architecture. The oversight provided by SEBI contributes significantly to investor confidence and market credibility. International experience demonstrates that trust is one of the most critical ingredients for the success of social-finance initiatives. By embedding the SSE within an established regulatory environment, India has attempted to address this challenge from the outset.

India's large and diverse social sector also provides a unique opportunity for the SSE to evolve beyond a niche financing mechanism. The country hosts a vast ecosystem of non-profit organisations, social enterprises, impact investors, philanthropic institutions and development agencies. If effectively connected through the SSE framework, these stakeholders can collectively contribute to a vibrant social-finance marketplace.

At the same time, international experience suggests that regulatory structures alone are insufficient. Long-term success will depend upon investor participation, organisational readiness, capacity building, credible impact reporting and the development of a culture of transparency. Continued collaboration among regulators, market institutions, governance professionals and social-sector organisations will therefore be essential.

Viewed in this broader context, India's Social Stock Exchange is not merely another regulatory initiative. It represents an attempt to create a scalable and credible platform capable of aligning private capital with national developmental priorities. Its progress will be closely watched by policymakers and market participants across other emerging economies seeking innovative approaches to social finance.

Nevertheless, challenges remain. Impact measurement continues to be complex. Investor awareness is still evolving, and many social enterprises require capacity building to meet reporting and governance expectations.

Despite these challenges, India's SSE has the potential to become a reference model for emerging economies seeking to mobilise private capital for developmental objectives.

EMERGING ROLE OF GOVERNANCE PROFESSIONALS

The emergence of Social Stock Exchanges has significantly broadened the scope of governance as a strategic function. In conventional capital markets, investors largely evaluate organisations based on financial performance, risk management and regulatory compliance. In the social finance ecosystem, however, investors and stakeholders seek assurance not only regarding financial sustainability but also regarding the credibility of social outcomes being claimed. This places governance professionals at the centre of the SSE framework.

Unlike traditional fundraising environments, organisations participating in Social Stock Exchanges are expected to demonstrate measurable social impact, maintain transparency in operations and provide credible disclosures regarding the utilisation of funds. Governance professionals play a critical role in ensuring that these expectations are translated into effective systems and processes.

One of their primary responsibilities lies in establishing robust governance structures. This includes assisting boards in defining clear responsibilities, establishing oversight mechanisms, implementing risk-management frameworks and ensuring accountability at every level of the organisation. Strong governance structures provide confidence to investors, donors, regulators and beneficiaries that the organisation is capable of delivering both social and financial objectives responsibly.

Disclosure management represents another area where governance professionals have a significant contribution to make. The effectiveness of any Social Stock Exchange depends heavily on the quality of information available to stakeholders. Governance professionals are uniquely positioned to ensure that disclosures are timely, accurate, balanced and compliant with regulatory requirements. Their expertise in corporate reporting can help organisations communicate their mission, strategy, risks, outcomes and impact in a manner that enhances stakeholder confidence.

Impact measurement and reporting have emerged as particularly important functions within the social finance ecosystem. While financial reporting is governed by established standards, social impact reporting remains a developing discipline. Governance professionals can assist organisations in adopting recognised frameworks such as Social Return on Investment (SROI), Theory of Change, IRIS+, SDG-linked indicators and other impact-assessment methodologies. They can also help ensure that reported outcomes are supported by reliable data and verifiable evidence.

Board advisory functions assume even greater importance in the SSE environment. Boards of social enterprises and non-profit organisations frequently face the challenge of balancing mission objectives with financial sustainability. Governance professionals can support boards in evaluating strategic decisions, identifying emerging risks and maintaining alignment between organisational purpose and operational activities. Their involvement helps ensure that social objectives are pursued without compromising accountability and long-term viability.

Risk management is another area where governance professionals can create substantial value. Social enterprises and non-profit organisations often operate in environments characterised by resource constraints, evolving regulations and diverse stakeholder expectations. Risks may arise from governance failures, reputational concerns, operational inefficiencies, funding dependencies or impact-reporting inaccuracies. By developing structured risk-management frameworks, governance professionals help organisations anticipate challenges and strengthen resilience.

Stakeholder engagement is equally important. Unlike conventional corporations, organisations participating in Social Stock Exchanges are accountable to a wider range of stakeholders, including investors, beneficiaries, regulators, donors, community groups and development institutions. Governance professionals can help establish effective communication channels and stakeholder-engagement mechanisms that promote transparency and trust.

The growing importance of ESG (Environmental, Social and Governance) reporting further enhances the relevance of governance professionals in social finance. Increasingly, investors seek integrated information regarding financial performance, governance quality and social outcomes. Professionals with expertise in governance and reporting are well positioned to bridge these areas and facilitate comprehensive disclosures that meet stakeholder expectations.

For Company Secretaries in particular, the emergence of Social Stock Exchanges creates a significant opportunity to expand professional services. Their expertise in governance, compliance, board management, regulatory reporting and stakeholder communication aligns closely with the requirements of organisations seeking access to impact-oriented capital. Company Secretaries can assist social enterprises and non-profit organisations in developing governance frameworks, preparing disclosures, strengthening board effectiveness and implementing impact-reporting systems.

Another important area is assurance and verification. As impact reporting becomes more sophisticated, investors may increasingly seek independent validation of social outcomes. Governance professionals can contribute to the development of assurance frameworks that enhance the credibility of impact disclosures. Their role may evolve in a manner similar to how internal governance and compliance functions have evolved within conventional capital markets.

Capacity building also represents a significant opportunity. Many social enterprises possess strong missions and innovative solutions but lack formal governance systems. Governance professionals can play an educational and advisory role by helping these organisations understand regulatory expectations, adopt best practices and strengthen institutional capabilities.

From a policy perspective, governance professionals can contribute to the development of industry standards and best practices for the social finance ecosystem. Their practical experience in governance and compliance can help regulators, industry associations and market participants develop frameworks that balance accountability with innovation.

Ultimately, the success of Social Stock Exchanges will depend on trust. Investors must trust that funds are being used responsibly. Beneficiaries must trust that organisations remain committed to their social missions. Regulators must trust that disclosures are accurate and meaningful. Governance professionals occupy a unique position in building and sustaining this trust. Their ability to strengthen accountability, improve transparency and ensure the integrity of impact reporting will play a decisive role in the long-term success of Social Stock Exchanges and the broader social-finance ecosystem.

As social finance continues to mature globally, governance professionals are likely to evolve from compliance specialists into strategic enablers of impact. Their contribution will extend beyond regulatory adherence to shaping institutions capable of delivering sustainable social value. In this sense, the growth of Social Stock Exchanges represents not only a new financing mechanism but also a new frontier for the governance profession itself.

CONCLUSION

Social Stock Exchanges represent an important milestone in the continuing evolution of social finance. They reflect a growing recognition that capital markets can contribute meaningfully to social and developmental objectives when supported by appropriate governance and accountability frameworks.

International experience demonstrates that successful SSE ecosystems can take many forms. Some emphasise disclosure, others focus on investment facilitation, while several operate within broader sustainable-finance ecosystems. Yet common success factors consistently emerge viz. governance, transparency, impact measurement, regulatory credibility and investor trust.

India's Social Stock Exchange is a significant addition to this evolving landscape. Its regulated framework, inclusion of both non-profit and for-profit entities and emphasis on impact reporting position it among the most comprehensive SSE initiatives globally.

Ultimately, the long-term success of SSEs will depend not merely on their ability to mobilise capital but on their capacity to build trust. Governance professionals will play a critical role in this journey by strengthening accountability, improving disclosures and ensuring that social impact remains measurable, credible and meaningful.

REFERENCES:

i. *Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE). Social Stock Exchange framework and implementation documents.*

ii. *European Commission. Social Business Initiative: Creating a Favourable Climate for Social Enterprises. Brussels.*

iii. *Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN). Annual Impact Investor Survey. Various editions.*

iv. *Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN). Sizing the Impact Investing Market. New York.*

v. *Harvard Business Review articles on impact investing, stakeholder capitalism and social enterprise financing.*

vi. *International Finance Corporation (IFC). Creating Impact: The Promise of Impact Investing. Washington D.C.*

vii. *International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC). Publications on integrated reporting and stakeholder value creation.*

viii. *National Stock Exchange of India Limited (NSE). Social Stock Exchange disclosures and operational framework.*

ix. *Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Social Impact Investment: Building the Evidence Base. Paris.*

x. *Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Social Impact Investment 2024: Trends and Policy Developments. Paris.*

xi. *Rockefeller Foundation. Publications on impact investing and social finance.*

xii. *Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). Reports of the Working Group on Social Stock Exchange.*

xiii. *Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). Social Stock Exchange Framework, Circulars and Regulatory Guidelines.*

xiv. *Social Stock Exchange (United Kingdom). Historical publications, impact reporting guidelines and public disclosures.*

xv. *United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Financing the Sustainable Development Goals. Various Reports.*

xvi. *United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UN PRI). Reports and guidance on responsible investment.*

xvii. *United Nations. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. New York.*

xviii. *World Bank. Publications relating to sustainable finance, social enterprises and development finance.*

xix. *World Economic Forum. Harnessing the Potential of Impact Investing. Geneva.*

xx. *World Economic Forum. The Future of Impact Investing. Geneva.*